Processing of oat dietary fibre for improved functionality as a food ingredient

A dry fractionation process was developed based on defatted oats. Lipid removal by supercritical CO₂ extraction enabled concentration of the main components of oats: starch, protein, lipids and cell walls. A defatted oat bran concentrate (OBC) with 34% beta-glucan was obtained after dry fractionation steps. Ultra-fine grinding was needed to further dissociate the macronutrients. Electrostatic separation was used to separate particles rich in beta-glucan from those rich in arabinoxylan. The beta-glucan from defatted OBC was enriched up to 48% by electrostatic separation, and further enriched by a combination of jet-milling and air classification, yielding a fraction with up to 56% beta-glucan. OBC was partially hydrolysed with acid or enzyme at relatively low water content using a twin-screw extruder as a bioreactor. The hydrolysed oat brans were extracted with hot water to obtain a water-soluble phase and an insoluble residue. The gelling of the water-soluble phase was monitored for 14 weeks. Acid hydrolysis depolymerised the beta-glucan molecules down to 34 kDa and enzymatic hydrolysis down to 49 kDa. At 1.4–2.0% beta-glucan concentration, solutions of beta-glucan molecules with Mw>50 kDa agglomerated rapidly, whereas solutions of smaller molecules remained as stable dispersions for longer. Gelling was strongly concentration-dependent. OBC was used in extruded products in five different forms. Addition of untreated OBC decreased the expansion and resulted in harder texture compared to extrudates based on 100% endosperm flour (EF). Ten percent addition of water-insoluble OBC fraction significantly decreased the expansion and increased the hardness of EF-based extrudates, whereas 10 or 20% addition of water-soluble OBC fraction enhanced the expansion and resulted in less hard textures.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Oat dietary fibre as a raw material for healthy foods

Oats (Avena sativa L.) are an important crop world-wide with a global production of about 21 million tons per year. The main producers of oats in 2012 were Russia, Canada, Poland, Australia, Finland and the USA. Finland accounted for 5.1% of the world production (FAOSTAT, 2013). Oats are the second largest crop in Finland after barley. According to TIKE (2013), the annual production has been around 1.2 billion kg for several decades. However, only 6% of the oats grown in Finland is used for food purposes.

Oats contain many health-promoting components, such as dietary fibres, proteins and minerals (Butt et al., 2008). The health benefits linked to oats have increased consumer awareness of this cereal, and the health claims approved both by FDA (1997; 2003) and by EFSA (2011a;b) further encourage the consumption of healthy oat foods. The health claims in the European Union allow food producers to market products containing 1 g β-glucan/portion with claims to reduce blood cholesterol concentrations and to attenuate post-prandial glyceamic response (EFSA 2011a). From the consumer’s point of view, it can be challenging to obtain the recommended intake of β-glucan (at least 3 g/day). For example, a regular portion of oat porridge, which contains 1 dl of oat flakes, delivers around 1.5 g of β-glucan. Thus, technologies for enrichment of β-glucan ingredients as well as new product concepts are needed to support the adequate intake of β-glucan to reach the recommended intake.

In addition to the claims linked to cholesterol reduction and glyceamic response, the intake of oat and barley grain fibre is linked to the increase in faecal bulk (EFSA, 2011b). The authorised and non-authorised health claims related to β-glucan and oat grain fibre based on the decision of the scientific panel of EFSA are summarised in Table 1. Oats may also have a role in the prevention of metabolic syndrome (Cloetens et al., 2012), but their influence on appetite control and gut microbiota are still insufficiently characterised, and additional studies are needed in this field. Recently, Ahmad et al. (2012) and Singh et al. (2013) reviewed the therapeutic potential of oats, such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, wound healing, immunomodulatory, antidiabetic, and anticholesterolaemic activities, but there are currently no reliable data to support these claims.
Table 1. Authorised and non-authorised health claims related to oat β-glucan and grain fibre in EU (EC, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim type</th>
<th>Nutrient or substance</th>
<th>Claim</th>
<th>Conditions of use of the claim / Restrictions of use / Reasons for non-authorisation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Status (Dec 2013)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Art.13(1)</td>
<td>β-Glucans</td>
<td>β-Glucans contribute to the maintenance of normal blood cholesterol levels</td>
<td>The claim may be used only for food which contains at least 1 g of β-glucans from oats, oat bran, barley, barley bran, or from mixtures of these sources per quantified portion. In order to bear the claim information shall be given to the consumer that the beneficial effect is obtained with a daily intake of 3 g of β-glucans from oats, oat bran, barley, barley bran, or from mixtures of these β-glucans.</td>
<td>EFSA, 2009, 2011a</td>
<td>Authorised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art.13(1)</td>
<td>β-Glucans from oats and barley</td>
<td>Consumption of β-glucans from oats or barley as part of a meal contributes to the reduction of the blood glucose rise after that meal</td>
<td>The claim may be used only for food which contains at least 4 g of β-glucans from oats or barley for each 30 g of available carbohydrates in a quantified portion as part of the meal. In order to bear the claim information shall be given to the consumer that the beneficial effect is obtained by consuming the β-glucans from oats or barley as part of the meal.</td>
<td>EFSA, 2011a</td>
<td>Authorised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art.13(1)</td>
<td>Oat grain fibre</td>
<td>Oat grain fibre contributes to an increase in faecal bulk</td>
<td>The claim may be used only for food which is high in that fibre as referred to in the claim HIGH FIBRE as listed in the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.</td>
<td>EFSA, 2011b</td>
<td>Authorised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art.14(1)(a)</td>
<td>Oat β-glucan</td>
<td>Oat β-glucan has been shown to lower/reduce blood cholesterol. High cholesterol is a risk factor in the development of coronary heart disease. Information shall be given to the consumer that the beneficial effect is obtained with a daily intake of 3 g of oat β-glucan. The claim can be used for foods which provide at least 1 g of oat β-glucan per quantified portion.</td>
<td>EFSA, 2010</td>
<td>Authorised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art.13(1)</td>
<td>Oat β-glucan</td>
<td>Consuming oat β-glucan increases satiety. Consuming oat β-glucan prolongs the feeling of satiety. Non-compliance with the Regulation because on the basis of the scientific evidence assessed, this claimed effect for this food has not been substantiated.</td>
<td>EFSA, 2011a</td>
<td>Non-authorised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art.13(1)</td>
<td>Oat β-glucan</td>
<td>Consuming β-glucan promotes digestion, improves digestive function. Non-compliance with the Regulation because on the basis of the scientific evidence assessed, this claimed effect for this food is not sufficiently defined to be able to be assessed and the claim could not therefore be substantiated.</td>
<td>EFSA, 2011a</td>
<td>Non-authorised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art.13(1)</td>
<td>Oat grain fibre</td>
<td>Helps with weight control. For long-lasting sense of satiety. Frees energy slowly. Non-compliance with the Regulation because on the basis of the scientific evidence assessed, this claimed effect for this food has not been substantiated.</td>
<td>EFSA, 2009</td>
<td>Non-authorised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HIGH FIBRE = A claim that a food is high in fibre, and any claim likely to have the same meaning for the consumer, may only be made where the product contains at least 6 g of fibre per 100 g or at least 3 g of fibre per 100 kcal.*
1.1.1 Oat kernel structure and composition

Hull

The outmost layer of oat kernel is called the hull. It usually accounts for 25–33% of the total weight of a whole oat kernel (Hutchinson, 1953; Salo and Kotilainen, 1970; Welch et al., 1983; Welch, 1995). The hull is mainly composed of cellulose and hemicellulose (Welch et al., 1983; Welch, 1995), with lesser amounts of lignin and phenolic compounds (Emmons and Peterson, 1999). Traditionally, hulls have not been used in food products, but there are several commercial processes to enrich the insoluble cellulosic fibre from oat hulls for food applications (Stevenson and Inglett, 2011).

Bran

Oat bran is a technical term for a milling fraction containing the outer parts of oat kernel. According to AACCI (Anon., 1989), the oat bran fraction is “not more than 50% of the original starting material and has a total β-glucan content of at least 5.5% (d.m.) and a total dietary fibre content at least 16.0% (d.m.), such that at least one-third of the total dietary fibre is soluble fibre”. Ganssmann and Vorwerpck (1995) stated that high-quality oat bran should contain at least 18–20% dietary fibre (of which 8–10% soluble) and 6–8% β-glucan, and thus the bran yield is limited to around 30–40% of the starting material.

Oat bran contains similar layers to those found in cereal brans, such as wheat bran (Surget and Barron, 2005), but the thickness and chemical composition of the layers are different. The layers of oat bran (starting from the outer surface) are pericarp, testa (seed coat), nucellum, aleurone, subaleurone and starchy endosperm (Fig. 1). Botanically subaleurone is not a separate layer, but a part of the starchy endosperm. Oat bran contains a larger portion of subaleurone starchy endosperm than wheat bran, because the aleurone layer of oats does not separate as cleanly from the endosperm as it does in wheat (Miller and Fulcher, 2011).

The aleurone layer contains typically one cell layer, has a thickness of 50–150 µm (Miller and Fulcher, 2011), and is quite resistant to digestion (Wood et al., 2002). Aleurone cells consist mainly of lipids and protein bodies, which are captured within the cell wall matrix (Bechtel and Pomeranz, 1981; Peterson et al., 1985). The aleurone protein bodies contain phytic acid and protease activity, which are absent in starchy endosperm protein bodies (Donhowe and Peterson, 1983).

The inner layer of the aleurone also contains some mixed-linkage (1→3),(1→4)-β-D-glucan, although much less than in the starchy endosperm, i.e. β-D-glucan is mainly located in the subaleurone region (Wood and Fulcher, 1978a). In cultivars with high β-glucan content, the polymer is more evenly distributed throughout the starchy endosperm, whereas in low β-glucan cultivars the polymer is concentrated in the subaleurone region (Fulcher and Miller 1993; Miller and Fulcher 1994).
The largest tissue in oat grains is starchy endosperm, which depending on the variety, may constitute 55–70% of the dehulled oat groat (Youngs, 1972). The endosperm is composed of cells of only one type, each of which consists of starch, protein and lipids (Figure 1). Starch is the major single component in oat endosperm as well as in whole groats. It occurs as aggregates composed of several starch granules (Bechtel and Pomeranz, 1981). The diameter of the aggregates ranges from 20 to 150 µm, and the size of the individual granules is 2–15 µm across (Hoover and Vasanthan, 1992; Hartunian-Sowa and White, 1992). Starch content usually ranges between 43 and 64% of the groat (Paton, 1977; Lim et al., 1992). Starch content was shown by MacArthur and D’Appolonia (1979) to correlate inversely with the protein content. In addition, the authors showed that the lipid content was higher in a low starch and high protein variety, whereas a high starch and low protein variety contained significantly less lipids. The distribution of starch, protein and lipids is however strongly dependent on the oat variety, and thus it is difficult to generalise these results.

