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We investigate the dynamics of polymer translocation through a nanopore using two-dimensional Langevin dynamics simulations. In the absence of an external driving force, we consider a polymer which is initially placed in the middle of the pore and study the escape time $\tau$ required for the polymer to completely exit the pore on either side. The distribution of the escape times is wide and has a long tail. We find that $\tau$ scales with the chain length $N$ as $\tau \sim N^{v+\gamma}$, where $v$ is the Flory exponent. For driven translocation, we concentrate on the influence of the friction coefficient $\xi$, the driving force $E$, and the length of the chain $N$ on the translocation time $\tau$, which is defined as the time duration between the first monomer entering the pore and the last monomer leaving the pore. For strong driving forces, the distribution of translocation times is symmetric and narrow without a long tail and $\tau \sim E^{-1}$. The influence of $\xi$ depends on the ratio between the driving and frictional forces. For intermediate $\xi$, we find a crossover scaling for $\tau$ with $N$ from $\tau \sim N^{v+\gamma}$ for relatively short chains to $\tau \sim N^{1+\gamma}$ for longer chains. However, for higher $\xi$, only $\tau \sim N^{1+\gamma}$ is observed even for short chains, and there is no crossover behavior. This result can be explained by the fact that increasing $\xi$ increases the Rouse relaxation time of the chain, in which case even relatively short chains have no time to relax during translocation. Our results are in good agreement with previous simulations based on the fluctuating bond lattice model of polymers at intermediate friction values, but reveal additional features of dependency on friction. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2557118]

I. INTRODUCTION

The transport of a polymer through a nanopore is associated with an energy barrier arising from loss of configurational entropy due to the geometric constriction. Such processes are commonly observed in biology, such as DNA and RNA translocation across nuclear pores, protein transport through membrane channels, and virus injection. Moreover, translocation processes have various potential technological applications, such as rapid DNA sequencing, gene therapy, controlled drug delivery, etc. In addition, the translocation dynamics is also a challenging topic in polymer physics. Particularly, the scaling of translocation time $\tau$ with the chain length $N$ is an important measure of the underlying dynamics of polymer translocation. As a result, recently a considerable number of experimental, theoretical, and numerical studies have been carried out.

In order to overcome a large entropic barrier in polymer translocation and to speed up the translocation, an external driving force is needed in experiments, such as an electric field, chemical potential difference, or selective adsorption on one side of the membrane. For example, in 1996, Kasiangowicz et al. reported an elegant experiment where an electric field can drive single-stranded DNA and RNA molecules through the $\alpha$-hemolysin channel of inside diameter of 2 nm and that the passage of each molecule is signaled by the blockade in the channel current. In fact, the translocation process includes two essential steps. First, one end of the polymer enters the pore directed by diffusion and by the action of an electric field near the pore. The experimental results show that the ability of the polymer to enter the nanopore depends linearly on polymer concentration and exponentially on the applied voltage. Second, the polymer is translocated from one side of the membrane to the other, driven by the electric field. In the experiment for $\alpha$-hemolysin, the linear behavior of $\tau$ with the $N$ is observed. In addition, an inverse quadratic dependence of the translocation time on applied voltage are observed for different experiments.

To overcome the limited voltage range that can be applied across a biological pore and the difficulty in analyzing the current variations because the shot noise is comparable to the expected signal, recently Li et al. showed that a solid-state nanopore could also be used for similar experiments. Most Recently, Storm et al. carried out a set of
experiments on double-stranded DNA molecules with various lengths that translocate through a solid-state nanopore.\textsuperscript{16} Surprisingly, a power-law scaling of the most probable translocation time with the polymer length, with an exponent of 1.27, was observed, in contrast to the linear behavior observed for all experiments on α-hemolysin channel.

