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We propose a new type of a transition edge sensor based on an Al/AlOx/Ti/AlOx/Al superconductor—insulator—superconductor—insulator—superconductor (SIS’IS) structure. It exhibits sharp dependence of zero bias resistance on temperature of the titanium absorber in the vicinity of its superconducting critical temperature. We demonstrate temperature sensitivity of the device to be 2 μK/√Hz. Noise Equivalent Power (NEP) of the device, limited by the amplifier noise, is estimated to be 4 × 10^{-17} W/√Hz at 313 mK. The tunnel junctions between superconducting leads should help to overcome the size limitation imposed by proximity effect in conventional transition edge sensors, without sacrificing the sensitivity. Besides that the input resistance of the device can be tuned in a wide range. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Josephson junctions are used in a variety of applications ranging from radio-frequency mixers to magnetic resonance imaging of human tissues in medicine. Here, we propose a novel Josephson junction based radiation detector. More specifically, we consider a SIS’IS structure with aluminum leads and titanium island in the middle. We demonstrate that the resistance of this structure is very sensitive to the temperature of the island in the vicinity of titanium transition temperature, $T_{cTi}$, at which Josephson critical currents of the junctions have strong temperature dependence.

The sharpness of the superconducting phase transition is often utilized for metrological purposes. For example, transition temperatures of several metals are used as the reference points in approximating the official international temperature scale ITS-90. It is therefore not surprising that transition edge sensors (TES) made use of this sharpness to detect radiation. Conventional TES contains a superconducting absorber well connected to the superconducting leads and kept at a temperature close to the critical one. The heating of the absorber by incoming radiation results in a change of its resistance. TES sensors have excellent sensitivity to THz radiation, extremely low noise levels and low input impedance, which is necessary for good coupling with sensitive SQUID detectors. One of the realizations of a TES employs a titanium absorber coupled to niobium leads and resembles our structure. The sensitivity of TES technique is limited by such factors as the proximity induced superconductivity in the absorber, and the escape of excited quasiparticles into the leads. Detectors with normal island in the middle, i.e., SINIS detectors, have also been proposed for bolometric applications. Their sensitivity is comparable to that of TES, but their input impedance is typically much higher. Sensors based on an SNS Josephson junction have been recently proposed as well.

sensor, which we propose here, is similar to that of a TES and SINIS sensors, and in analogy to those SIS’IS sensor can be used at terahertz frequencies. However, it has several important differences, which may be favorable for certain applications. First, the presence of tunnel junctions suppresses the proximity effect. Second, our device should work at low bias, which potentially makes it less noisy and less dissipative. Third, zero bias resistance of the device varies over many decades, typically from hundreds of kΩms down to zero, which allows one to tune the input impedance and choose, for example, the value close to 50 Ω. This choice may reduce the device sensitivity to some extent but improves the coupling to high frequency electronics.

II. SAMPLE GEOMETRY AND FABRICATION

An SEM image of our structure is shown in Fig. 1(a). The junctions have been fabricated with electron beam lithography and shadow angle deposition. The aluminum metal was oxidized in situ, on top of the first deposited layer. A buffer layer of aluminum of few nanometers has been then deposited before electron beam evaporation of titanium in order to ensure high quality of the junctions. High evaporation rate of 40–50 Å/s has been used, which allowed us to achieve a critical temperature of titanium close to 300 mK reproducibly. The normal state specific resistance of the junctions was typically 400 Ω μm². All measurements have been performed in a dilution refrigerator. Room temperature noise has been filtered out with lossy coaxial lines thermalized at the mixing chamber temperature. SIS’IS sample has been measured in a four-probe scheme. Normal state resistance of the structure has been measured at 4 K and equals $2R_s = 1240 \Omega$. The gap of aluminum was found to be $\Delta_{Al} = 203.4 \mu eV$, the titanium gap at zero temperature was $\Delta_{Ti} = 44.6 \mu eV$, the corresponding critical temperature was $T_{cTi} \approx 315$ mK, capacitance of a single junction was estimated to be $C = 40$ fF, and the volume of the absorber was $V = 0.27 \mu m^3$.
III. THEORETICAL MODEL

