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We investigate the dynamics of the instantaneous polarization state of stationary, partially polarized random electromagnetic beamlike fields. An intensity-normalized correlation function of the instantaneous Poincaré vector is introduced for the characterization of the time evolution of the polarization state. This polarization correlation function enables us to define a polarization time and a polarization length over which the polarization state remains substantially unchanged. In the case of Gaussian statistics, the polarization correlation function is shown to assume a simple form in terms of the parameters employed to characterize partial coherence and partial polarization of electromagnetic fields. The formalism is demonstrated for a partially polarized, temporally Gaussian-correlated beam, and black-body radiation. The results are expected to find a range of applications in investigations of phenomena where polarization fluctuations of light play an important role.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The central parameters of optical coherence theory that quantify the spatial and temporal coherence properties and partial polarization of fluctuating optical fields are customarily formulated either for scalar waves or for electromagnetic beamlike (two-dimensional) fields [1–3]. The traditional degree of coherence, and the related coherence time and length, describe the capability of a beam to interfere, but are strictly valid only for scalar waves. The concept of the degree of polarization characterizes the partial polarization of an electromagnetic beam, and the Stokes parameters and the Poincaré sphere provide an elegant geometrical depiction of the state of polarization. Recently, considerable attention has been paid to the coherence properties of electromagnetic fields and the characterization of partial polarization of general, three-dimensional optical fields. In particular, the concept of the degree of coherence has been extended to both paraxial and nonparaxial electromagnetic fields [4,5] (see also Ref. [6]), and the degree of polarization has been generalized to nonparaxial electromagnetic fields [7–9]. Despite the recent developments in electromagnetic coherence theory, the time evolution of the instantaneous polarization state of an optical field, described by the instantaneous Poincaré vector, has not been much considered in the past. The fluctuation-induced changes in the polarization state, however, are an inherent property of partially polarized fields, and their investigation would provide additional information on the properties of the source that generates the field and the medium in which the field propagates.

In this work we investigate the dynamics of the polarization state of statistically stationary, partially polarized beamlike electromagnetic fields. The aim of the work is to introduce a quantity that describes the similarity of polarization states at two instants of time. From this quantity one can deduce a characteristic time over which the instantaneous polarization state remains essentially unchanged. In analogy with the traditional coherence time and length, one can then determine a polarization length as the distance traveled by light within the polarization time. The formulation is general, but here we apply it to fields obeying Gaussian statistics. For such fields, the polarization correlation function takes on a simple and compact form in terms of the degree of polarization and two other quantities that measure the electromagnetic coherence. We apply the theory to a partially polarized, temporally Gaussian-correlated beam and black-body radiation.

In Sec. II we recall the measures that describe the coherence and polarization properties of random electromagnetic beams. Next, in Sec. III, the polarization correlation function is introduced and written for the case of Gaussian statistics. Furthermore, the behavior and properties of the polarization correlation function are presented, and the polarization time and length are defined. Then in Sec. IV, the formalism is demonstrated with specific examples. Finally, Sec. V summarizes the work.

II. CHARACTERIZATION OF ELECTROMAGNETIC COHERENCE

Consider a fluctuating, stationary electromagnetic beam propagating in the z direction in free space. At a space-time point \((r_1, t)\) the electric vector of such a field is represented by a zero-mean complex analytic signal \(\mathbf{E}(r_1, t) = (E_x(r_1, t), E_y(r_1, t))\), a vector transverse to the propagation direction. At a pair of points \(r_1\) and \(r_2\), and at time difference \(\tau\), the second-order coherence properties of the field are described by the (electric) \(2 \times 2\) mutual coherence matrix [1]

\[
\mathbf{E}(r_1, r_2, \tau) = \langle \mathbf{E}^*(r_1, t) \mathbf{E}(r_2, t + \tau) \rangle.
\]

In this equation, the angle brackets and the asterisk denote averaging and complex conjugation, respectively. We assume
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that the field is ergodic and, therefore, the averaging can be taken either as an ensemble average or a time average over a single realization of the field. From Eq. (1) we see that the mutual coherence matrix is Hermitian in the sense that

\[ \mathcal{E}(r_1, r_2, \tau) = \mathcal{E}^\dagger(r_2, r_1, -\tau), \]

where the dagger stands for the Hermitian adjoint. It also satisfies various non-negative definiteness conditions (Sec. 6.5 in Ref. [1]).