The second most abundant component in oats is protein. Typically protein concentration increases from the interior to the periphery of the kernel, whereas starch concentration increases from the subaleurone region towards the centre of the kernel (Miller and Fulcher, 2011). Protein comprises around 15–20% of dehulled groats (Brown et al., 1966; Youngs and Senturia, 1976). Oats lack the matrix type of storage protein typically found in wheat (Adams et al., 1976) and barley (Bechtel and Pomeranz, 1979). The protein bodies in starchy endosperm and aleurone have rather similar structure (both contain mainly globulin and prolamin). However, more than 55% of endosperm protein consists of globulin (Petersen and Smith, 1976). The protein in the middle of endosperm occurs as individual protein bodies, which are fused with lipids (Heneen et al., 2009). The diameter of protein bodies can range from 0.3 to 5 µm (Bechtel and Pomeranz, 1981). The larger protein bodies are typically concentrated in the subaleurone, whereas the smaller bodies are distributed throughout the central endosperm, where the concentration of starch granules is higher (Miller and Fulcher, 2011).

The cell walls of endosperm are relatively thin in the middle or inner endosperm, whereas the cell walls immediately adjacent to the aleurone layer (the subaleurone layer) can be up to four or five times thicker (Miller and Fulcher, 2011). The endosperm cell walls are rich in β-glucan, with smaller amounts of arabinoxylan, cellulose, and glucomannan (Miller and Fulcher, 1995; Miller et al., 1995).

The majority (up to 90%) of lipids in oats is found in the endosperm. Most of the lipids are neutral lipids (di- and triacylglycerols), with small amounts of glyco- and phospholipids (Youngs et al., 1977; Youngs, 1978; Price and Parsons, 1979). Banás et al. (2007) showed that the oil bodies in the aleurone layer and in the embryo occur as individual entities, whereas in the endosperm the oil bodies tend to fuse together during the development of the kernel and thus oil, starch and protein are all attached to each other in the endosperm of a mature oat kernel.
**Germ**

Germ is a viable structure, capable of metabolic activity, from which a new plant starts to sprout. The germ contains high amounts of protein and lipid but very little starch (Miller and Fulcher, 2011). The protein content in germ tissues can be as high as 29–38%, as compared to around 23% in the bran and 12% in the starchy endosperm (Youngs, 1972). The protein bodies in the germ are surrounded by lipid bodies similar to those in aleurone cells (White et al., 2006). The germ has the highest lipid concentration (15–24%) of any of the groat tissues (Youngs et al., 1977).
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**Figure 1.** Cross sections of whole oat grain and the outer layers of oat grain stained with Calcofluor and Acid Fuchsin. Endosperm cell walls rich in β-glucan appear as blue and protein as brownish red (Courtesy of MSc. Ulla Holopainen-Mantila, VTT).

### 1.1.2 Oat dietary fibre and β-glucan

The main dietary fibre (DF) components of oats are mixed-linkage (1→3), (1→4)-β-D-glucan (β-glucan) and arabinoxylan (AX) (Wood et al., 1994). Both β-glucan and AX are concentrated more in the bran fraction than in the starch-rich endosperm area. Approximately one-third of the insoluble fibre of oats consists of β-glucan, whereas the majority of the soluble fibre is β-glucan (Manthey et al., 1999). The β-glucan concentration in whole grain oats varies typically between 2 and 8.5% (Wood, 1986) and in oat bran between 6 and 9% (Marlett, 1993; Shewry et al., 2008).

Oat β-glucan is a linear polysaccharide, composed of β-D-glucopyranose units linked by (1→4) and (1→3) linkages (Parrish et al., 1960). The ratio of (1→4) and (1→3) linkages is around 70:30, and about 90% of (1→4) linked β-D-glucopyranoses exist in groups of three (cellotriose) or four (cellotetraose) units separated by one (1→3) linkage (Wood et al., 1994; Doublier and Wood, 1995). The β-(1→3)-bonds increase the flexibility of the chain preventing the close packing...
characteristic of cellulose molecules, and enhance β-glucan’s solubility in water (Buliga et al., 1986). Böhm and Kulicke (1999) proposed that a higher ratio of cellotriose/cellotetraose (DP3/DP4) would reduce the solubility of β-glucan. Thus, oat β-glucan with a DP3/DP4 ratio of 1.4–2.4 (Wood et al., 1991; Lazaridou and Biliaderis, 2004; Papageorgiou et al., 2005) is more soluble in water than β-glucan of barley (ratio 2.8–3.0) (Lazaridou and Biliaderis, 2004; Lazaridou et al., 2004) and wheat (ratio 3.0–4.8) (Miller and Fulcher, 1995; Lazaridou and Biliaderis, 2004; Tosh et al., 2004). At high DP3/DP4 molar ratio values, β-glucan molecules self-assemble faster and more closely and firmly packed domains are generated. β-Glucans with low DP3/DP4 molar ratio have fewer association points and thus the self-assembly process is prolonged since greater rearrangements and reorientation of the polysaccharide molecules are required. As a result, β-glucan molecules with low DP3/DP4 gather together and larger open-structure clusters are formed before the structural entities impinge on with each other (Moschakis et al., 2014).

The 4-linked units predominately (ca. 85–90%) occur in contiguous groups of two or three separated by 3-linked units that occur singly. This gives a structure of β-(1→3)-linked cellotriosyl (DP3) and β-(1→3)-linked cellotetraosyl (DP4) units. About 9–15% of oat β-glucan molecules contain cellulose-like sections where there are four or more consecutive 4-linked units (Wood, 2011). Enzymatic hydrolysis by lichenase has shown that released oligosaccharides of β-glucan are water-soluble until DP 9, but become increasingly insoluble at higher DP (Doublier and Wood, 1995).

The molecular weight (Mw) of oat β-glucan is typically between 1000 and 3100 kDa (Wood et al., 1991; Beer et al., 1997a; Roubroeks et al., 2000; Rimsten et al., 2003; Åman et al., 2004; Lazaridou and Biliaderis, 2007; Sikora et al., 2013). Differences in the Mw results are mainly derived from analysis and extraction methods, and less from the oat fraction or cultivar. The most commonly used analysis method for Mw distribution is high-performance size-exclusion chromatography (HPSEC). However, size-exclusion depends on molecular size, not weight, and is thus dependent on the structure of the molecule. The values obtained by HPSEC also depend on the detector in the analysis. For example, low-angle laser light scattering (LALLS) can yield over-estimated values in comparison to multi-angle (MALLS) or right-angle (RALLS) scattering (Wood et al., 1991; Beer et al., 1997a; Wood, 2011). HMw β-glucan may sometimes occur in an aggregated form. The Mw of β-glucan can easily be reduced in the different steps of the agricultural chain and during food processing (Åman et al., 2004; Tiwari and Cummins, 2009; Hager et al., 2011). Extractability of β-glucan is also enhanced when its Mw is decreased. For example, Tosh et al. (2010) reported a significant increase in the extractability (from 40 to 100%) when the Mw of an extruded oat product was decreased from 2200 to 210 kDa.

The total amount of arabinoxylan (AX) in whole grain oat flour has been reported to be 2.0–4.5%, but only around 0.2–0.4% of this is water-extractable (Bhatty, 1992; Westerlund et al., 1993; Gebruers et al., 2008; Shewry et al., 2008). However, Westerlund et al. (1993) showed that the AX from oat endosperm is more soluble than that from oat bran. In oat bran, the AX concentration has been reported to vary between 4 and 13%, depending on the cultivar and milling process.
Cereal AXs have a basic backbone chain of β-D-xylopyranosyl residues linked by (1→4)-glycosidic linkages (Colleoni-Sirghie et al., 2004). In common cereals, ferulic acid (FA) is linked to arabinosyl units attached to the xylan backbone (Smith and Hartley, 1983). Miller et al. (1995) revealed the presence of FA and p-coumaric acid in the isolated endosperm cell walls of oats. Nevertheless, there is currently no detailed information about ferulated oat grain polysaccharides (Collins, 2011), although a link between AX and FA can also occur in oats, as an association of FA with the water-insoluble AX has been discovered in isolated endosperm cell walls of barley (Ahluwalia and Fry, 1986). Evidence for the FA–AX association in oats could also be derived from the higher FA concentration in oat bran layers (330–409 µg/g) (Mattila et al., 2005; Alrahmany et al., 2013) compared to whole oat flakes (189–250 µg/g) (Mattila et al., 2005; Xu, 2012) or to debranned oat flour (66 µg/g) (Sosulski et al., 1982), because AX is normally concentrated in the bran layers (Dornez et al., 2011). However, it is important to bear in mind that the FA concentration of oat bran is only about 10% of that in rye bran (2800 µm/g) and wheat bran (3000 µm/g) (Mattila et al., 2005).

1.1.3 Other dietary fibre-associated compounds in oats

In addition to dietary fibre, whole grain oats and oat bran fractions contain so-called co-passengers, such as amino acids and peptides, minerals, lipids, vitamins, phytosterols and stanols, betaine, choline, lignans, and avenanthramides, which all may contribute to the general health benefits of oat dietary fibre (Lærke and Bach Knudsen, 2011). For example, avenanthramides are low molecular weight, soluble phenolic compounds, which are not present in other cereal grains than oats (Bratt et al., 2003; Collins, 1989; Shewry et al., 2008). They have strong antioxidant activity and may also exhibit anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, and anti-itching activity, and provide additional protection against coronary heart disease, colon cancer, and skin irritation (Meydani, 2009). The amount of avenanthramides (around 20 µg/g in whole grain oats) has been shown to be higher in a bran-enriched oat fraction (63 µg/g), and especially in the sieve-separated endosperm cells walls (110 µg/g), where as a fraction rich in oat proteins contained only a low amount of avenanthramides (7 µg/g) (Sibakov et al., 2010). According to Bryngelsson et al. (2002), processing (especially drum drying of steamed rolled oats) can result in significant losses of tocopherols, tocotrienols, cinnamic acids and avenanthramides.
1.2 Processing of oats for high-fibre ingredients

Oats are a challenging raw material for food processes, as they contain high amounts of lipids (most commonly 5–9% d.m.) (Brown et al., 1966; Brown and Craddock, 1972). Lipids complicate the milling of oats as the material easily sticks on the surfaces of processing equipment. Lipids may also cause structural and sensory problems in the final food products. The structural problems can be encountered for example in expanded products or beverages and liquid foods, where fat can appear as a floating layer on the top of the product. The sensory problems are usually linked to the enzymatic hydrolysis of acylglycerols, and non-enzymatic oxidation of unsaturated fatty acid moieties acylated to polar lipids (Lehtinen 2003). These reactions easily lead to rancid off-flavour of oat products. The rancidity can appear already within a couple of weeks after the oat grain has been crushed, and the reactions can also occur at low water activities (Deane and Commers, 1986).