Inspired by the experiments,\textsuperscript{7,11,17} a number of recent theories\textsuperscript{17–36} have been developed for the dynamics of polymer translocation. Even for the field-free case, polymer translocation remains a challenging problem. To this end, Sung and Park\textsuperscript{19} and Muthukumar\textsuperscript{22} considered equilibrium translocation remains a challenging problem. To this end, Sung and Park\textsuperscript{19} and Muthukumar\textsuperscript{22} considered equilibrium translocation dynamics for both phantom and self-avoiding polymers. They demonstrated that the first monomer, which is initially placed in the pore, is eventually in the pore. In addition, dynamic Monte Carlo simulation for the study of translocation dynamics. Chuang\textsuperscript{32} performed numerical simulations with Rouse dynamics for a two-dimensional (2D) lattice model to study the translocation for both phantom and self-avoiding polymers. They decoupled the translocation dynamics from the diffusion dynamics outside the pore by imposing the artificial restriction that the first monomer, which is initially placed in the pore, is never allowed to cross back out of the pore. Their results show that for long N, translocation time scales approximately in the same manner as equilibration time.

For forced translocation, Sung and Park\textsuperscript{19} and Muthukumar\textsuperscript{22} suggested a linear dependence $\tau \sim N$ under a strong field. This is in agreement with some experimental results\textsuperscript{7,11} for polymer translocation through α-hemolysin channel. In addition, dynamic Monte Carlo (MC) simulation using Gaussian chain model\textsuperscript{37} and Langevin dynamics simulation using rather short chains\textsuperscript{36,45} seem to support the linear behavior. However, the above theories cannot explain the recent experimental result, namely, that $\tau \sim N^{1.27}$ for polymer translocation through the solid-state nanopore.\textsuperscript{17} Recently, Kantor and Kardar\textsuperscript{33} demonstrated that the assumption of equilibrium in Brownian polymer dynamics by Sung and Park\textsuperscript{19} and Muthukumar\textsuperscript{22} breaks down more easily in the presence of a driving field and provided a lower bound $N^{1+\nu}$ for the translocation time by comparison to the unimpeded motion of the polymer. However, in their simulations they failed to observe this scaling behavior, which was attributed to the finite size effect of the polymer length.\textsuperscript{33} In addition, they also checked a polymer that is being pulled by one end. A quadratic dependence of $\tau$ on $N$ is predicted in their theory and confirmed by their simulations.\textsuperscript{33}

Most recently, we have investigated both free and forced translocations using the two-dimensional fluctuating bond (FB) model with single-segment Monte Carlo moves. For the free translocation, to overcome the entropic barrier without artificial restrictions we considered a polymer, which is initially placed in the middle of the pore, and examined the escape time $\tau_e$ required for the polymer to completely exit the pore on either end. We found numerically that $\tau_e$ scales with the chain length $N$ as $\tau_e \sim N^{1+2\nu}$, which is the same scaling as predicted for the translocation time of a polymer which passes through the nanopore in one direction only.\textsuperscript{32,34}

In addition, we also investigated the interplay between the pore length $L$ and the radius of gyration $R_g$. For $L \ll R_g$, we numerically verified that asymptotically $\tau_e \sim N^{1+2\nu}$, while for $L \gg R_g$, we found $\tau_e \sim N$. For forced translocation, we investigated the translocation dynamics under an external field within the pore. As our main result, we found a crossover scaling for the translocation time $\tau$ with the chain length $\tau \sim N^{2\nu}$ for relatively short polymers to $\tau \sim N^{1+\nu}$ for longer chains. Our results disagree with the experimental data that $\tau$ depends linearly on $N$ in the case of α-hemolysin,\textsuperscript{7,11} but the predicted short chain exponent $2\nu = 1.18$ in three dimensions agrees reasonably well with the solid-state nanopore experiments of Storm et al.,\textsuperscript{17} where the experimental setup is closer to the theoretical models investigated. No crossover in scaling behavior was observed in this experiment, presumably due to the fact that the polymers used in experiments are not long enough.