A. Heat balance equation

Before discussing the results of our measurements in detail, we briefly introduce the theoretical model of the device. The bath temperature is kept at temperature $T_{ph}$ close to, but below $T_{c1}$. Weak energy input $Q_{ext}$ from external sources leads to the elevation of the electron temperature of the absorber, $T_e$, which should be found from linearized heat balance equation

$$c(T)\dot{T}_e = Q_{ext} - G_{ih}(T)(T - T_{ph}).$$

Here, we have introduced the specific heat of the absorber $c(T) \approx c(T_{c1}^*) = \gamma T_{c1}^* + L$, where $\gamma = 315 \text{ J/m}^3/\text{K}^2$ is Sommerfeld constant of titanium and $L = 0.43 T_{c1}^*$ is the contribution due to the latent heat of the superconducting transition. The thermal conductance $G_{ih}$ is the sum of three contributions,

$$G_{ih} = 5\Sigma T^4 + 2G_{V}^{eq} + G_{s},$$

where the first term is due to electron phonon coupling in the absorber with $\Sigma = 1.56 \times 10^{-9} \text{ W/m}^3/\text{K}^5$ being the material constant of titanium, the second one describes heat escape through the junctions and the last term, $G_s$, accounts for heat leakage to the electromagnetic environment, which we will neglect below for simplicity. Thermal conductance of a Josephson junction at bias currents below the critical one and at temperature close to $T_{c1}^*$ may be roughly estimated as (see, e.g., Ref. 15 for more details)

$$G_{V}^{eq} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2}k_B\Delta_{Al}^{3/2}}{e^2R_n(k_BT)^{3/2}} e^{-\Delta_{Al}/k_BT} + \beta(k_BT)^{3/2}V/\sqrt{\Delta_{Al}eR_n},$$

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $\Delta_{Al}$ is the energy gap of aluminum, $T$ is the temperature of the lead, $R_n$ is the normal resistance of the lead, $e$ is the electron charge, $V$ is the voltage across the junction, and $\beta$ is a dimensionless parameter.

Here, the first term is the low temperature expansion of thermal conductivity of normal metal—superconductor tunnel junction at zero bias voltage, and the second term is the contribution of phase slip or phase diffusion processes. The dimensionless parameter $\beta \approx 1$ depends on the shape of the resistive branch of the junction I-V curve. We find that in our device the thermal conductance is dominated by the electron-phonon contribution, which equals $5\Sigma T^4 \approx 16 \text{ pW/K}$. The contribution of the junctions is lower and equals $G_{V}^{eq} \approx 1 \text{ pW/K}$. The relaxation time of the device may be estimated as $\tau = c(T)\dot{V}/G_{ih} \approx 2 \mu s$.

B. Transport in SIS’IS tunnel junction

Next, we turn to the I-V curve of our device. We assume that the junctions have identical parameters and define density of states of the leads in the Dynes form $\nu_j(E) = |\Re((E + i\eta_j)/\sqrt{(E + i\eta_j)^2 - \Delta_j^2})|$, where the index $j$ refers to either titanium or aluminum. The quasiparticle current through the junction reads

$$I_{qp} = \frac{eR_n}{2\pi e}\int dE \nu_{Al}(E - eV/2) \nu_{Ti}(E) \times \left[f_{Al}(E - eV/2, T) - f_{Ti}(E, T)\right],$$

where $V$ is the total voltage drop across the two junctions and $f_{j}(E, T)$ are the quasiparticle distribution functions in the leads and in the absorber. By fitting the I-V curves at $T_{bath} > T_{c1}^*$ to Eq. (4), we have found $\eta_{Al} = 3.4 \times 10^{-3} \Delta_{Al}$. Below the critical temperature of titanium, the Josephson junction is described by the usual equation

$$C_{j} \frac{\hbar \dot{\phi}}{2e} + \frac{1}{R_{qp}(T)} \frac{\hbar \dot{\phi}}{2e} + I_e \sin \phi = I + \dot{\zeta}(t),$$

where $C_{j}$ is the junction capacitance, $R_{qp}(T)$ is zero bias quasiparticle resistance of a single junction derived from Eq. (4), $\dot{\zeta}(t)$ is the Josephson phase, $I$ is the bias current, $I_e$ is the critical current of a single junction, $\dot{\zeta}(t)$ is the noise with the spectral density $S_{\dot{\zeta}} = 2k_BT/R_{qp}(T)$, where $\dot{\zeta}$ is the noise temperature of the environment. Since $I_e$ is small in the vicinity of $T_{c1}^*$, the junction should be overdamped or only slightly underdamped. Hence one can approximately put $C = 0$ in Eq. (5) while discussing zero bias resistance. In this way we arrive at the expression for zero bias resistance of our device in the form