1.2.1 Dehulling, kilning and flaking

In commercial oat mills, hull is removed prior to other processes (Deane and Commers, 1986). Dehulling is based on a combination of impact and abrasion forces. The miller tries to maximise hulling efficiency while minimising groat breakage. Moisture content, groat/hull percentage and kernel weight are the most important kernel characteristics influencing hulling efficiency. For example, a kernel moisture content of 12–13% is generally recommended. The stream exiting the dehuller contains a mixture of groats, hulls, unhulled oats, broken groats, groat chips and fines. The groats (i.e. hulled grains) and unhulled oats are first separated from this mixture by aspirators. Then, the groats are separated from the remaining oats by table or paddy separators, in which the grains of equal size and shape can be separated based on the differences in specific gravity and the smoothness of the grain. The unhulled oats are recycled back to the dehullers (Girardet and Webster, 2011).

A traditional way to avoid the development of rancidity in dehulled groats is to apply a heat treatment with steam, a process called kilning. The primary aim of kilning is to inactivate lipid hydrolysing enzymes: lipases and peroxidases (Gates 2007). Peroxidase is the most stable of oat lipid-hydrolytic enzymes, and its removal represents the gold standard for assuring optimum product stability. Proper control of the time-temperature-moisture profile is important for the flavour and nutritional quality of final oat products. The intensity of the flavour components is dependent on the temperature and duration of the kilning, but too high temperature or too long treatment time can decrease the content of heat-labile components, such as vitamin B₁ (Girardet and Webster, 2011) and initiate thermal oxidation reactions (Faure et al., 2014; Kivelä et al., 2011).

The kilning times and temperatures can be very different, depending on the process applied. For example, in Germany the typical retention time is about 90–
120 min and temperature decreases from 102 to 69 °C in the heating section (Ganssmann and Vorwerck, 1995). An Australian study described typical conditions to be steaming for 9 minutes, kilning at 100°C for 45 minutes, holding at 65°C for 15 minutes and cooling to room temperature (Zhou et al., 2000). A Finnish process uses steaming for 2–3 min at 100°C (moisture content is increased from 12–13% to 16–17%), and retention at >95°C for 70 min. The groats are then dried to 13% moisture for 30 min (Salovaara, 1993). According to Gates (2007), even 20 s of steaming can be sufficient to inactivate the lipid-hydrolysing enzymes, providing that the oats are tempered at 100 °C for 30 min. However, the kilning process in industrial scale requires much longer tempering times, because a large volume of grains is difficult to mix to an even temperature and moisture distribution. The groat moisture content after kilning is generally 9–12%, and groats are relatively fragile (Girardet and Webster, 2011).

In Finland, the most popular way of using oats is in the form of flakes, mainly to prepare porridge (Finnish Oat Association, 2014). Oats can be flaked immediately after the drying phase in the kilning process, if the steaming period in the kilning has been long enough to inactivate the lipid hydrolysing enzymes. More commonly, an additional steaming is applied to the dried groats after kilning in order to plasticise the groats. Steam softens the bran, and gelatinises starch near the surface of the groat, which helps the bran to adhere to the surface of the flake. This protects the flake from attrition and results in less disintegration. Typically, 3–5% moisture is added in the form of steam and the moisture of the groats is allowed to equilibrate in a tempering chamber for 20–30 min. During tempering, groat temperature is increased to 95–102 °C. The flaking itself is performed by passing the tempered groat through a pair of flaking rolls. Typical flake designations and sizes are: jumbo flakes 0.7–1.2 mm, small flakes 0.4–0.5 mm and quick-cooking flakes 0.3–0.4 mm. The flakes exiting the flaking rolls are cooled to 5–10 °C above ambient temperature and dried to 10–12% moisture content (Ganssmann and Vorwerck, 1995; Girardet and Webster, 2011).

### 1.2.2 Oat flour milling and bran separation

Oat flour can be milled from stabilised groats or flakes. Grinding is usually done in a pin disc, hammer or roller mill. The high fat content of the groats makes it necessary to use a large volume of exhaust air drawn through the mills to keep the screen perforations open, to prevent fine product from sticking inside the screen and on the beaters, and in order to prevent over-heating of the machine. If the milling is performed solely by a roller mill, only a very gradual reduction of the groats is carried out at each passage to prevent the oat material from sticking in the roll corrugations (Deane and Commers, 1986). Oat bran can be separated from the flour in one or several grinding and sieving operations (Gould et al., 1980). The coarse bran fraction contains the major part of the cell walls, whereas the fine fraction (endosperm flour) has most of the starch and protein of the original kernel (Gould et al., 1980; Paton and Lenz, 1993).
Steaming of the groats or flakes can improve the separation of bran by milling, as the bran layers of oat kernel will remain more intact, i.e. they are easier to separate from the starch-rich fine particles of the endosperm (Lehtomäki and Myllymäki, 2010). However, the disadvantage of steaming in terms of β-glucan separation is that the cell walls can agglomerate with the partly gelatinised starch on the surface of the bran particles, thus making further separation of cell walls from starch more challenging. Thus, Doehlert and Moore (1997) proposed 12% moisture content for optimal separation of bran from the adhering starchy endosperm.

1.2.3 Dry fractionation

Dry and wet fractionation methods have been developed to separate fibre-rich cell walls, starch or protein from each other. Most of the fractionation schemes have been developed to enrich cell walls rich in β-glucan. Other components, such as starch, protein and lipids can also be collected as partially purified fractions at the same time. However, the separation of a purified starch fraction is difficult, because the starch granules in oats are significantly smaller than those in wheat, rye and barley, and form aggregates (Hartunian-Sowa and White, 1992; Hoover and Vasanthan, 1992).

Dry fractionation methods are often superior to wet extractions when developing ingredients for food products. It is usually more economical to use dry methods, as they avoid the need for energy-intensive drying steps. In addition, the mass yields of the fractions are often much higher than in the case of wet extracted products. Dry fractionation leads to partial purification or enrichment, which is usually sufficient for food products. However, when aiming at substantially more purified fractions, a dry fractionation step can be used as a pre-processing method prior to the final purification step as described by Redmond and Fielder (2004). In addition, dry processes are usually more feasible, as all the residual fractions (such as starchy endosperm) are utilisable without extensive drying and the microbiological risks related to the storage of wet material after fractionation.

Patented dry fractionation methods of oats are presented in Table 2. For example, Mälkki et al. (2001) developed a dry fractionation process based on two or more subsequent millings and air classifications. The final product is characterized by having a β-glucan concentration of 11–25% (e.g. 16.9% β-glucan with a yield of 21.3% calculated from the dehulled oats). Similar concentrations have been obtained by Knuckles et al. (1992) (e.g. 27.2% β-glucan with a yield of 9–10%), Lehtomäki and Myllymäki (2010) (e.g. 20.5% β-glucan with a yield of 11–12%), Wu and Doehlert (2002) (e.g. 20.3% β-glucan with a yield of 15–16%) and Wu and Stringfellow (1995) (e.g. 17.7% β-glucan with a yield of 28%). The patent of Hellweg et al. (2009) reported only 7–10% β-glucan concentrations, but the yield of the coarse fraction (around 40%) was higher than in other methods, and closer to that of regular oat bran.

Heneen et al. (2009) demonstrated that the lipids in oat endosperm are linked to protein and starch. Thus, the removal of lipids can enable more efficient separation
of these components by dry fractionation, as shown by the higher β-glucan concentrations (Knuckles et al., 1992; Wu and Doehlert, 2002; Wu and Stringfellow, 1995) compared to methods without lipid removal (Lehtomäki and Myllymäki, 2010; Mälkki et al., 2001). Commercial Oatwell® oat bran concentrates from Swedish Oat Fibre AB contain 14–28% β-glucan. The production of Oatwell® is based on lipid removal by ethanol extraction, dry milling and air classification (Girardet and Webster, 2011; Weightman et al., 2002). The process developed by Kaukovirta-Norja et al. (2008) utilises super-critical carbon dioxide (SC-CO₂) to remove lipids from non-heat-treated oats. In this way, oats can be separated into fractions enriched with starch, protein and β-glucan, the β-glucan concentration of the latter fraction being as high as 35%, with a yield of 8–9%. After enrichment with dry fractionation, the Mₘ of β-glucan usually stays close to its natural form. However, none of the patents in Table 2 has reported the Mₘ-values. The Mₘ of β-glucan in the bran fraction described by Kaukovirta-Norja et al. 2008 was characterised in Publications II–IV (780 kDa). The Mₘ in OatWell® bran concentrate was reported to be 1930 kDa by Tosh et al. (2010).

Table 2. Patented dry fractionation technologies for enrichment of oat β-glucan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the β-glucan fractionation</th>
<th>BG content (%), as is basis</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oat bran or oat bran concentrate is milled and fractioned by sieving or air classification to enrich β-glucan in the coarse fraction. The process can consist of one or several steps.</td>
<td>15–25</td>
<td>Lehtomäki and Myllymäki, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat material is milled and sieved without defatting. The first coarse fraction is flaked and further milled and sieved to enrich β-glucan.</td>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>Hellweg et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-heat-treated or minimally heat-treated oat material is subjected to lipid removal by super-critical CO₂. Defatted material is milled and air classified to separate coarse bran fraction and fine endosperm fraction. β-Glucan is concentrated by repeating the milling and classification to remove fines from the coarse bran material.</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>Kaukovirta-Norja et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat material is milled and air classified without defatting to separate coarse bran fraction and fine endosperm fraction. β-Glucan is concentrated by repeating the milling and classification to remove fines from the coarse bran material.</td>
<td>11–25</td>
<td>Mälkki et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2.4 Wet fractionation

Fractions with high purity of certain compounds, e.g. β-glucan, can be produced by wet fractionation methods. Several factors, such as particle size, pH and temperature, affect the yield of extracted β-glucan in aqueous systems (Wood et al., 1978b; Dawkins and Nnanna, 1993). Wet processes are often limited by the high viscosity of the aqueous extracts even at low β-glucan concentrations. Thus, large volumes of liquid are needed, which results in high costs related to the drying and/or solvent recovery steps. The high water content is also challenging in terms of microbiological quality, stability of oat lipids and utilisation of the residual side streams.

The purification of β-glucan from oats by wet fractionation is usually based on the following technologies: enzymatic hydrolysis of non-β-glucan components, acid, base or solvent precipitation, and wet sieving of the water insoluble materials. The purity of β-glucan in liquid extracted fractions can be as high as 95% (Table 3). For example, in a process based on α-amylase-assisted hot water extraction, the solid particles containing β-glucan are separated and dried after the extraction (Inglett, 1991). The product can be further modified by separating the soluble fibre into a specific product by mechanical shearing of solids containing β-glucan in hot water. From this, non-soluble fibres are removed by filtration or centrifugation, and the liquid is dried to produce the soluble β-glucan concentrate (Inglett, 1997).

Another possibility is to use aqueous ethanol extraction in combination with enzymatic treatment, which permits hydrolysis of starch and protein but prevents solubilisation of β-glucan (Vasanthan and Temelli, 2002). The β-glucan concentrate can be recovered from the slurry by screening or filtering. By the method of Vasanthan and Temelli (2002), 3.6% β-glucan in one oat flour could be concentrated up to 24.8–32.9% (yield 12.4–11.7%) and 7.3% β-glucan in another oat flour up to 30.2–44.2% (yield 20.7–14.0%).

