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Abstract—Surface acoustic wave (SAW) radio-frequency identification (RFID) tags are soon expected to be produced in very high volumes. The size and cost of a SAW RFID tag will be key parameters for many applications. Therefore, it is of primary importance to reduce the chip size. In this work, we describe the design principles of a 2.4-GHz SAW RFID tag that is significantly smaller than earlier reported tags. We also present simulated and experimental results.

The coded signal should arrive at the reader with a certain delay (typically about 1 µs), i.e., after the reception of environmental echoes. If the tag uses a bidirectional interdigital transducer (IDT), space for the initial delay is needed on both sides of the IDT. In this work, we replace the bidirectional IDT by a unidirectional one. This halves the space required by the initial delay because all the code reflectors must now be placed on the same side of the IDT.

We reduce tag size even further by using a Z-path geometry in which the same space in x-direction is used for both the initial delay and the code reflectors. Chip length is thus determined only by the space required by the code reflectors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Surface acoustic wave (SAW) radio-frequency identification (RFID) tags are soon expected to be produced in high-volume production as there is a large market for passive RF identification. The size and cost of an RFID tag are naturally key parameters for many applications. Therefore, one of the most important goals in SAW tag design is the reduction of chip size.

However, the number of distinct codes to be realized and the used frequency bands impose limitations on the delays of coded responses and, consequently, on tag size. For a SAW RFID tag based on time position encoding, each code reflector occupies a slot corresponding to certain duration of time as illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. These slots form groups of, for example, five slots. When such grouping is used, one of the first four slots of each group is occupied by a reflector; the fifth one, the guard slot, is always left empty. One group thus corresponds to two bits of data. The width of a single slot is \( \Delta t = 1/B \) where \( B \) is the used frequency band. Thus, for a SAW tag operating at 2.45 GHz and using a 40-MHz band, the slots are 25 ns wide. Typically, about 20 code reflectors are needed for a reasonably great number of codes. This corresponds to a time delay of about \( 20 \cdot 5 \cdot 25 \text{ ns} = 2.5 \mu \text{s} \). In addition, an initial delay for environmental echoes of about 1 µs, corresponding to 2 mm of chip space on lithium niobate, is also necessary.

In previously reported designs [1], [2], code reflectors are situated on both sides of a bidirectional interdigital transducer (IDT) as sketched in Fig. 2. In this geometry, the 1-µs initial delay is needed on both sides of the IDT. For a tag having 14 code reflectors, as the tag studied in this work, this results in a total chip length of greater than 10 mm. The eventual increase of data capacity (up to 128 or even 256 bits) will inevitably result in even larger chip sizes or demand more complicated encoding methods [3]. A multichannel geometry [1], [4] (see Fig. 3), which combines long and short delays in one acoustic channel, has a slightly reduced total chip length. However, it uses more space in the transverse direction and the space for the initial delay is still present on both sides of the IDT. Moreover, the parallel connection of tracks inevitably increases losses.

In this work, we replace the bidirectional IDT with a unidirectional one, similar to that presented in [5]. This halves the space needed for the initial delay as all the reflectors must now be placed on the same side of the IDT as illustrated in Fig. 4. A further reduction of device size can be achieved by folding the acoustic channel into a Z-path by using two strongly reflecting inclined reflectors.

II. Z-PATH DESIGN

We study a SAW RFID tag that is comprised of a unidirectional IDT, two strongly reflecting inclined reflectors (each having 21 open-circuited floating electrodes) folding the acoustic channel into a Z-path, and 14 code reflectors. In this configuration, the same space in the x-direction (the initial direction of propagation) is used for both the initial delay and the code reflectors. The device geometry is illustrated schematically in Fig. 5.

A. Transducer

As an IDT, we use a single-phase, unidirectional transducer (SPUDT) [5]. This type of transducer generates wave propagation predominantly in one direction, which reduces losses compared to the bidirectional case of Fig. 2. The SPUDT used in this work consists of a reflector section of open-circuited floating electrodes sandwiched by two identical standard IDT sections whose electrodes have alternating polarities.
The SPUDT was characterized through delay line simulations and experiments. As our simulator accepts only inline device geometries, no simulated results are available for Z-path delay lines. However, a theoretical estimate for SPUDT losses was obtained by simulating an inline delay line consisting of two identical SPUDTs facing each other and separated by 300 µm. The absolute value of the simulated $S_{21}$ parameter for this device is shown in Fig. 6. The minimum insertion loss (MIL) is $-4.7$ dB.