In this paper we investigate the translocation dynamics using Langevin dynamics (LD) simulations. Previous LD simulations using rather short chains\textsuperscript{36,45} seem to support the linear behavior for forced translocation time as a function of $N$, which is not expected to be correct for self-avoiding polymer. Also this result is in contradiction with the FB model simulations.\textsuperscript{36} On the other hand, the FB model is based on single-segment Monte Carlo moves and thus neglects all translational degrees of freedom of the chain. Thus it is important to check that this does not adversely affect translocation dynamics. In Sec. II, we briefly describe our model and the simulation technique. In Sec. III, we present our results for both free and driven translocations. For the free case, the emphasis is on verifying the scaling exponent obtained previously with the FB model\textsuperscript{19,35}. For translocation under an electric field, we concentrate on studying the crossover in the scaling of translocation time as a function of the polymer length and on the influence of friction in the scaling exponent. Finally, the conclusions and discussion are in Sec. IV.

\section{II. Model and Method}

In the simulations, the polymer chains are modeled as bead-spring chains of Lennard-Jones (LJ) particles with the finite extension nonlinear elastic (FENE) potential. Both excluded volume and van der Waals interactions between beads are modeled by a repulsive LJ potential between all bead pairs:

$$U_{\text{LJ}}(r) = \begin{cases} 
4\epsilon[(\sigma r)^{12} - (\sigma r)^6] + \epsilon, & r \leq 2^{1/6}\sigma \\
0, & r > 2^{1/6}\sigma, 
\end{cases}$$

where $\sigma$ is the diameter of a bead and $\epsilon$ is the parameter adjusting the depth of the potential. The connectivity between the beads is modeled as a FENE spring,
\[ U_{\text{FENE}}(r) = -\frac{1}{2}kR_0^2 \ln(1 - r^2/R_0^2) , \]  

where \( r \) is the separation between consecutive beads, \( k \) is the spring constant, and \( R_0 \) is the maximum allowed separation between connected beads.

In the Langevin dynamics method, each bead is subjected to conservative, frictional, and random forces \( \mathbf{F}_c, \mathbf{F}_f, \) and \( \mathbf{F}_r \), respectively, and obeys the following equation of motion: \(^{49}\)

\[ m\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_i = \mathbf{F}_c + \mathbf{F}_f + \mathbf{F}_r, \]

where \( m \) is the monomer’s mass. Excluded volume interaction is explicitly included in \( \mathbf{F}_c \). Hydrodynamic drag is included through the frictional force, which for individual monomers is \( \mathbf{F}_f = -\xi \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i \), where \( \xi \) is the friction coefficient and \( \mathbf{v}_i \) is the monomer’s velocity. The Brownian motion of the monomer resulting from the random bombardment of solvent molecules is included through \( \mathbf{F}_r \) and can be calculated using the fluctuation dissipation theorem:

\[ \langle \mathbf{F}_r(t) \rangle = 0, \]

\[ \langle \mathbf{F}_r(t) \cdot \mathbf{F}_r(t') \rangle = 6k_B T \delta(t-t'). \]

The conservative force in the Langevin equation consists of several terms, \( \mathbf{F}_c = \mathbf{F}_{\text{LJ}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{FENE}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{driving}} \). The driving force depends on potential difference. For the spontaneous case there is no driving force.

The wall is described as \( l \) columns of stationary particles within distance \( \sigma \) from one another which interact with the beads by the repulsive Lennard-Jones potential. Wall particle positions are not changed in the simulations. The pore is introduced in the wall by simply removing \( w \) beads from each column. Under an electric field, the potential is expressed as

\[ U_e = \begin{cases} -E l/2, & x > l/2 \\ -Ex, & l/2 \leq x \leq -l/2 \text{ and } y^2 \leq (w/2)^2 \\ E l/2, & x < -l/2, \end{cases} \]

where \( E \) is the electric field strength, \( l \) is the pore length, and \( w \) is the pore width.