$$R_{0}(T) = \frac{2R_{qp}(T)}{I_e^2 \left(hc_T(T)/2ek_BT\right)},$$

where $I_0$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. Equation (7) describes both the growth of the resistance with decreasing temperature at $T_{bath} > T_{c1}^*$ as well as its sharp drop at temperatures below $T_{c1}^*$. We emphasize that sharp
dependence of zero bias resistance on the absorber temperature $T$ comes from the temperature dependence of the critical current (6) and the superconducting gap $\Delta_{\text{Ti}}$ ($T$). The environment temperature $T^*$ may differ from $T$. From Eqs. (6) and (7), one can estimate maximum theoretical responsivity of the device

$$\left. \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial T} \right|_{\text{max}} \approx 0.065 \frac{R_0^2 R_{\text{mp}}(T_{\text{Ti}})}{R_n^2} \left( \frac{\ln \Delta_{\text{Al}}}{k_B T_{\text{c}}^2} + 0.82 \right)^2,$$

where $R_0 = h/e^2$ is the resistance quantum. For the parameters of our sample, we find $\left. \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial T} \right|_{\text{max}} \approx 3.7 \times 10^8 \Omega/K$. In reality the transition is always broadened due to, for example, sample inhomogeneity, fluctuations of the superconducting order parameter etc., and the responsivity stays below this value.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Sample characterisation

Figure 1(c) shows the measured I-V curves of our device. Due to heating effects they are hysteretic. The switching to the resistive branch occurs at currents much lower than the theoretical value of $I_c$ (6). We measure zero bias resistance of the structure in a current biased scheme (Fig. 1(b)). An AC current drive is applied, the voltage response is measured in a four-probe configuration using a lock-in detector, and its amplitude provides the measure of the electronic temperature $T$ of the titanium absorber. The phonon temperature, assumed to be equal to the bath temperature, is measured with a calibrated ruthenium oxide thermometer attached to the sample stage. The amplitude of the probe current is kept fixed during a temperature sweep. Close to $T_{\text{Ti}}$, where the critical current becomes very small, the probe current becomes sufficient by large to make the junctions switch to the resistive state. Since in addition, one of the junctions has a larger resistance than the other one, reducing its critical current, one should be able to observe the switching of each junction independently as the temperature increases. This is explaining the broadening and double step shape of the transition curve in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). The responsivity of the thermometer, $\partial R_0/\partial T$, is extracted by numerical derivation. The maximum in the responsivity is achieved close to the critical temperature (Fig. 2(c)) and equals $1.3 \times 10^7 \Omega/K$, which is approximately 30 times lower than the theoretical expectation (8). The logarithmic derivative of the resistance, $x = d\ln R/d\ln T$, which characterizes the sharpness of the transition of our sample, $x \approx 200$, is comparable to the usual Ti-TES sensors.6,17 The half-width of the transition, $\delta T_{\text{max}}$, determined from Fig. 2(c) roughly equals 2 mK. Thus the sensor may absorb up to $G_{\text{abs}} \delta T_{\text{max}} \approx 34 \text{ fW}$ of optical power load without being saturated.

B. Sensitivity measurements

Before measuring the noise, we have stabilized the bath temperature and measured the I-V curve of the device in order to find the switching current at this specific temperature. We have chosen the amplitude of the probe current to be half of the switching current value. Afterwards, the bath temperature has been slowly modulated with the amplitude of less than 1 mK and with the frequency of 0.01 Hz, see Fig. 3(b). We have monitored the time evolution of zero bias

FIG. 2. (a) Zero bias resistance versus bath temperature (blue points) and fits to Eq. (7) (dashed green). The inset shows switching and retrapping currents emphasizing the non-hysteretic behavior near $T_c$. (b) Shape of the transition curve close to $T_{\text{Ti}}$. Unequal junctions (red curve) can explain the small step in the middle of the experimental curve, as one them switches before the other. (c) Responsivity $\partial R_0/\partial T$.