The fringe visibility in electromagnetic Young’s interference experiment has also been referred to as the degree of coherence, and it is given by

\[ J(r) = \mathcal{E}(r, r, 0). \]

This matrix is (purely) Hermitian, i.e.,

\[ J(r) = J^\dagger(r). \]

Next we introduce three quantities that are useful in characterizing electromagnetic coherence. The degree of polarization of beamlike fields is an old concept that describes the ratio of the intensity in the polarized part of the field to that of the total field [1]. Equally well it can be interpreted as a measure for the strength of correlation of the orthogonal electric field components at a single point in the frame where these components have equal intensities [2]. The degree of polarization \( P^2(r) \) is defined via

\[ P^2(r) = 1 - \frac{4 \det J(r)}{\text{tr}^2 J(r)} = 2 \frac{\text{tr} J^2(r)}{\text{tr}^2 J(r)} - 1, \]

where \( \det \) and \( \text{tr} \) denote the determinant and trace of the matrix, respectively.

The electromagnetic degree of coherence is a quantity that characterizes the strength of modulation of the (four) Stokes parameters in Young’s interference experiment [5] or, equivalently, the strength of correlation of the field components at two points [4]. Although the analysis in Ref. [5] is done in the space-frequency domain, it is obvious that an analogous result holds in the space-time domain. The electromagnetic degree of coherence \( \gamma_{EM}(r_1, r_2, \tau) \), is written in the squared form as

\[ \gamma^2_{EM}(r_1, r_2, \tau) = \frac{\text{tr}[^2 \mathcal{E}(r_1, r_2, \tau) \cdot \mathcal{E}(r_2, r_1, -\tau)]}{\text{tr}[\mathcal{E}(r_1, r_1, 0)]\text{tr}[\mathcal{E}(r_2, r_2, 0)]}. \]

The fringe visibility in electromagnetic Young’s interference experiment has also been referred to as the degree of coherence \([10,11]\). This quantity, denoted by \( \gamma_W(r_1, r_2, \tau) \), is given by

\[ \gamma^2_W(r_1, r_2, \tau) = \frac{\text{tr}[^2 \mathcal{E}(r_1, r_2, \tau)]}{\text{tr}[\mathcal{E}(r_1, r_1, 0)]\text{tr}[\mathcal{E}(r_2, r_2, 0)]}. \]

From now on we consider the field at a single point \( r_1 = r_2 = r \) and, for notational reasons, omit the explicit position dependence from the equations. For example, we write \( \gamma^2_{EM}(r, r, \tau) = \gamma^2_{EM}(\tau) \), etc.

### III. Definition of Polarization Time

Consider a monochromatic field, i.e., a field which contains only a single frequency component and hence is fully deterministic. For such a field the electric vector draws as a function of time a fixed ellipse, which may reduce to a line or a circle, and the field is fully polarized. In this case, we may say that the polarization state is static, although it may vary from point to point.

For polychromatic electromagnetic fields, deterministic or random, the instantaneous polarization ellipse can rotate in the plane orthogonal to the propagation direction and the magnitudes of the minor and major axes may change. Hence, the instantaneous polarization state and the corresponding polarization ellipse can be different at different instants of time, and we may say that the field exhibits polarization dynamics. We emphasize that the changes in the polarization ellipse can occur on a time scale shorter than the time required by the electric vector to make a complete cycle. When the polarization ellipse varies in time in a completely random manner, i.e., so that no preferred state of polarization exists when averaged over a long period of time, the field is fully unpolarized [3]. Note, however, that for any field at sufficiently short time intervals no significant change in the polarization state takes place and, therefore, even a fully unpolarized field when considered within a short enough time interval is polarized. How short this time interval is, or after what duration the instantaneous polarization state of a fluctuating field has essentially changed? The aim of this work is to provide an answer to this question.