Kvist et al. (2002) and Kvist and Lawther (2005) developed a β-glucan enrichment method based on xylanase and/or β-glucanase treatment and wet milling, followed by sequential centrifugation and ultrafiltration. The enzymatic treatment is to facilitate the release of β-glucan from the matrix. The β-glucan (initially around 14% on a dry matter basis) can be further concentrated by freezing/thawing and precipitation, resulting in a β-glucan content up to 34–57%. The $M_w$ of the product ranges between 800 and 3000 kDa, but no information on the yield was presented. In the method of Potter et al. (2002a,b), milled oat bran was slurried with cold water and screened to remove starch. The material, which did not pass through the screen, was extracted with alkaline solution to solubilize β-glucan. Proteins could be precipitated from the solution by acidification. The remaining solution could either be evaporated or micro-filtered to collect the β-glucan concentrate. The concentration of β-glucan was up to 50–95% and the $M_w$ was 50–2400 kDa.

In the process of Redmond and Fielder (2004), oat bran was purified by air-classification or sieving, and then extracted in alkaline conditions (pH 9–10). The
solids were removed by centrifugation and a flocculant or coagulant was added to the solution to precipitate proteinaceous material. Amylolytic enzymes could be used to hydrolyze starch. Finally, β-glucan was recovered from the solution by ethanol precipitation followed by centrifugation. The concentration of β-glucan could be up to 75–92% (yield 1.2–1.6%), with a \(M_w\) range of 1000–2000 kDa.

**Table 3.** Patented wet fractionation technologies for enrichment of oat β-glucan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the β-glucan fractionation</th>
<th>BG content (%), as is basis</th>
<th>Mw of BG (kDa)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous low β-glucan product is subjected to a concentration process by deep freezing and subsequent thawing. Thus, material containing β-glucan can be separated as a precipitate on top of the aqueous solution.</td>
<td>30–58</td>
<td>800–3,000</td>
<td>Kvist and Lawther, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milled grains are extracted with alkaline solution (pH 9–10). Starch is enzymatically digested after neutralisation. Purified β-glucan is obtained by alcohol precipitation.</td>
<td>85–100</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Redmond and Fielder, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat flour is slurried with 40–50% ethanol and filtered using a 40–75 μm screen. The residue is treated with a combination of sonication, protease and α-amylase. Thus, oat starch and protein are washed away, and alcohol-insoluble β-glucan remains intact within the cell walls.</td>
<td>24–41</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Vasanthan and Temelli, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bran is subjected to a combination of enzyme treatment and wet milling. Enzyme is inactivated by heat treatment and insoluble phase containing a cleaned bran is separated by centrifugal forces.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Kvist et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucan source is extracted with alkaline solution (pH 10). A flocculate is formed after neutralisation, heating and cooling of the extract. The flocculate is removed by centrifugation. The supernatant can be dried as such (30–45% β-glucan concentration), or further concentrated by ultrafiltration or by collecting the spontaneously formed β-glucan films after heating the solution in an evaporator.</td>
<td>30–95</td>
<td>400–1,000</td>
<td>Potter et al., 2002a; 2002b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermostable α-amylase is used to convert the gelatinised starch in oat flour or bran to maltodextrins. After inactivation of the enzyme, solubilised portion (mainly β-glucan and maltodextrin) is recovered by centrifugation.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>98 % of molecules</td>
<td>Inglett, 2000; 1997; 1991 &gt;DP 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
β-Glucanase activity of oat flour is first inactivated. The flour is treated with proteolytic enzyme, such as trypsin, to enrich β-glucan due to the degradation of protein. β-glucan is then extracted with hot water, precipitated from the solution by an organic solvent and dried.

A β-glucan-containing bran is extracted with alkaline solution (pH > 10). Amylolytic agent is added to degrade the contaminating starch. Degraded starch is removed and alcohol is added to precipitate β-glucan from the solution. Oat or barley grains are slurried in cold water, which may contain an organic solvent. The slurry is homogenized and screened to obtain a β-glucan-enriched fraction from the screens. The obtained moist β-glucan fraction is dried as rapidly as possible.

n.d. = not described
n.d.* = not described, but it is mentioned that 50–80% of β-glucan in the initial raw material can be recovered by this method

1.3 Functionality and modification of oat dietary fibre

Studies on physiological responses related to oat β-glucan have mainly been conducted with oat brans or oat bran concentrates in different food products. Only a small amount of research has been carried out on purified oat dietary fibre components other than β-glucan. Thus, this section concentrates mainly on the physiological effects and biochemical properties of oat β-glucan. However, the functionality of oat dietary fibre ingredients is not only dependent on β-glucan, but also on other components, such as arabinoxylans and fibre-associated compounds. The composition and structure of dietary fibres in oat bran or oat bran concentrates can have a significant effect on the properties of the food product as well as on the physiological responses.

1.3.1 Physiological effects of oat β-glucan in humans

Several studies and meta-analyses have shown that oat β-glucan can reduce LDL cholesterol (low-density lipoprotein) in hypercholesterolemic subjects (Ripsin et al., 1992; Othman et al., 2011). A review of Othman et al. (2011) came to the conclusion that intake of oat β-glucan (at least 3 g per day) may reduce blood total and LDL cholesterol levels by 5–10% in normo- or hypercholesterolemic subjects. However, the effect appears to be negligible in young healthy adults (Ibrügger et al., 2013). The mechanisms of oat β-glucan’s cholesterol-lowering effect are still not fully understood.
One of the most potential explanations is that the viscous oat β-glucan encapsulates bile acids, resulting in their excretion in the faeces. Bile acids are recycled, taken up in the lower part of the intestine and used again. Through excretion in faeces, the body loses bile acids and has to synthesise new ones in liver. Cholesterol is a building block for bile acids, and liver extracts it from the blood, leading to a decreased cholesterol level in the blood (Lund et al., 1989; Andersson et al., 2010; Bae et al., 2010). Another theory is that oat β-glucan interferes with the absorption of lipids, as well as decreasing the absorption of intestinal cholesterol (Mälkki et al., 1992; Marlett et al., 1994; Lia et al., 1995; Naumann et al., 2006; Poppitt, 2007). Several studies have also shown that soluble fibres are fermented in the colon, giving rise to short-chain fatty acids that can be absorbed and may inhibit hepatic cholesterol synthesis (Marlett, 1997; Andersson et al., 2002).

The postprandial rises in blood glucose and insulin have been shown to be reduced by viscous solutions of oat β-glucan (Jenkins et al., 1978; Braaten et al., 1991; Wood et al., 1994; Hallfrisch et al., 1995; Björklund et al., 2005; Tapola et al., 2005; Panahi et al., 2007). The viscous solution absorbs fluids and results in an extended digestion period. When digestion is delayed, blood sugar increases more slowly, causing a low insulin response. This effect was confirmed by Battilana et al. (2001) and Jenkins et al. (2002), but the course of events leading to the effect is not fully understood. One hypothesis is that food in the intestine is ‘incorporated’ in the viscous oat β-glucan solution, making it more difficult for enzymes to degrade the food components and causing digestion to take longer. Another hypothesis is that oat β-glucans form a protective layer along the intestinal wall that acts as a viscous barrier, slowing food uptake from the intestine (Duss and Nyberg, 2004).

The thick and viscous fluid formed by oat β-glucan in the stomach and small intestine can also stimulate the sensation of satiety and help to limit appetite. As described above, oat β-glucan can extend the period of digestion, and thus nutrients are utilised by the body for longer periods. This may contribute to an increased sensation of satiety and provide advantages in weight management (Lugwig, 2000; Juvonen et al., 2009; Lyly et al., 2009; Pentikäinen et al., 2014).

1.3.2 Rheological behaviour of oat β-glucan

Molecular weight (Mw) distribution is an important characteristic of oat β-glucan, since very different rheological behaviour may be observed depending on the Mw. At low concentrations, very little interaction occurs between the isolated β-glucan polymer domains, and viscosity is close to Newtonian. The shear viscosity diagrams usually show three different linear regions for dilute, semi-dilute and concentrated solutions, and the points separating them are called critical concentrations c* and c** (Doublier and Wood, 1995; Lazaridou et al., 2003; Skendi et al., 2003). The first critical concentration c* between dilute and semi-dilute solutions represents the state when the molecules begin to interact with each other in the solution. The second critical concentration c** between the semi-dilute and concentrated region
represents the beginning of entanglements. In the concentrated region, the viscosity increases more intensively with increasing concentration.

The critical concentrations for HM$_w$ oat β-glucan (M$_w$ ≈ 900 kDa) were reported by Doublier and Wood (1995) to be c$^*$ ≈ 0.1% and c$^{**}$ ≈ 0.3%. However, Ren et al. (2003) analysed the same samples and found only two regions and one critical concentration with 0.2–0.4% of β-glucan. In the concentrated region, the shear viscosity of oat β-glucan is shear thinning, and in the dilute region it is Newtonian. The shear thinning behaviour is due to decline in the entanglements resulting from the orientation of the chains in the flow. This is supported by the observations of Grimm et al. (1995), Böhm and Kulicke (1999) and Tosh et al. (2004), who showed that in the diluted region, oat β-glucan occurs as fringed micelle-type aggregates, which grow side-to-side via hydrogen bonding of the cellotriose-sequences. In addition, Vårum et al. (1992) observed that only a fraction of the molecules was involved in association to form large stable aggregates.

Agbenorhevi et al. (2011) showed that the solution viscosity was higher for HM$_w$ samples when oat β-glucan molecules with different M$_w$s (142–2800 kDa) were compared at the same concentrations (0.01–8.0% w/v). Increased critical concentration (c$^*$=0.25–1.10%) with decreased M$_w$ of β-glucan (from 2800 to 142 kDa) showed that coil overlap occurred at lower concentrations in the case of HM$_w$ samples. A similar trend in critical concentrations (c$^*$=0.5–2.0%) was also observed by Böhm and Kulicke (1999) for hydrolysed barley β-glucans (M$_w$ range between 375 and 40 kDa, respectively).

Partially depolymerised oat β-glucan has been shown to form gels (Doublier and Wood, 1995). The loss modulus (G'') describes the liquid flow component, in which the deformation energy from applied stress is dissipated as frictional heat, and the storage modulus (G') represents the solid or gel-like component, in which energy is stored in elastic deformation (Wood, 2011). The change in G' observed at different frequencies of measurement indicates the type of intermolecular interactions present; G' is relatively constant across different frequencies (frequency-independence) when gel networks are present but G' changes at different frequencies (frequency-dependence) when molecular entanglements are present. G'' measures the ability of a substance to flow, and a high G'' value is observed for solutions with high viscosity. The frequency-independence of G' under dynamic shear is observed for solutions of high concentration and a low tendency to flow. At a given frequency, substances with low ratio of G''/G' are said to be more solid-like than liquid-like (Kwong et al., 2013a).