In our simulations, the LJ parameters \( \epsilon \) and \( \sigma \) fix the system energy and length units, respectively. Time scale is given by \( t_1 = (m \sigma^2 / \epsilon)^{1/2} \). The parameters are \( \sigma = 1, R_0 = 2\sigma \), and \( k = 7\epsilon \). The Langevin equation is integrated in time by a method described by Ermak and Buckholtz \(^{48}\) in 2D. For the pore, we set \( w=2 \) and \( l=1 \) unless otherwise stated. To create the initial configuration, the first monomer of the chain is placed in the entrance of the pore. The polymer is then let to relax to obtain an equilibrium configuration such that the first monomer position is fixed at the entrance, but the other monomers are under thermal collisions described by the Langevin thermostat. In all of our simulations we did a number of runs with uncorrelated initial states and random numbers describing the random collisions. The estimate for the translocation time was obtained by neglecting any failed translocation and then calculating the average duration of the accepted translocations. We note here that in some articles, e.g., in Ref. 38, the estimate for the translocation time is chosen to be the most probable translocation time. We checked that this does not change the scaling behavior of the chains.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Free translocation

The translocation of a polymer through a nanopore faces a large entropic barrier due to the loss of a great number of available configurations. In previous simulations, \(^{32,33}\) an artificial restriction is imposed to prevent the first monomer from escaping from the pore. However, this restriction is unphysical. Very recently, we suggested a new method to investigate the translocation dynamics without any restriction. \(^{35}\) In this method, the middle monomer is initially placed in the middle of the pore. The polymer can escape the pore from either side in time defined as the escape time \( \tau_e \). Here, we use this method to investigate the distribution of escape times and the scaling of \( \tau_e \) with the chain length. As discussed below, the distribution of escape times is similar to the distribution of translocation time in the study by Chuang et al. \(^{32}\) More importantly, escape time scales in the same way with the chain length as translocation time.

In the absence of the driving force translocation is extremely slow. For this reason we studied polymers of length only up to \( N=300 \). The distribution of escape times is shown in Fig. 1 for a polymer of length \( N/2=80 \) normalized by its mean value. We find that the escape times are distributed on a wide range with a long tail. The distribution is similar to those found previously with the FB model by Chuang et al. \(^{32}\) The average escape time \( \tau_e \) as a function of \( N \) is shown in Fig. 2(a). We find the scaling exponent \( x=2.48\pm0.07 \). It is clear that the average escape time is different from the most probable escape time. However, we have checked that the scaling exponents are almost the same. Using the most probable escape time, we find \( x=2.5\pm0.1 \) [see Fig. 2(b)]. The above results are in excellent agreement with the theoretical prediction \( \tau_e \sim N^{1.17\pm0.04} \) (Refs. 32 and 34) and our previous results \( \tau_e \sim N^{2.50\pm0.01} \) \(^{35}\).
B. Polymer translocation under an electric field

For driven translocation, the chain is initially placed on one side of the pore with one end of it in the pore entrance, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Then the chain is allowed to reach an equilibrium state, but with the constraint that the first monomer is fixed. Once the polymer is in its equilibrium state, the first monomer at the entrance of the pore is released, and that moment is designated as the start of the translocation. The translocation time is defined as the time duration taken for the chain to move through the pore. The typical snapshots of polymer configurations during translocation under an electric field are presented in Fig. 3(b). It is important to note that not all simulation runs lead to a successful translocation and even when they do, translocation times vary over a wide range of values. The success ratio describing the percentage of successful translocations depends on various factors. The driving force strength is the most important factor in increasing the success probability. On the contrary, increasing the chain length and the frictional force decreases the success probability. Below, we consider only the translocation time $\tau$ over all successful runs.

1. Distribution of translocation times

As shown in Fig. 4, the distribution of translocation times has a qualitatively different shape compared with the distribution of the escape times in Fig. 1 and the distribution of translocation times studied by Chuang et al. $^{32}$ for the free case. In this case the distribution of translocation times is symmetric and narrow without a long tail. The stronger the driving force, the narrower the distribution of translocation times. A very important feature is that the average translocation time and the most probable translocation time are almost identical. Thus the average translocation time $\tau$ is well defined.