The mutual coherence matrix and the polarization matrix (1) and (3), respectively, cannot directly provide any information on the polarization dynamics as they consist of quantities which are time averages over a period that is much longer than the time scales characterizing the fluctuating optical field [3]. In order to access the polarization dynamics, we define the instantaneous Stokes parameters \( S_i(t) \) with \( i = 0,1, \ldots, 3 \), as follows:

\[ S_0(t) = E_x^\ast(t)E_x(t) + E_y^\ast(t)E_y(t), \]

\[ S_1(t) = E_x^\ast(t)E_y(t) - E_y^\ast(t)E_x(t), \]

\[ S_2(t) = E_x^\ast(t)E_z(t) + E_z^\ast(t)E_x(t), \]

\[ S_3(t) = i[E_z^\ast(t)E_y(t) - E_y^\ast(t)E_z(t)]. \]

These parameters are real quantities and when averaged over an infinite time interval, they reduce to the conventional Stokes parameters employed in the analysis of partial polarization [3]. It is straightforward to verify that the instantaneous Stokes parameters satisfy the relation

\[ S_0^2(t) + S_1^2(t) + S_2^2(t) + S_3^2(t) = S_0^2(t), \]

which reflects the fact that any field has instantaneously a certain polarization state, although it may vary as a function of time. In terms of the instantaneous Stokes parameters, we can introduce the instantaneous Poincaré vector [3]
indicated by Eq. (12) the instantaneous polarization state, we introduce the following scalar product of the Poincaré vectors:

$$\mathbf{S}(t) = (S_0(t), S_0(t)),$$

where $S_0(t)$ is the unit vector parallel to $S(t)$. Thus, we can interpret the Poincaré vector in Eq. (14) as the product of two quantities: a vector and a scalar that, respectively, represent the instantaneous polarization state (uniquely) and intensity. The vector $\mathbf{S}(t)$ is conventionally used to express the state by a point on the Poincaré sphere of unit radius (see Fig. 1) [3]. If the polarization state fluctuates, the associated point on the surface of the Poincaré sphere traces a path as a function of time. If the instantaneous polarization state does not change, the point on the Poincaré sphere does not move. However, the intensity $S_0(t)$ of the field may fluctuate. Note also that if the point moves such that $\mathbf{S}(t)$ and $\mathbf{S}(t + \tau)$ are antiparallel, the related polarization states are orthogonal. For defining a time interval over which the instantaneous polarization state does not essentially change, we observe how far, on average, a point on the Poincaré sphere travels from its original position within a time interval $\tau$.

In order to characterize the fluctuation-induced change of the instantaneous polarization state, we introduce the following fourth-order (polarization) correlation function

$$C(\tau) = \langle \mathbf{S}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}(t + \tau) \rangle$$

for $\tau > 0$ (see Fig. 1), and the more similar the polarization states are. Furthermore, the polarization states are weighted by the instantaneous intensities. Without the weighting, those instants of time with low or even zero intensity, and hence of less physical significance, would provide an equal contribution to the value of $C(\tau)$ as those with high intensity. Finally, averaging over time $t$ in Eq. (16) includes information on the similarity of the instantaneous polarization states over an infinite number of time intervals of length $\tau$.

It is desirable to normalize the parameter $C(\tau)$ in Eq. (16). We see that $C(\tau)$ acquires its maximum value when the polarization state is constant, i.e., $\mathbf{S}(t)$ is independent of time. In this case, $C(\tau) = \langle S_0(t) S_0(t + \tau) \rangle$. Thus, the normalized polarization correlation function for the characterization of polarization dynamics takes on the form

$$g_p(\tau) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{S}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}(t + \tau) \rangle}{\langle S_0(t) S_0(t + \tau) \rangle}$$

This parameter is a measure for the similarity of the polarization states at times $t$ and $t + \tau$. Using Eq. (16) $g_p(\tau)$ can be interpreted as an intensity weighted average of the scalar product $\mathbf{S}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}(t + \tau)$, i.e., of the closeness of the points on the Poincaré sphere (see Fig. 1). Note that the effective degree of coherence that describes the coherence properties of a field within a certain area or volume has the same intensity-weighting form as $g_p(\tau)$ [12,13]. Making use of Eq. (16), we see at once from Eq. (17) that

$$g_p(0) = 1$$

and

$$-1 \leq g_p(\tau) \leq 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Equation (18) expresses the fact that, instantaneously, the field has a certain polarization state. The upper and lower limits in Eq. (19) correspond to the cases where after a time difference $\tau$ the polarization states are the same and orthogonal, respectively.