The crossover point of G'' and G' is at a frequency that is lower for higher concentrations and/or Mw of β-glucan molecules in freshly prepared solutions (Ren et al., 2003; Lazaridou et al., 2003). When 8% (w/v) aqueous solution of around 100 kDa oat β-glucan was studied in a rheometer, it was noticed that a typical liquid-like mechanical spectrum (G'' > G') was observed at all frequencies until 15 h. Between 15 and 40 h, G' (at 1 Hz) increased much more rapidly than G'', until it exceeded G'' and became much less frequency-sensitive, showing typical characteristics of a gel system (Lazaridou et al., 2003).
Lazaridou et al. (2003), Skendi et al. (2003) and Tosh et al. (2003) concluded that the rate of gelation increased when the $M_w$ of β-glucan decreased. They showed a rapid increase in the gelation rate when the $M_w$ fell below 60 kDa, whereas molecules with $M_w > 250$ kDa did not form any kind of gel during 200 h of storage. The link between low $M_w$ fractions and higher aggregations has been proposed to be due to greater mobility of low $M_w$ fractions increasing the probability of interactions between the β-glucan chains (Doublier and Wood, 1995; Lazaridou et al., 2003; Skendi et al., 2003; Tosh et al., 2004). An exponential correlation between the gelation rate and concentration has been found, and the critical gelling concentration (below which gel formation is not observed) was found at 3.5–4% for oat β-glucans of $M_w$ 35 and 110 kDa (Lazaridou et al., 2003). However, the gelling was temperature-dependent, for example the gels formed at 45 °C were much weaker than those formed at 25 °C. Lazaridou et al. (2003) and Tosh et al. (2003) showed that β-glucan gels melt over a temperature range, rather than with a sharp transition. In addition, the melting temperature increased as the age of the gel increased, but the gels of lower $M_w$ β-glucans generally had lower melting temperatures.

### 1.3.3 Thermal and mechanical modification of oat dietary fibre

Most of the studies aiming towards modification of oat dietary fibres have concentrated on the content and $M_w$ of β-glucan before and after the modification. Thus, there are not many results on the effect of the modification on arabinoxylan and other fibre-linked compounds. Thermal or thermomechanical modification of dietary fibres often occurs during baking and extrusion processes. For example, the $M_w$ of β-glucan in oat-based breakfast cereals can be reduced by extrusion processing. Tosh et al. (2010) produced cereals with 1930–251 kDa β-glucan by varying the temperatures (181–237 °C) and water contents (7.0–18.7%). In the reduced molecular weight breakfast cereals (251–950 kDa), temperature and pressure in the extruder were higher than those used to produce 1930 kDa cereals. The increasing energy input in the extruder caused disruption of the cell walls and protein bodies as well as solubilization of the starch and β-glucan (Tosh et al., 2010).

The properties of oat dietary fibre have also been modified by a microfluidization process as described by Chen et al. (2013). They showed that microfluidization increased the solubility of oat insoluble fibre (IDF) preparation (Herbacel Classic Plus HF 06, Werder, Germany) from 10.0 to 36.5%, and improved the water holding (from 3.0 to 6.2 ml g$^{-1}$) and oil holding (from 1.8 to 6.7 ml g$^{-1}$) capacities of the IDF preparation. The study of Chen et al. (2013) was based on an IDF ingredient manufactured from oat hull, and cannot be compared to oat bran-based dietary fibres. However, the greatly reduced particle size due to high-pressure microfluidization which leads to an increased surface area, could also contribute to the improved water holding capacity of bran-based fibres. Microfluidization could also increase the porosity and capillary attraction of hull- or bran-based fibres, and consequently enhance the physical entrapment of oil and increase the oil holding capacity.
Zhang et al. (2009) compared the effect of steam heating, extrusion and superfine grinding on the solubilisation of oat bran fibre. The yields of oat soluble fibre fraction (SDF) were 5.9% from untreated, 7.2% from steam heated, 12.3% from extruded and 16.6% from superfine ground oat bran. The total dietary fibre contents of SDF varied from 81.4% to 83.9%. The solubility of the SDF fractions varied between 47.2 and 87.8%, being highest after superfine grinding and lowest after extrusion. The proportions of the fraction with a $M_w$ higher than 500 kDa in the SDF were 31.3% in untreated, 30.3% in steam heated, 97.4% in extruded and 37.3% in superfine ground oat bran. The results showed that the superfine grinding and extrusion processes induced the effective extraction of HMw SDF from oat bran, but in the steam heating the proportion of the fraction with molecular weight of more than 500 kDa was decreased. Similarly, Sharma and Gujral (2013) showed with eight different barley varieties that the ratio of soluble to insoluble $\beta$-glucan (originally 0.7–1.5, depending on the variety) was changed to 1.2–3.1 after extrusion at 150 °C and 15% moisture content.

Gaosong and Vasanthan (2000) presented even higher increases in the water solubility of barley $\beta$-glucan. The solubility of $\beta$-glucan was increased from 27 to 41% with a regular barley variety (with 3.9% total $\beta$-glucan) and from 42 to 90% with a waxy barley variety (with 6.4% total $\beta$-glucan) when using 140 °C extrusion temperature and 50% moisture content for barley flours. These results were supported by the observations of Huth et al. (2000) that $\beta$-glucan solubility from extrudates prepared from barley is mainly affected by the moisture of feed rather than by the temperature of extrusion cooking.

Another form of dietary fibre made from oats is amylose-lipid complex which is only partly digested in the small intestine (Lehtinen et al., 2004). The formation of this complex is based on extrusion with limited water-content (21–26%) to form a plastic mass, which leads to an increased concentration of damaged starch, as described by Case et al. (1992). According to Lehtinen et al. (2004), the damaged starch acts as a binding agent for the naturally occurring or added lipid, such as rape seed oil. The lipid is located inside the $\alpha$-helix of the amylose molecule, as investigated by Neszmélyi et al. (1987) and Karkalas et al. (1995). Amylose-lipid complex has been proposed as resistant starch type 5, because of its resistance to enzyme hydrolysis. The resistance depends on the molecular structure of the lipid and the crystalline structure of the single helices. The increased resistance is due to the restricted swelling of starch granules during cooking (Hasjim et al., 2013). In addition to potential health benefits, the amylose-lipid complex can provide an advantage in baking applications, such as improving the water retention of wheat flour (Lehtinen et al., 2004).

### 1.3.4 Chemical and enzymatic modification of oat dietary fibre

The glycosidic linkages of $\beta$-glucan have proved to be stable at low pH (1.5) at 25–37 °C (Bhatty, 1992, Johansen et al., 1993). Johansson et al. (2006) reported that at 37 °C no degradation of $\beta$-glucan was observed with 0.1 M HCl over a 12 h
period. By contrast, at 120 °C total hydrolysis to D-glucose occurred with 3 M HCl already after 1 h hydrolysis. Hydrolysis with 0.1 M HCl at 120 °C for 1 h produced a range of products, but the majority of the resulting oligosaccharides had very low M_w (DP 1–7). Bhatty (1992) extracted β-glucan from several oat cultivars at 25 °C in acid, neutral and alkali conditions. The extracted amounts of β-glucan were 18–23% (pH 1.5), 28–55% (pH 6.0) and 17–44% (pH 10). Thus, it could be concluded that neutral pH gave the best yield. However, the viscosity of the extract was much lower at pH 6.0 (4 cSt) compared to acid (18 cSt) or alkali extracts (14 cSt), probably indicating enzyme action during the extraction at pH 6.0. Unfortunately, this study did not report the M_w values of extracted β-glucan. Tosh et al. (2004) investigated the ability of acid- (HCl) and enzyme-catalysed (lichenase and cellulase) hydrolysates to produce LM_w β-glucan molecules (31–237 kDa). Independently of the hydrolysis method, all 6% oat β-glucan solutions with M_w < 150 kDa formed gels at 5 °C in less than one week. The authors also demonstrated that the time required for a gel to form became shorter in relation to the reduction in M_w. 

Oat β-glucan can also be depolymerised by an oxidative-reductive mechanism. Kivelä et al. (2009a; 2011) showed that ascorbic acid and H_2O_2 in the presence of ferrous ions (Fe^{2+}) can induce oxidative-reductive depolymerisation of β-glucan. This was explained by the Fenton reaction, which generates •OH radicals capable of oxidising β-glucan. More recently, Faure et al. (2013) demonstrated that Fe^{2+} ions in aqueous solution of β-glucan can alone cause depolymerisation of β-glucan when heating the solution to 100 °C. At lower temperatures the radical-catalysed reactions were significantly slower, but an addition of ascorbic acid or H_2O_2 clearly enhanced the degradation of β-glucan (Faure et al. 2013; 2014). For example, the M_w of 1 wt. % β-glucan solution was degraded from 538 kDa to molecules smaller than the detection limit of the light scattering analysis already after 2 h of storage at 85 °C when 100 mM H_2O_2 was added to the solution. Without H_2O_2 addition, the M_w remained 513 kDa after 2 h and 318 kDa after 1 week of storage at 85 °C (Faure et al., 2014).

If the aim is to keep the M_w of β-glucan as intact as possible, the unwanted β-glucan-depolymerising enzymes can be denatured at high temperature. However, the heat-based denaturation is not an instantaneous process, and the enzymatic reactions are easily accelerated at elevated temperatures. Thus, the denaturation temperature should be reached as rapidly as possible (Wood, 2011). The same is true for alkaline conditions: although β-glucanase activity is suppressed at high pH, the treatment does not necessarily fully denature and deactivate the enzymes. Even a small amount of residual activity may still exert a significant effect over long periods of storage, especially in aqueous conditions (Wood et al., 1978b; 1989). 

Henriksson et al. (1995) reported that a purified cellobiohydrolase II preparation (i.e. a cellulase enzyme) hydrolysed only (1→4)-linkages of barley β-glucan at 37 °C in high water content. No high molecular weight hydrolysis products could be detected after 2.5 h, i.e. the M_w had decreased to below 10 kDa. They found a rapid increase in the amount of reducing sugars during the first 24 h of hydrolysis.
After this, the hydrolysis slowed down. The dominant hydrolysis end products were di-, tri- and tetrasaccharides, with an average oligosaccharide length of 3.1.

In processes aiming at partial depolymerisation of β-glucan, different kinds of depolymerising enzymes can be utilised, e.g. β-glucanases, which are usually specific for (1→4)-β-D-linkages (McCleary and Matheson, 1987), or lichenases, which are known to cleave only the (1→4)-β-D-linkages adjacent to (1→3)-β-D-linkages of β-glucan, resulting in a mixture of mostly linear (1→4)-β-D-linked units in the dispersion (McCleary, 1988; McCleary and Codd, 1991). High amounts of linear (1→4)-β-D-linked units can enhance the gelling behaviour of the extracted β-glucan molecules (Tosh et al., 2004). Extrusion technology at low water content can also be utilized in combination with enzyme (Lehtomäki and Myllymäki, 2009) or acid-catalyzed hydrolysis (Kaukovirta-Norja et al., 2009) to solubilize and partially depolymerise oat dietary fibres (further discussed in Section ‘1.4.3. Liquid food products’).