2. Effects of $\xi$ and $E$ on $\tau$

In the Langevin equation, the friction coefficient $\xi$ describes the strength of coupling to the solvent. The value $\xi=0$ corresponds to the absence of the solvent molecules and would result in ballistic movement of particles. In the opposite limit $\xi\to\infty$, i.e., the overdamped limit, inertia plays no role in the dynamics.

The translocation time as a function of friction $\xi$ for $E=5\epsilon$ and $k_BT=1.2\epsilon$ is shown in Fig. 5. As expected, $\tau$ increases with increasing $\xi$ due to the increases of the frictional force. An important feature is that two regimes are observed. In the first regime, when $\xi<0.5$, the translocation time increases very slowly with $\xi$. However, in the second regime, for $\xi>0.5$, it increases rapidly and linearly with $\xi$. These results can be understood from the interplay between the driving force and the frictional force according to the Langevin equation. For small $\xi$, the driving force and inertia play dominant roles compared with the frictional force. As a result, the translocation time $\tau$ has a weak dependence on friction, thus increases only slightly with $\xi$. However, when $\xi$ is large enough, inertia can be neglected. According to the balance of the driving force and the frictional force, the horizontal velocity of the center of mass $v_{c.m.} \sim E/\xi$. Thus the translocation time $\tau \sim 1/v_{c.m.} \sim \xi/E$. $^{50}$

The influence of the driving force on the translocation time has also been investigated and the results are illustrated in Fig. 6 for a chain of length $N=100$. We find that $\tau \sim E^{-0.97\pm0.02}$, which is in excellent agreement with simple argument above that $\tau \sim E^{-1}$ in the regime where inertia can be neglected. This finding is in excellent agreement with the results from the experiments of Kasianowicz et al. $^{7}$ who found that the translocation is inversely proportional to the electric field strength. By contrast, our result disagrees with another experimental finding of an inverse quadratic dependence of $E$ for $\alpha$-hemolysin channel. $^{11}$

FIG. 3. The polymer configurations for $N=300$ under an electric field $E=5\epsilon$, $\xi=0.7$, and $k_BT=1.2\epsilon$ (a) before translocation and (b) during translocation.
3. Crossover scaling behavior of the translocation time with the chain length

The translocation time as a function of the polymer length with $\xi=0.7$ is presented in Fig. 7. In each figure, a shifted solid line is plotted beneath the data to which the curve is fitted. The curve is continued with the same slope but for clarity it is plotted as a dashed line. The inset shows the local scaling exponent $x$ in which each point is the slope of a curve fitted to four points.

The result for $E=5\epsilon$ and $k_BT=1.2\epsilon$ is shown in Fig. 7(a). One of the main features is that a crossover scaling behavior is observed. For short chains ($N=70$), the scaling exponent $x=1.50\pm0.01$, which is in good agreement with $2\nu$, where $\nu=0.75$ is the Flory exponent for a self-avoiding walk in 2D. However, for $N=300$ the exponent becomes $x=1.69\pm0.04$, which is close to $1+\nu=1.75$. The polymer assumes a more compact configuration resulting from both the dynamical effect and geometrical restriction. This leads to a smaller effective value for the exponent $\nu$ as compared to its Flory value.51 For the different electric strength $E$ and temperature $T$ and pore width $w$, the same exponent and crossover behavior are observed, as shown in Figs. 7(b)–7(d), respectively. Next, we investigate the effect of the friction on the scaling exponent. In Fig. 8 we present results for $\tau$ as a function of $N$ with $E=5\epsilon$ for three different frictions: $\xi=0.4$, $\xi=1.5$, and $\xi=3$. For $\xi=0.4$ and $\xi=1.5$, a clear crossover is observed, but for $\xi=3$ only $\tau\sim N^{1+2\nu}$ occurs.