So far the analysis has been general and valid for any planar, stationary electromagnetic field. Assuming next that the field obeys Gaussian statistics, the fourth-order correlation functions in Eq. (17) can be expressed in terms of the second order ones [1]. This fact implies, after some algebra,

$$\langle \mathbf{S}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}(t + \tau) \rangle = tr[\mathbf{J}^2 - 4 \det \mathbf{E} (\tau) \cdot \mathbf{E}(- \tau)]$$

$$+ 2 |\mathbf{E}(\tau)|^2 = tr[\mathbf{J}^2P^2 - \gamma_{\mathcal{E}M}(\tau) + 2|\gamma_{\mathcal{E}M}(\tau)|^2]$$

$$= tr[\mathbf{J}^2 + 2 \mathbf{E}(\tau) \cdot \mathbf{E}(- \tau)] = tr[\mathbf{J}^2[1 + \gamma_{\mathcal{E}M}(\tau)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

In the above equations, the parameters $P$, $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}M}(\tau)$, and $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}M}(\tau)$ are those defined in Eqs. (5)–(7), respectively. Making use of Eqs. (20) and (21), we can write Eq. (17) in the form

$$\gamma_p(\tau) = \frac{P^2 - \gamma_{\mathcal{E}M}(\tau) + 2|\gamma_{\mathcal{E}M}(\tau)|^2}{1 + \gamma_{\mathcal{E}M}(\tau)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

For $\tau = 0$ we find that
any $\tau$. Thus, in this case according to our criterion the polarization time is infinite as well. However, the degree of polarization $P_3$ of the lower one of the middle curves satisfies $P_3^2 < 1/2$ and therefore, at some time difference $\tau_{p3}$, the function $\gamma_p(\tau)$ reaches the value of $1/2$. Thus, we may consider $\tau_{p3}$ as being the time interval over which the instantaneous polarization state of this partially polarized field has not yet significantly changed. The lowest curve in Fig. 2 corresponds to a fully unpolarized field. For this field, the degree of polarization is zero ($P_3 = 0$), and therefore, $\gamma_p(\tau) \to 0$ when $\tau \to \infty$. The time difference $\tau_{p4}$ at which $\gamma_p(\tau) = 1/2$ is the polarization time. The last case illustrates the fact that even for a fully unpolarized field, the instantaneous polarization state remains essentially unchanged within short enough a time interval. The polarization time allows one to define the polarization length, i.e., a distance of propagation over which the polarization state remains effectively unaltered. In analogy with the relation between the coherence time and coherence length of scalar fields, we define the polarization length as

$$\ell_p = c \tau_p,$$

where $c$ is the speed of light.

IV. EXAMPLES

As the first example of the use of our formalism, we take a uniformly partially polarized, temporally Gaussian correlated beam propagating in the $z$ direction. The electric mutual coherence matrix of such a field can be written as

$$E(\tau) = J \exp(-\tau^2/2\sigma^2),$$

where $J$ is the polarization matrix introduced in Eq. (3), and $\sigma$ characterizes the coherence time. The above coherence matrix satisfies the Hermiticity relation of Eq. (2), is non-negative definite in the sense of Sec. 6.5 in Ref. [1], and the diagonal elements of $J$ are non-negative since they are intensities. The matrix $J$ contains all information about the polarization state at a single point, whereas the exponential form describes the temporal coherence properties. Using Eqs. (5)–(7) for the field characterized by Eq. (27), we obtain

$$\gamma_{pEM}^2(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + P^2)\exp(-\tau^2/\sigma^2),$$

$$\gamma_p^2(\tau) = \exp(-\tau^2/\sigma^2).$$

Substituting the above two equations into Eq. (22) results in

$$\gamma_p(\tau) = \frac{2P^2 + (3 - P^2)\exp(-\tau^2/\sigma^2)}{2 + (1 + P^2)\exp(-\tau^2/\sigma^2)}.$$
In this equation, the radiated far field behaves as a plane wave, and at a distance much larger than the mean wavelength of the field but so small that the equilibrium is not disturbed. The radiation properties of such a source are studied in Ref. [16], and it is found that the far field obeys Lambert’s law and is fully unpolarized in all directions at all frequencies. Locally the radiated far field behaves as a plane wave, and at a distance \( r \) in the direction specified by the unit vector \( \hat{u} \), the \( 2 \times 2 \) (spectral) coherence matrix is given by [Eq. (21) in Ref. 16]

\[
\Phi(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \frac{A S_0(\omega) \cos \theta}{8 \pi^2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].
\]