Losses were further studied by using experimental delay line data. Experiments on inline delay lines of different lengths revealed the SPUDT losses to be about $-6.4$ dB (per two SPUDTs) and the propagation loss about $-5.3$ dB (per 3.8 mm). A Z-path delay line having an acoustic path length of about 3.8 mm had a MIL of $-19.0$ dB as shown by the $|S_{21}|$ plot in Fig. 7. Losses due to the inclined reflectors can be estimated by subtracting the SPUDT losses and the propagation losses from the MIL of the Z-path delay line. This gives about $-7$ dB, which is a realistic estimate considering the reflection strength of the inclined reflectors.

B. Z-Path Geometry

Fig. 8 illustrates the reflection at the first inclined reflector: $\alpha_i$ is the angle of incidence, $\alpha_r$ is the angle of reflection, and $\theta_r = \alpha_i + \alpha_r$ is the direction of the reflected wave vector. Due to the anisotropy of the substrate material ($128^\circ$-LiNbO$_3$), the phase velocity of the surface acoustic wave depends on the propagation direction. Therefore, the angles $\alpha_i$ and $\alpha_r$ are not equal but have to be, as does the rest of the tag geometry, determined using slowness data.
1. Phase Velocity: The dependence of phase velocity $V$ on the wave vector angle $\theta$ can be obtained easily from the slowness curve, which presents phase slowness $s = 1/V$ as a function of $\theta$. The slowness curve for $128^\circ$-LiNbO$_3$ (calculated using a Green’s function based software $[6]$) is shown in Fig. 9. The Cartesian plot of Fig. 10 presents phase velocity as a function of wave vector angle for the range $-45^\circ \leq \theta \leq 45^\circ$.

2. Power Flow Angle: Due to the anisotropy of the substrate material, phase and energy velocities are not equal. The power flow angle (i.e., the difference between the wave vector direction $\theta$ and the power flow direction $\theta_e$) is defined as $[7]$:

$$\theta - \theta_e = \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\frac{dV}{d\theta}} \right).$$

Eq. (1) can be used to obtain the dependence of the power flow direction $\theta_e$ on the wave vector direction $\theta$. Fig. 11 shows this dependence for $-45^\circ \leq \theta \leq 45^\circ$ in the case of $128^\circ$-LiNbO$_3$. It can be seen that, for this range of wave vector angles, $\theta_e < \theta$. It also is to be noted that $\theta_e$ can be considered directly proportional to $\theta$ only for the approximate range of $-5^\circ < \theta < 5^\circ$.

3. Geometry Calculations: When calculating the tag geometry, we must first make sure that the SAW beam (the power) reflected by the first inclined mirror will not miss the second one: the angle $\theta_e$ of the once reflected acoustic ray is determined by the desired positions of the inclined reflectors (see Fig. 12). For an initial delay of 1200 ns, a distance of approximately $a = 1135 \mu$m is required between the IDT and the first inclined reflector. Using this same distance between the two inclined mirrors and choosing $b = 200 \mu$m as the separation of the IDT and the second mirror, the angle $\theta_e$ becomes approximately $200/1135$ rad $\approx 10.10^\circ$. The corresponding direction of the reflected wave vector can be read from Fig. 11, which gives $\theta_r \approx 20.96^\circ$.

The mirror pitch $p_G$ and the angles $\alpha_i$ and $\alpha_r$ can be determined using the slowness curve. Applying the cosine theorem in the triangle drawn in Fig. 9 gives:

$$\left( \frac{2\pi}{p_G \omega} \right)^2 = s_i^2 + s_r^2 + 2 s_i s_r \cos(\alpha_i + \alpha_r),$$

where $s_i$ and $s_r$ are the slowness values at the incident and reflected directions, respectively.
where \( \omega \) is the center frequency of the device, and \( s_i \) and \( s_r \) are the phase slownesses of the waves incident and reflected at the first inclined mirror, respectively. Using the data obtained from the slowness calculations, i.e.:

\[
\begin{align*}
  s_i &= s(\theta = 0^\circ) = 2.513 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ s/m}, \\
  s_r &= s(\theta = 20.96^\circ) = 2.603 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ s/m},
\end{align*}
\]

and setting \( \omega = 2\pi \cdot 2441.75 \text{ MHz} \) yields \( p_G \approx 0.814 \mu\text{m} \). The sine theorem then gives \( \alpha_i \approx 10.67^\circ \) and \( \alpha_r \approx 10.29^\circ \). The inclination angle \( \alpha \) of the mirrors is equal to \( \alpha_i \).