To understand the above results, one should consider the configuration of the monomers after exiting from the pore during translocation under an electric field, as shown in Fig. 3(b). For driven translocation, there is a qualitative difference compared with the purely diffusive free translocation for long chains: translocation under a strong driving force is much faster than that for free diffusion and thus the translocated monomers do not have time to diffuse away from the vicinity of the pore exit [see Fig. 3(b)]. As to the effect of the friction on the translocation dynamics, on the other hand, increasing $\xi$ leads to the increase of the frictional force, resulting in slowing down of the translocation. On the other hand, the Rouse relaxation time for the self-avoiding chain is proportional to $\xi/k_BT$, which rapidly increases with $\xi$. The latter factor is always dominant and thus the translocation time is much shorter than the Rouse relaxation time. As a result, it is more difficult for translocated monomers to diffuse away from the pore exit even for short chains with higher $\xi$. We checked that the radius of gyration is larger before translocation than that immediately after it. This fact indicates the higher density of translocated monomers near the pore exit. It can be imagined that the higher density of translocated monomers near the pore exit greatly slows down the translocation. Therefore, for intermediate values of $\xi$, two scaling regimes for short and long chains are observed, respectively. However, for high friction such as $\xi=3$, the Rouse relaxation time is so long compared to the translocation time that it is more difficult for the translocated monomers to diffuse away even for short chains, thus the translocation dynamics directly enters into the regime where $\tau\sim N^{1+2\nu}$.

Our results disagree with the experimental data that $\tau$ depends linearly on $N$ for translocation through $\alpha$-hemolysin pore,7,11 but the predicted short chain exponent $2\nu=1.18$ in three dimensions (3D) agrees well with the solid-state nanopore experiments of Storm et al.,17 who found an exponent of 1.27. As pointed out by Storm et al.,17 the linear behavior
for α-hemolysin pore may be from the specific and complicated interaction between DNA chain and the pore. The beginning of the crossover region occurs at \( N \approx 300 \), which is beyond or near the longest ssDNA and dsDNA used in the experiments so far.\(^7,^{11,17}\) Thus, it is not surprising that crossover in scaling behavior has not been experimentally observed yet. Theoretically, as expected our results disagree with the linear dependence \( \tau \sim N \) prediction by Sung and Park\(^{19}\) and Muthukumar,\(^{22}\) which is invalid for nonequilibrium translocation. However, our results also disagree with the previous Langevin dynamics simulations for relatively short polymers,\(^{38,43}\) which show \( \tau \sim N \). The present results agree with the main findings in our previous FB studies\(^{36}\) for both short and long chains and the theoretical prediction \( \tau \sim N^{1+x} \) by Kantor and Kardar.\(^{33}\)

### 4. Crossover behavior of the translocation velocity

To study translocation dynamics in detail, we also calculated the translocation velocity \( v \) as a function of polymer length \( N \). The translocation velocity can be measured in sev-

---

**FIG. 7.** The translocation time as a function of polymer length \( N \) for \( \xi =0.7 \) and for (a) \( E=5\varepsilon \) and \( k_BT=1.2\varepsilon \), (b) \( E=2.4\varepsilon \) and \( k_BT=1.2\varepsilon \), (c) \( E=8.3\varepsilon \) and \( k_BT=2\varepsilon \), and (d) \( E=5\varepsilon \), \( k_BT=1.2\varepsilon \), and \( w=3 \). \( \tau \) is an average of 1000 runs. In each figure, a shifted solid line is plotted beneath the data to which the curve is fitted. The curve is continued with the same slope but for clarity it is plotted as a dashed line. The inset shows the local scaling exponent \( x \) in which each point is the slope of a curve fitted to four points.

**FIG. 8.** The translocation time as a function of polymer length \( N \) with an electric field of strength \( E=5\varepsilon \) as a driving force for \( k_BT=1.2\varepsilon \) and for (a) \( \xi=0.4 \), (b) \( \xi=1.5 \), and (c) \( \xi=3 \). \( \tau \) is an average of 1000 runs.
eral ways. A simple way is to measure the average horizontal velocity of the center of mass of the polymer over the whole duration of all successful runs:

$$v = \left\langle v_{c.m.,x} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i},$$

(6)

where $x_{i}$ is the horizontal component of the velocity of monomer $i$. In addition, the translocation velocity can also be defined as

$$v = \left\langle x_{0} \right\rangle \left\langle \tau_{i} \right\rangle,$$

(7)

where $x_{0}$ is the horizontal coordinate of the last monomer in the initial equilibrated configuration of the polymer before the translocation and $\tau_{i}$ is the translocation time for every successful run.