In this equation, \( \omega \) is the frequency, \( A \) represents the area of the aperture, and \( \theta \) is the angle between the observation direction and the aperture normal. Furthermore, \( S_0(\omega) \) is the Planck’s spectrum, given by

\[
S_0(\omega) = \frac{B \omega^3 \exp(h \omega/k_B T)}{\exp(h \omega/k_B T) - 1}.
\]

where \( B \) is a constant, \( h \) is the Planck’s constant divided by \( 2 \pi \), \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( T \) is the temperature. According to the (generalized) Wiener-Khintchine theorem, the mutual coherence matrix and the cross-spectral density matrix constitute a Fourier transform pair (Sec. 2.4 in Ref. 1]). Making use of this fact, we find that the mutual coherence matrix is of the form

\[
\mathbf{E}(r\hat{u}, \tau) = \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(r\hat{u}, \omega) \exp(-i\omega \tau) d\omega,
\]

which depends only on the temperature, as expected. The behavior of \( \gamma_\phi(\tau) \) at temperatures \( T=10 \) K, \( T=30 \) K, and \( T=300 \) K is depicted in Fig. 4. The polarization times at these temperatures are obtained with the criterion \( \gamma_\phi(\tau_p)=1/2 \) and they, respectively, have the values of \( \tau_p=3.8 \times 10^{-13} \) s, \( \tau_p=1.3 \times 10^{-13} \) s, and \( \tau_p=1.3 \times 10^{-14} \) s (marked with vertical dotted lines). We see that when the temperature decreases the polarization time becomes longer. In fact, in the limit \( T \to 0 \) we find that \( \gamma_\phi(\tau) \to 1 \), indicating that the polarization time asymptotically approaches infinity when the temperature decreases. Making use of Eq. (26) and the above values for the polarization times at the three temperatures, one can determine the corresponding polarization lengths, \( l_p \), which are \( l_p=114 \) \( \mu \)m, \( l_p=39 \) \( \mu \)m, and \( l_p=3.9 \) \( \mu \)m, respectively.

The explicit dependence of the polarization length on temperature is obtained by solving for the product \( T\tau_p \) from
Eq. (37) with the condition $\gamma_p(\tau_p) = 1/2$, and using Eq. (26). The result is
\[
I_p = \frac{a}{T},
\]
(38)
where $a = 1.14 \times 10^{-3}$ Km. The cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation is known to be a very accurate black-body field at the temperature $T = 2.73$ K [18]. For this field one obtains $I_{p,\text{CMB}} = 0.42$ mm. Another example of black-body field is the thermal radiation from the Sun, whose surface temperature is $T = 5800$ K. The corresponding polarization length has the value of $I_{p,\text{Sun}} = 200$ nm.

V. SUMMARY

We investigate the polarization dynamics of stationary, random electromagnetic beams, and introduce an intensity-normalized polarization correlation function that describes the correlation of the Poincaré vectors, i.e., the statistical similarity of instantaneous polarization states, at a certain time difference. The correlation function is used to define a polarization time and related polarization length over which the instantaneous polarization state does not essentially change. In the case of Gaussian statistics, the polarization correlation function is found to assume a simple form in terms of measurable quantities that describe the partial electromagnetic coherence and partial polarization of an optical beam. The formalism is applied to a uniformly partially polarized, temporarily Gaussian correlated beam and radiation from an opening of a black-body cavity. The results are expected to be useful in cases when the polarization fluctuations play a significant role, e.g., in studies on light-matter interaction [19], semiconductor lasers [20], supercontinuum generation [21], and cosmology [22].
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