1.4 Use of oat bran and β-glucan for fibre-fortification

Oat bran is a widely used source of dietary fibres in various food products, such as porridges, breads and biscuits. However, problems related to the texture and shelf life restrict the quantity of oats in most of these products. This section reviews the effects of oats in several different food matrices, with special attention to the properties of dietary fibres before and after processing.

1.4.1 Baked products

The most common way of using oats in baking is to combine oats and wheat, even though oats usually reduce the baking quality due to the lack of gluten proteins and the high content of dietary fibres (Oomah, 1983). If oat bread is intended to meet the requirements of the β-glucan content needed for a cholesterol-lowering claim (1 g/portion and 3 g/day) (EFSA, 2011a) or the claim for the reduced risk of heart disease (0.75 g/portion and 3 g/day) (FDA, 1997), addition of at least 50% whole grain oat flour of the weight of the bread is needed. Another option is to use oat bran or oat bran concentrate to reach the required level of β-glucan. However, when aiming at high volume oat-based breads, addition of gluten (e.g. 13% gluten + 87% oat flour) is usually needed (Londono et al., 2014).

The high β-glucan content of oats is responsible for the increased water absorption and mixing requirements when compared to wheat dough (Zhang et al., 1998). Although Krishnan et al. (1987) reported that 10% addition of oat bran gave better stability than that of 100% wheat-based dough, it is generally agreed that increasing the supplementation level of oat bran, flakes or flour reduces the specific volume of breads (Flander, 2012). The specific volume of oat breads has been reported to range from around 1.0 (70-100% oats of the flour weight) (Kim and Yokoyama, 2011, Tiwari et al., 2013) to 5.8 ml/g bread (10–20% oats of the flour weight) (Zhang et al., 1998).
Tiwari et al. (2013) studied the substitution of wheat flour by 0–70% of oat flour or oat bran in bread. The specific volume was observed to decrease in line with the amount of wheat flour substituted by oats. Crumb hardness was observed to be negatively correlated with the specific volume of oat-supplemented breads. β-Glucan content was found to increase from 0.1 to 1.4 or 3.6% (d.w.) when 70% of wheat flour was substituted with oat flour or oat bran, respectively. The results showed that a substitution level of 50% for oat flour and 30% for oat bran still enabled the preparation of bread with acceptable quality. Similar results were obtained by Flander et al. (2007) (2.4% d.w. β-glucan in the final bread) when replacing 51% of wheat flour with whole grain oat flour. They reported a decrease in the $M_w$ distribution of β-glucan in bread (30% of high $M_w > 1\,000$ kDa; 30% of medium $M_w = 200–1\,000$ kDa; 40% of low $M_w < 200$ kDa) as compared with the original β-glucan of whole oat flour (60% of high $M_w$, 30% of medium $M_w$, and 10% of low $M_w$). The level of β-glucan in the final bread was 25% lower than in the flour mixture. Tiwari et al. (2013) reported even higher reduction in the level of β-glucan (by 38–43%) in dough during proofing. This most probably indicated a higher activity of endogenous enzymes (probably originating from wheat flour) in the study of Tiwari et al. (2013), because the proofing time (45 min) was shorter and temperature (35 °C) lower than in the study of Flander et al. (2007) (65 min and 39 °C, respectively).

As described above, raw materials and their endogenous β-glucanase activities as well as processing and storage conditions can affect the amount and $M_w$ of β-glucan in baked products. This can weaken the cholesterol-lowering effect of β-glucan (Kerckhoffs et al., 2003; Törrönen et al., 1992) as well as the ability to lower postprandial glycemic response (Lan-Pidhainy et al., 2007, Tosh et al., 2008, Regand et al., 2009). Understanding the influence of processing on β-glucan and the means to control its integrity are extremely important for the functionality of oats in food applications. The $M_w$ values of β-glucan in final products of oat supplemented breads and muffins have been reported to vary between 100 and 2800 kDa (Törrönen et al., 1992; Beer et al., 1997b; Åman et al., 2004; Lan-Pidhainy et al., 2007; Tosh et al., 2008). Cleary et al. (2007) reported that $HM_w$ β-glucan from barley degraded much more during baking (from 640 to 310 kDa) than the $LM_w$ β-glucan molecules (from 210 to 200 kDa). They did not provide a thorough explanation for this, but it appears that higher $M_w$ of barley β-glucan is more susceptible to degradation during bread processing than lower $M_w$ β-glucan.

The texture and flavour of oat breads can be improved by sourdough baking or by using germinated ingredients. Flander et al. (2011) and Rieder et al. (2012) studied the effects of sourdough baking on oat bran-supplemented breads, showing improved bread volume and reduced crumb firmness when using sourdough. Flander et al. (2011) concluded that wheat sourdough did not affect the content of oat β-glucan in the bread. Both straight dough and sourdough bread contained 2.4–2.7% (d.w.) β-glucan. The average $M_w$ of β-glucan was 550 kDa in both types of bread, whereas that of oat flour was 1 000 kDa. This indicated a slight degradation of β-glucan during proofing and baking. Similarly, Rieder et al. (2012) reported...
that the $M_w$ of $\beta$-glucan in oat bran was reduced during the 18 h fermentation from 630 to 488 kDa, but did not differ much from the non-fermented oat bran after baking in the oven (266 and 308 kDa, respectively).

Germination usually improves the texture and flavour of cereals, but it also generally causes breakdown of $\beta$-glucans. The endo-$\beta$-glucanases, generated by germination, can depolymerise the $\beta$-glucan chain already during malting of oats, and care must therefore be taken when choosing the malting parameters. For example, after six days of germination at 15 °C, the $M_w$ of $\beta$-glucan decreased from 2400 kDa to 1500 kDa, after which no $\beta$-glucan could be detected with HPLC-SEC. It was interesting that the $M_w$ of $\beta$-glucan remained higher than 1500 kDa until the $\beta$-glucan was completely degraded. Apparently, the smaller $\beta$-glucan molecules ($M_w < 1500$ kDa) were degraded soon after they were formed. As the products of initial degradation are more soluble than the original $HM_w$ $\beta$-glucan they may also be more easily degradable (Wilhelmson et al., 2001). In baking applications, it is also common to add barley or wheat malt to bring more flavour to oat-wheat breads, but the endogenous $\beta$-glucanases of the malt can reduce the viscosity and $M_w$ of oat $\beta$-glucan if the enzymes in the malt ingredient are not properly inactivated prior to baking (Åman et al., 2004).

### 1.4.2 Extruded products

Extruded products can be divided into two different categories: expanded products (i.e. snacks and breakfast cereals) made by a high-temperature and short-time process, and pasta products made by a low-temperature process. In expanded products, starch is the most important ingredient, ensuring good expansion characteristics and gas-holding properties (Guy, 2001). However, extrusion of oats is challenging due to their high lipid (4–9%) and dietary fibre content (6–9%), and relatively low starch content (45–63%) (Chang and Sosulski, 1985; Wood, 1986; Fornal et al., 1995; Peterson and Wood, 1997; Liu et al., 2000; Vicidi et al., 2004; Yao et al., 2006; Núñez et al., 2010). The high lipid content can decrease the conversion of starch through lubrication, reduced degree of gelatinization, and prevented mechanical breakdown of starch, which can all lead to reduced expansion (Riaz, 2006). Oats have also been shown to have poor gas-holding capacity (Yao et al., 2006). Thus, most of the studies have reported poor expansion, hard texture and high bulk density when using oats in extrusion (Table 4).

Dietary fibres (DF) usually interfere with the expansion of the starch-based matrix. The effect of DF on the texture and structure of extrudates depends mainly on its interactions with starch and on the type and quantity of DF (Sozer and Poutanen, 2013). DF can bind water present in the matrix and reduce its availability for expansion (Moraru and Kokini, 2003). Due to the incompatibility of cereal bran particles with other raw materials, they act as fillers, and influence the mechanical and physical properties, particle size distribution, and orientation of polymers within the food matrix (Robin et al., 2011). If the bran particles are coarse, they can interrupt the matrix, disrupt the bubble wall film and result in bursting of gas cells before
expansion (Guy and Horne, 1988). Thus, fine grinding has been proposed to improve the compatibility of the cereal bran particles and starch to yield better expansion (Alam et al., 2014).

Addition of oat bran was shown to result in poor expansion because the bran particles ruptured the cell wall and made holes in the cells (Guy and Horne, 1988). The holes assisted evaporation of water, which resulted in collapsed expansion and dense extrudates. Addition of oat bran has also been shown to have a negative impact on the crispiness of extrudates (Chassagne-Bercès et al., 2011). Nevertheless, up to 18% oat bran can give expanded, porous and low density extrudates when a high-starch ingredient, such as maize semolina, is used as the bulk carrier matrix (Rzedzicki, 1999; Rzedzicki et al., 2000). However, Rzedzicki et al. (2000) recommended that 9–12% oat bran of solids would be the practical application limit in highly expanded products. Rzedzicki and Blaszczyk (2005) reported that incorporation of more than 30% of oat bran requires a higher temperature (180–220 °C), although the final product was found to be more compact due to the presence of a high content of DF. Recently, Lobato et al. (2011) suggested that even 37% of oat bran could be blended with other ingredients to obtain good quality extrudates.

Table 4. Effects of adding oats (flour or bran) into extruded products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Addition level</th>
<th>Other ingredients</th>
<th>Reported effects (when adding the proportion of oats)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oat flour 70%</td>
<td>Rice flour, Sugar, Malt extract</td>
<td>Instable processing due to the polymer stick-slip transition, which was caused by the high lipid content</td>
<td>Núñez et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–100% Maize bran</td>
<td>Samples with high level of maize bran presented a high breaking strength, due to the smaller expansion</td>
<td>Holguín-Acuna et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66% Wheat starch, Sugar, Salt, Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>Bile acid binding of oat containing extrudates ↑</td>
<td>Yao et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–100% Maize flour</td>
<td>Hardness and Density ↑ Radial expansion ↓</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% -</td>
<td>Expansion (at low temperature) ↓ Expansion (at increased moisture and high temperature) ↑ Die pressure (compared to wholegrain wheat flour) ↑ Torque and Specific Mechanical Energy (compared to wheat) ↓</td>
<td>Singh and Smith, 1997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat bran</td>
<td>Added</td>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% OBC*</td>
<td>Wholegrain wheat flour</td>
<td>Hardness ↑ Number of peaks and Crispiness ↓</td>
<td>Chassagne-Bercse et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–50%</td>
<td>Maize starch, soy flour, inulin</td>
<td>Hardness ↑ (acceptable until 37% addition) Radial expansion ↓</td>
<td>Lobato et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Maize flour</td>
<td>Hardness and density ↑</td>
<td>Zarzycki et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–80%</td>
<td>Maize semolina</td>
<td>Hardness ↑ (acceptable until 20% addition) Radial expansion ↓</td>
<td>Rzedzicki and Blaszczak, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–18%</td>
<td>Maize semolina</td>
<td>Hardness and Density ↑ (acceptable until 12% addition) Radial expansion ↓</td>
<td>Rzedzicki, 1999; Rzedzicki et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Soluble dietary fibre ↑ Insoluble dietary fibre ↓</td>
<td>Gualberto et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* OBC = Oat bran concentrate

Gualberto et al. (1997) investigated the effect of extrusion on the insoluble (IDF) and soluble dietary fibre (SDF) contents of oat bran (originally 8.7 and 3.5%, respectively). In the extruded samples, IDF content ranged from 7.1 to 7.5% and SDF from 4.6 to 5.5%. They explained that extrusion might have led to an increase in the SDF values due to the depolymerisation of the IDF into smaller fibre molecules. There was a decrease in SDF content as the screw speed increased, which may have led to the degradation of SDF due to a breakdown of chemical bonds and production of smaller molecules (Repo-Carrasco-Valencia et al., 2009). Furthermore, extrusion cooking may alter the molecular weight distribution and the ratio of (1→3) and (1→4) linkages of β-glucan which may lead to increased SDF (Zhang et al., 2011).