FIG. 3. (a) and (b) AC temperature drive measured by the calibrated ruthenium oxide thermometer (a) and the SIS'IS thermometer (b). (c) Fast Fourier transform of the two signals, allowing us to extract the noise level of the thermometers. (d) Schematic setup was the lock-in amplifiers are depicted as mixers followed by a low pass filter.
resistance (Fig. 3(c)) over several drive periods and sub-
sequently applied Fourier transformation to it. The result is
plotted in Fig. 3(a). A clear peak at 0.01 Hz corresponds to
the frequency of the temperature drive, while the plateau
between 0.01 Hz and 0.1 Hz indicates the effective level of
the temperature noise of the device, $S_T$, which limits its reso-

The bandwidth of the thermometer is determined
by the lock-in time constant, which was rather long in our
setup, $\tau_0 \sim 300 \text{ ms}$. In principle, this parameter can be safely
reduced as long as it stays much longer than the inverse drive
frequency. The bandwidth of the thermometer can be
increased to at least few kHz without loss of sensitivity, up
to the cut-off frequency of the DC-lines.

In Fig. 4, the dependence of the noise on the amplitude
of the sinusoidal excitation current $I$ is plotted. The tempera-
ture noise is the combination of amplifier noise and tempera-
ture noise,\footnote{In our device, the amplifier noise $S_V = 4 \text{nV}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ dominates. At the optimal operating temperature $T = 313 \text{ mK}$ the noise saturates at the minimum value $S_T \approx 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ for probe currents higher than 0.2 nA, where $1/f$ background noise starts to dominate. The performance of the radiation detector is usually characterized by noise equivalent power (NEP), which is estimated as $\text{NEP} = G_{th} S_T$. For our device and in the absence of any THz radiation source, we find $\text{NEP} \approx 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. This value is about 10 times higher than that of the best conventional TES at 350 mK,\footnote{It can be easily reduced, let say twice, by reducing the volume of the absorber. A combination of these two simple measures already gives $\text{NEP} = 5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. More importantly, the critical temperature of titanium may be reduced down to 50 mK, or even below. In order to estimate NEP of our device at such a low temperature, we use Eqs. (2) and (7) and substitute the result in Eq. (9). Keeping the same amplitude of the driving current, i.e., $I = 0.2 \text{nA}$, we arrive at the value $\text{NEP} \approx 10^{-20} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, which is comparable with what has been demonstrated for a traditional TES.\footnote{If the critical temperature is reduced, the critical current density increases. This issue requires further research and we leave it beyond the scope of this paper. Our design allows one to tune the impedance of the sensor in a wide range. It also ensures better confinement of exited quasiparticles in the absorber.}} given by

$$S_T = \sqrt{\left(\frac{S_V}{I \partial R_0/\partial T}\right)^2 + \frac{2k_B T^2}{G_{th}}}.$$\footnote{For example, HEMT amplifiers reduced by optimization of the device parameters. First, one can use a better amplifier. For example, HEMT amplifiers demonstrate the noise of $1 \text{nV}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ at 4.2 K. Second, the heat conductance $G_{th}$ can be easily reduced, let say twice, by more stable. This issue requires further research and we leave it beyond the scope of this paper. Our design allows one to tune the impedance of the sensor in a wide range. It also ensures better confinement of exited quasiparticles in the absorber.}

FIG. 4. Measured noise Equivalent Temperature (NET) of the SIS’IS structure is plotted for three different bath temperatures (color symbols). Straight lines are the fits to the Eq. (9). For $T_{\text{bias}} = 296 \text{ mK}$, the low observed responsivity coupled to a low impedance lead to uncertainty that might explain the low noise observed.

\section{V. CONCLUSION}

In summary, we have proposed a new type of a transition edge sensor, in which a superconducting absorber is coupled to two superconducting leads by Josephson tunnel junctions. We have fabricated the structure with titanium absorber and aluminum leads; it operates above 300 mK. By measuring its noise, we have demonstrated that the NEP of our device is comparable to that of conventional TES sen-

\section{ACKNOWLEDGMENTS}

This material is based upon work supported by the Academy of Finland under Project nos. 139172 and 250280 (Center of Excellence) and by the European Commission under Project No. 264034 (Q-NET Marie Curie Initial Training Network). We would like to thank M. Meschke and J. Peltonen for the fruitful advices they gave on the fabrication of titanium tunnel junction. The research made use of the Otaniemi Research Infrastructure for Micro- and Nanotechnology.

\begin{thebibliography}{10}
\bibitem{8} L. Kuzmin, \textit{Microelectron. Eng.} 69, 309 (2003).
\end{thebibliography}