According to our numerical results for crossover scaling behavior, we must have that

$$v \sim N^{\nu / \xi} / \tau \sim \begin{cases} N^{\nu / \xi / 2} \sim N^{-\nu} & \text{for small } N \\ N^{\nu / \xi / 1 + \nu} \sim N^{1-\nu} & \text{for large } N. \end{cases}$$

(8)

In Fig. 9(a), we present the polymer velocity as a function of chain length for $E=5\varepsilon$, $k_{B}T=1.2\varepsilon$, and $\xi=0.7$. We get $v \sim N^{-0.778 \pm 0.003}$ and $v \sim N^{-0.842 \pm 0.03}$ for short chains and $v \sim N^{-1.02 \pm 0.05}$ and $v \sim N^{-1.00 \pm 0.04}$ for long chains, respectively.

There is a clear crossover in the translocation velocity from $v \sim N^{-\nu}$ to $v \sim N^{-1}$, which is in agreement with Eq. (8). This simple test confirms that the crossover in the translocation time takes place because of a crossover in the translocation velocity. Here, we should mention that for high friction such as $\xi=3$, there is no crossover and only $v \sim N^{-1}$ is observed [see Fig. 9(b)].

C. Waiting times

The dynamics of a single-segment passing through the pore during translocation is an important issue, as far as experiments are concerned. The nonequilibrium nature of translocation has a considerable effect on this. We have numerically calculated the waiting times for each monomer passing through the pore. We define the waiting time of monomer $s$ as the average time between the events that monomer $s$ and monomer $s+1$ exit the pore. The waiting times for chains of lengths $N=100$ and $N=300$ are presented in Fig. 10.

The waiting time depends strongly on the monomer position in the chain. For the shorter polymer $N=100$, the monomers are placed approximately in the middle of the polymer. The longest time to translocate and the distribution is close to symmetric. However, for the polymer of length $N=300$, it is approximately the 220th monomer that needs the longest time to thread the pore, which indicates that during late stages of translocation the high density of segments of a long polymer near the pore slows down the translocation. The same phenomenon was found in our earlier study with the FB model.36

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigate the dynamics of polymer translocation through a nanopore using the two-dimensional (2D) Langevin dynamics simulations. For free translocation, we consider a polymer which is initially placed in the middle of the pore and study the escape time $\tau_{e}$. The distribution of the escape times is wide and has a long tail. We verified that average escape time (as well as the most probable escape time) scales with the chain length $N$ as $\tau_{e} \sim N^{1+2\nu}$. These results confirm previous theoretical studies based on a less microscopic fluctuating bond model. For forced transloca-
tion, we concentrate on studying the influence of the friction $\xi$, driving force $E$, and polymer chain length $N$. For strong driving forces, the distribution of translocation times is narrow without a long tail and symmetric, which is completely different compared with free translocation. The influence of the $\xi$ on the translocation time depends on the ratio between the driving force and the frictional force. We find that translocation time $\tau$ is inversely proportional to the driving force for a wide range of friction values. Finally, as regards the dependence of translocation on the length of the polymer, we find a crossover scaling for the $\tau \sim N^{2v}$ for relatively short polymers to $\tau \sim N^{1+v}$ for longer chains at moderate values of the friction. For higher $\xi$, there is no crossover because in this case the Rouse relaxation time is extremely long compared with translocation time. These scaling behaviors can be understood from the observation that in the limit of large $N$ and $\xi$, there is a high density of segments near the exit of the pore, which slows down the translocation process due to slow relaxation of the chain.
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