Yao et al. (2006) produced extruded breakfast cereals (EBC), with 66% oat flour + 30% wheat starch, from two different oat lines with 8.1 and 4.8% β-glucan concentrate. The EBCs from high and regular β-glucan oats contained 5.3–6.0% and 3.4–3.9% β-glucan, respectively. Changing the extrusion temperature (165–180 °C) or moisture content (16–25%) did not affect the β-glucan concentration of the extrudates. EBCs produced at 165 °C and 16% moisture content with the flour from the high β-glucan oat variety showed greater bile acid binding than those made in other conditions. The greater bile acid binding capacity may have been caused by both a greater amount and a greater solubility of β-glucan (Yao et al., 2006). The original $M_w$s of β-glucan in these oat varieties were 3 240 and 2 730 kDa, respectively, but unfortunately the authors did not report the $M_w$ values after the extrusion.
In pasta manufacture, oat bran can be added to durum wheat, as long as the substitution does not exceed 5 g/100 g (Bustos et al., 2011a;b). A higher addition of oat bran generates a disruption of the protein-starch matrix so that starch granules became more accessible. The cooking loss of oat bran-enriched pasta was similar to or lower than that in the control pasta when 2.5–5.0% of oat bran was added, but increased significantly at 7.5–10.0% supplementation level. It was hypothesised that at low oat bran concentrations, the fibres may be dispersed and incorporated into the protein-starch matrix. On the other hand, at higher degrees of substitution, disruptions in the protein matrix by oat bran particles became more important, promoting water absorption, and facilitating starch granule swelling and rupture. At 10% substitution, protein losses increased dramatically because the oat bran fibres generated a disruption in the protein network. Despite the observed cooking losses, DF was not significantly lost during cooking (Bustos et al., 2011a;b). Similar findings were observed by adding barley β-glucan concentrate (26.5% β-glucan) into durum wheat pasta. When 7.5% of β-glucan concentrate was added, there was no or minimal changes in the cooking loss, stickiness, water absorption, aroma, and sensory texture compared to the durum wheat control. At higher doses, pasta became browner, firmer, of inferior aroma, more rubbery and chewy (Aravind et al., 2012).

Kaur et al. (2012) investigated the addition of oat bran into wheat-based pasta up to a level of 25%. However, they found that 15% was the maximal substitution possible without adversely affecting the physicochemical, cooking and sensory quality. Padalino et al. (2011) produced gluten-free pasta from heat-treated maize flour and oat bran concentrate with 22% β-glucan content. The oat bran amount added to spaghetti was continuously increased until the overall sensory quality of pasta reached the sensory threshold, i.e. until the concentration of oat bran concentrate was 20%. They attempted to improve the sensory quality of oat bran supplemented spaghetti by the use of structuring agents, such as hydrocolloids and egg white. Most of the structuring agents improved the sensory characteristics of the spaghetti samples, and showed good elasticity and firmness as well as low adhesiveness and bulkiness. Moreover, the structuring agents did not alter the odour and taste of the samples, which remained pleasant despite the high percentage of oat bran. The best overall quality for both fresh and dry spaghetti was obtained by the addition of carboxymethylcellulose and chitosan at a concentration of 2%.

There are not many studies reporting the state of β-glucan in pasta products after processing and cooking. However, Åman et al. (2004) reported the $M_w$ values from experimental macaroni (1880 kDa) and fresh pasta (570 kDa) products. The $M_w$ distribution of β-glucan in fresh pasta showed significant depolymerisation due to the cooking, because 50% of the β-glucan molecules were below 230 kDa, whereas the corresponding value for macaroni was 1770 kDa.
1.4.3 Liquid food products

Oats have been utilized in many types of liquid food products. The best known product is ‘oat milk’, which can be used as a substitute for milk- and soy-based beverages. However, the content of dietary fibre (DF) in oat milks is usually low. For example, one of the leading oat milk products in Scandinavia, Oatly®, contains only 0.8 g DF per 100 ml. β-Glucan fibres can increase the viscosity and change the structure of the product, and therefore the major part of fibres is depolymerised and/or filtered away from most oat milk products (Lindahl et al., 1995; Patsioura et al., 2011).

The production of oat milk is typically based on treating oat flakes or flour in water suspension (10–20% of the dry oat material) with an enzyme preparation, which has the ability to hydrolyse starch but not proteins. Oat starch is hydrolyzed to dextrins, i.e. maltose or glucose, by the action of β- and α-amylases (Lindahl et al., 1995; Öste, 2000; 2002), by α- and β-amylases (Smith, 1995) or by α-amylase and glucoamylases/amyloglucosidases (Lewen et al., 2000; Alho-Lehto and Kuusisto, 2010). The enzymes hydrolyse starch in different manners: α-amylase randomly hydrolyses the (1→4)-α-D-glucosidic linkages in amylose and amylpectin of starch, whereas β-amylase hydrolyses (1→4)-α-D-glucosidic linkages removing successive maltose units from the non-reducing ends of the starch polymer chain, and glucoamylases/amyloglucosidases hydrolyse both 1,4- and 1,6-α-glucosidic linkages in starch and removes glucose units in a stepwise manner for the non-reducing end of the starch molecule (Lewen et al., 2000). In addition to α- and β-amylases, β-glucanase or xylanase can be used to partly depolymerise the viscosity inducing non-starch carbohydrates (Smith, 1995; Nilsson et al., 2003). However, care must be taken so that the β-glucanase is not allowed to catalyse the hydrolysis of β-glucan molecules to too great extent, if the aim is to retain β-glucan in the solution. That is why Smith (1995) recommended to use weight ratio of β-glucanase to oat flour to be in a range of about 0.0004:1–0.004:1 (β-glucanase:oat flour), and a substantially short incubation time (30–90 min).

Salovaara and Kurka (1991) described the production of an oat-based fermented liquid food product by fermenting oat bran. The process includes a heat-treatment of oat bran in water suspension (to gelatinise starch and pasteurise the product) and a subsequent fermentation with lactic acid, bifido- or propionic acid bacteria, or by a mixture of these. Löv et al. (2000) further developed the process, by first heating oat material to 89–95 °C and then homogenising it at a pressure of 150–170 bar. After homogenisation, the product is pasteurised or UHT-treated, and fermented as described by Salovaara and Kurka (1991). However, they were not able to prepare products with high dietary fibre content (i.e. TDF concentration was below 1%), because the viscosity of the product became too high.

According to Alho-Lehto and Kuusisto (2010), a fermented non-dairy beverage product with 25–60% glucose, 0.3–5% maltose and 5:1–10:1 ratio of glucose to maltose would provide a suspension with a balanced sweetness appealing to consumers. However, in their process the viscosity-related problem was solved by
reducing the amount of β-glucan to less than 0.5% in the final product. It was mentioned that the viscosity of the beverage should be at most 100 mPas, but the patent of Alho-Lehto and Kuusisto (2010) also covers spoonable yoghurts and ice cream-like products, which can have a higher viscosity. It is also possible to fortify the beverage product with SDF after the enzymes have been inactivated and the insoluble fraction of the oat raw material has been removed. The suitable SDF can be a ‘purified β-glucan’, for example, polydextrose, inulin or other fructo-oligosaccharides. The term ‘purified β-glucan’ refers to soluble β-glucan preparations that do not cause off-flavours or cereal taste in the oat suspension. The molecular weight of the ‘purified β-glucan’ must be low, preferably at most 200 kDa, to avoid increase in viscosity. One example presented by Alho-Lehto and Kuusisto (2010) described a product containing 78% glucose, 1% maltose, 9% protein and 4% added β-glucan of the dry matter. However, according to the sensory panel, the product was considered too sweet to be consumed as non-dairy milk, and it had a slimy mouth feel which could be suitable for preparing desserts, but not for drinks.

Laakso and Lehtinen (2006) developed a process specifically for oat bran concentrates (OBC) with higher than 15% β-glucan concentration. The OBC-fractions contain only a small amount of starch (5–30%), and thus the effect of starch in causing the viscosity is only minor (keeping in mind that the amount of bran is quite low in beverage applications), and starch degrading enzymes do not have such a crucial role as in the case of liquid products with higher amounts of oat starch. In the process the OBC material is suspended in water at room temperature and homogenised once or several times at a pressure of 300–600 bar. Subsequent homogenisations significantly reduced the viscosity of the water-OBC suspensions. However, the viscosity of the suspensions remained rather high (>300 mPas) when their β-glucan concentration was between 0.9 and 1.2%. When homogenising extruded oat bran concentrate four times at a pressure of 150 bar, Laakso and Lehtinen (2006) obtained a relatively stable water suspension with 0.9% β-glucan with a viscosity of around 100–120 mPas. However, they only reported the viscosity directly after preparation, not the long-term stability of the suspension. Kivelä et al. (2010) also reported that homogenisation can be used to increase the solution stability of oat β-glucan. They showed that a 0.3% β-glucan solution remained stable for several weeks. They explained the increased solution stability by the reduced Mw of β-glucan (initially 1440 kDa, after 1000 bar, 10 min homogenisation: 130 kDa) and by the more rounded β-glucan aggregates in the solution which was homogenised at high-pressure compared to the lower pressure homogenisation (e.g. 300 bar).

Lewen et al. (2000) developed a low viscosity (25–150 mPas) oat-based beverage containing 0.75–1.0 g β-glucan in a serving of 250 ml. Nilsson et al. (2003) described process to yield an even higher concentration (3.5–4.8%) oat β-glucan in a water suspension, but they did not provide information about the molecular weight β-glucan, or about the stability of the suspensions. Lyly et al. (2009) studied the satiating effect of a beverage enriched with an oat bran preparation. They added 5 g of β-glucan into a portion of 400 ml. However, due to the high molecular
weight of β-glucan, the oat bran ingredient was only suitable for instant drink powders, in which β-glucan is consumed immediately after mixing with water.