### C. Code Reflectors

The final step of the design is placing the code reflectors at correct positions. The position of the first reflector is determined by the initial delay. Each of the following reflectors occupies one of the first four 25-ns slots of a group of five slots. To keep the first design simple, we place each code reflector in the first slot of the group, and hence expect delays of 125 ns between code reflections.

If we use identical reflectors, the coded signal will consist of pulses with gradually decreasing amplitudes as energy is lost due to reflections and free-surface propagation. In order to obtain response pulses with uniform amplitudes [8], the reflectivities of the reflectors must gradually increase along the acoustic path. The reflectivities are adjusted by varying the number of electrodes in the reflectors and the metal ratio \( m/p \) (\( m \) is the width of an electrode, \( p \) is the pitch of the electrodes) of the reflectors.

For long structures, like SAW RFID tags, diffraction effects cannot be ignored. However, for our design, the device geometry (transducer aperture \( w \) compared to wavelength \( \lambda \)) is such that we stay relatively well in the near-field region. The Fresnel limit \( x_c \) is obtained from [9]:

\[
x_c = \frac{(1 - \gamma) w^2}{\lambda},
\]

where the anisotropy parameter \( \gamma \) has a value of \(-0.43 \) for \( 128^\circ \)-LiNbO\(_3\) [10]. For our device, \( w = 155 \mu\text{m} \) and \( \lambda = 1.6 \mu\text{m} \), which gives \( x_c = 21.5 \text{ mm} \). The round-trip path lengths for the code reflections range from 4.7 mm to 10.9 mm.

### D. Tag Response

The response of the tag designed above and having the geometry shown in Fig. 13 is presented in Fig. 14. The uniformity of peaks is excellent, but the inclined reflectors are still to be optimized for a lower level of losses.

It is obvious from Fig. 7 that the SPUDTs and the inclined reflectors are not yet optimal. First, they do not have the desired center frequency: the innermost notches in Fig. 7 give 2435.6 MHz for the center frequency of the SPUDTs, and the outermost notches yield 2430.3 MHz for that of the inclined reflectors. In order to shift both components to 2441.75 MHz, we scale the size of these structures accordingly. Second, the passband of the inclined reflectors is wider than necessary. We attempt to make it as narrow as that of the SPUDTs by increasing the number of fingers in the inclined reflectors from 21 to 28. This also should decrease losses. We also attempt to reduce the total losses by optimizing the geometry of the inclined reflectors: based on experimental data, their optimal inclination angle is 10.51°. The absolute value of the \( S_{21} \) parameter of a Z-path delay line before and after these modifications is shown in Fig. 15. The IDT and the reflector bands now have the same center frequency of 2445.1 MHz (a bit higher than expected), the reflector band is nearly as narrow as the IDT band, and the minimum insertion loss is now \(-16.6 \text{ dB} \).
III. Conclusions

In this work, we have shown — through simulations and experiments — that the size of a SAW RFID tag can be significantly reduced by replacing a bidirectional IDT with a SPUDT, and by folding the acoustic channel into a Z-path by using two strongly reflecting inclined reflectors. Due to the anisotropy of the substrate material (128°-LiNbO₃), phase and energy velocities of a SAW are not equal. Therefore, we have used slowness data when calculating the device geometry.

The advantage of the Z-path geometry is that the same space in x-direction can be used for both the initial delay and the code reflectors. This means that the chip size is determined only by the space required by the code reflectors. The proposed configuration is especially advantageous for tags having a relatively long initial delay compared to the space required by the code reflectors. For such devices, a chip size of less than 2 mm by 1 mm (at 2.45 GHz) is realizable.

Another advantage of the Z-path geometry is that the direction of the twice reflected wave always remains parallel to the initial direction of propagation. This makes the device operation practically insensitive to temperature variations of size and velocities.
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