Kivelä et al. (2009b) reported that the addition of ascorbic acid (2 mM) into a water extract of β-glucan (0.15% w/v) led to a clear loss in the viscosity (from 145 to 6 mPa) and reduced the $M_w$ of β-glucan (from 1400 to 50 kDa) already after one day of storage at +6 °C. They concluded that the degradation of β-glucan molecules was induced by metal-catalysed hydroxyl radicals. The adjustment of pH showed that ascorbic acid had a similar effect in the pH range 3.5–6.5. At each pH, the viscosity was lost within one week, and it was concluded that pH adjustment was not a suitable method to protect β-glucan from oxidative cleavage in beverages. The results indicated that hydroxyl radical-driven oxidative cleavage was the major factor causing β-glucan degradation in beverages, and that acid-induced hydrolysis may play only a minor role in the degradation of β-glucan molecules by ascorbic acid. Faure et al. (2012) showed that the ascorbate-induced degradation of β-glucan could be inhibited by adding various antioxidants. Catalase was the only antioxidant, which could completely stop the •OH formation. However, sucrose, phenylalanine and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid also showed high radical scavenging capacity, and slowed down the β-glucan degradation.

Controlled hydrolysis of oat β-glucan by acid hydrolysis at 45–50% water content in a twin-screw extruder (2–3 min reaction time) was reported in a patent of Kaukovirta-Norja et al. (2009). The $M_w$ of β-glucan after acid hydrolysis of different oat bran preparations at different temperatures and acid concentrations was in the range of 5–360 kDa. For example, when 8% phosphoric acid was used at 110–130 °C, the $M_w$ of β-glucan was between 37 and 135 kDa (oat bran with 22% β-glucan) or between 28 and 105 kDa (oat bran with 33% β-glucan). The patent claimed that with a reduced $M_w$, oat β-glucan could be used in aqueous solutions at a desired concentration (>1 g/100 ml) without excessive increase in viscosity reaching at about 100–150 mPas, which would complicate the drinking of the beverage.

Similarly, enzymatic hydrolysis at low water content has been shown to degrade the molecular weight of β-glucan in a more controlled way than at high water content (Lehtomäki and Myllymäki, 2009). Oat bran concentrates were hydrolysed enzymatically at 45–55% water content, using commercial cellulase and α-amylase preparations in a twin-screw extruder (0.5–2 min at 65 °C). The hydrolysis of β-glucan was controlled by the dosage of enzymes (17–17,000 nkat β-glucanase activity/g oat bran). The enzymes were inactivated after the hydrolysis by repeating the extrusion at 95 °C. Unfortunately, the patent of Lehtomäki and Myllymäki (2009) did not report the $M_w$ values of the enzyme-hydrolysed oat bran preparations. However, they stated that a solution of 0.75 g / 100 ml of hydrolysed β-glucan should have a viscosity below 150 mPas, indicating a drinkable product.
1.4.4 Effect of storage and freezing on oat dietary fibre

For many food products, freezing is the only option to keep the product in a sensorial and microbiologically acceptable form for several months. The characteristics of oat dietary fibres and β-glucan can also change during the storage. Gamel et al. (2013) reported that the $M_w$, solubility and viscosity of β-glucan in oat bread stored at room temperature were relatively unchanged for 3 days, but a gradual decline in these parameters was observed after this. The $M_w$ of β-glucan extracted from fresh bread (originally 610–753 kDa) had decreased to 140–233 kDa after 6 days of storage. The proportion of LM $M_w$ β-glucan fragments (<100 kDa, 9% in fresh bread) was increased to 15, 23 and 43% after 3, 4 and 5 days of storage, respectively. The solubility of oat β-glucan also decreased 38.8–47.6% after 6 days of storage. Similar results (>50% reduction in solubility) were obtained after 4 days of storage at room temperature for breads fortified with barley β-glucan concentrate (Moriartey et al., 2011).

Frozen storage has been reported to decrease the extractability, but not to lead to remarkable changes in the $M_w$ of β-glucan. Beer et al. (1997b) found that under frozen storage (at –20 °C for 5 months) the extractable β-glucan decreased by more than 50% in oat bran muffins, whereas no change in the $M_w$ (originally 1400–1800 kDa) was detected. Lan-Pidhainy et al. (2007) showed that freeze-thaw-cycling of oat bran muffins reduced the solubility of β-glucan (from 27–40% to 15–18%) and also slightly its $M_w$ (from 2700–2800 kDa to 1800–2000 kDa). Gamel et al. (2013) also concluded that freezing (at –18 or –80 °C) and subsequent freeze drying did not dramatically affect the $M_w$ of β-glucan (600–900 kDa in bread and ~2900 kDa in porridge). However, they did not report any reduction in the solubility of β-glucan in bread (43–46%) or in porridge (22–24%) due to freezing or freeze drying. This might be due to the significantly shorter storage time (2–3 days) in their study compared to 5 months in that of Beer et al. (1997b). These observations suggest that the solubility of oat β-glucan in products with high moisture content can be retained for several days during storage at –18 °C. Nevertheless, both freezing and freeze drying can decrease the final extract viscosity of β-glucan in bread or porridge, and liquid nitrogen appears to be the only option to retain the extraction viscosity (Gamel et al., 2013).
1.5 Aims of the study

Oat DF offers several nutritional benefits, but its application in food matrices is challenging due to its high viscosity-enhancing capacity. In addition, regular oat bran ingredients contain only a relatively low concentration of DF, which limits their availability for food products. The content and quality of oat DF, particularly $\beta$-glucan $M_w$ and solubility, can be enhanced by new processing methods. The aim of this work was to develop technologies for processing of oat DF for efficient enrichment of $\beta$-glucan and thus better applicability in food products.

The main objectives were:

- Development of dry fractionation technologies to yield oat bran fractions enriched in DF, especially $\beta$-glucan
- Modification of DF for improved usability in aqueous food matrices
- Utilisation of developed oat fractions and modified DF-enriched ingredients for dry extrudates.
2. Materials and methods

2.1 Raw materials

The chemical compositions of the raw materials utilised in this work are summarised in Table 5. The oat bran concentrate (OBC) obtained in Publication I is shown as a reference to other OBC-fractions, because the compositions of (untreated) OBC-fractions were slightly different in Publications II, III and IV. Different oat bran preparations (non-defatted Elovena Plus and OBC-20NEF, as well as defatted SC-CO$_2$-OBC-1 and SC-CO$_2$-OBC-2) were used as a raw material in Publication II. The non-defatted oat bran preparations were kindly provided by Ravintoraisio Oyj (Raisio, Finland). Production of the defatted OBC-fractions is presented in Figure 2.

Defatted whole grain oat flour (WF), endosperm oat flour (EF) and differently treated OBCs were studied in Publication IV in order to produce oat-based extrudates. The same (untreated) OBC-fraction was also used as raw material for liquid food products (Publication III). This OBC-fraction was converted into four different forms: UF-OBC (ultra-fine ground), EH-OBC (enzyme-hydrolysed), WIS-OBC (water-insoluble) and WS-OBC (water-soluble) (Publication IV). More information about the manufacture of these OBC-fractions is provided in Figure 4.

The OBC-fraction produced in Publication I had a higher $\beta$-glucan concentration (33.9%) than that used in Publications III and IV (28.5%), because the fractions were produced in different batches (the industrial scale fractionation was performed during two separate years). The differently modified oat bran concentrates (untreated OBC, UF-OBC and EH-OBC) had almost identical $\beta$-glucan contents (28.1–28.5%), but the content of TDF varied between 33.2 and 50.4%, being the lowest in EH-OBC (Table 5). The WS-OBC had much higher $\beta$-glucan (52.2%) and TDF contents (53.5%) than WIS-OBC (11.6 and 31.8%, respectively). The DF in WS-OBC was 100% soluble, whereas 75% of the DF in WIS-OBC was insoluble.

WF had significantly higher $\beta$-glucan (3.2%) and total DF contents (6.0%) than EF (1.3% and 2.5%, respectively) (Table 5). The proportion of IDF compared to SDF was significantly higher in WF (60:40%) than in EF (52:48%). In turn, the starch content was lower in WF (65.6% of dry matter) than in EF (70.6%).
Table 5. The chemical composition and particle size of raw materials utilised in Publications II–IV. The process described in Publication I was applied to produce the defatted oat bran concentrates (untreated OBC, SC-CO$_2$-OBC-1 and SC-CO$_2$-OBC-2). The original OBC (2$^{nd}$ coarse fraction from Publication I) is shown as a reference for the other OBC-fractions. Oat bran (ElovenaPlus) and oat bran concentrate (OBC-20NEF) were commercial samples provided by Ravintoraisio Oyj, Finland. The process described in Publication III was used to produce fractions EH-OBC (enzyme hydrolysed), WIS-OBC (water-insoluble) and WS-OBC (water-insoluble). The defatted whole grain (WF) and endosperm oat flour (EF) were obtained from the same fractionation process as described in Publication I. The differently treated OBC-fractions (untreated, EH-, WIS- and WIS-OBC) and oat flours (WF and EF) were utilised in Publication IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication no.</th>
<th>Whole grain oats</th>
<th>OBC (2$^{nd}$ coarse fraction)</th>
<th>Oat bran (ElovenaPlus)</th>
<th>OBC-20NEF</th>
<th>SC-CO$_2$-OBC-1</th>
<th>SC-CO$_2$-OBC-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
<td>Non-defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucan (%)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinoxylan (%)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch (%)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle size; D$<em>{50}$/D$</em>{90}$ (µm)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>197/323</td>
<td>35/113</td>
<td>39/105</td>
<td>33/96</td>
<td>60/141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication no.</td>
<td>OBC (untreated)</td>
<td>UF-OBC</td>
<td>EH-OBC</td>
<td>WIS-OBC</td>
<td>WS-OBC</td>
<td>WF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III and IV</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
<td>Defatted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>OBC (untreated)</th>
<th>UF-OBC</th>
<th>EH-OBC</th>
<th>WIS-OBC</th>
<th>WS-OBC</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucan (%)</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dietary fibre (%)</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch (%)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle size; $D_{50}/D_{90}$ (µm)</td>
<td>213/404</td>
<td>32/81</td>
<td>111/262</td>
<td>70/150</td>
<td>37/79</td>
<td>37/269</td>
<td>14/175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a. = not analysed
2.2 Processing methods

2.2.1 Defatting

In pilot scale, non-heat treated dehulled flaked oats were defatted by supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO$_2$) in a Multi-Use SFE Plant with a pressure vessel of 10 l (Chematur Ecoplanning, Rauma, Finland). The extraction method of oat flakes was based on the work described earlier by Aro et al. (2007). The extraction was performed either with SC-CO$_2$ alone or with SC-CO$_2$ followed by SC-CO$_2$ and 10% ethanol extraction. In the industrial scale trials, a pressure vessel of 250 l (NATECO2 GmbH & Co, Wolnzach, Germany) was used. The industrial scale extraction was performed only with SC-CO$_2$. The process parameters are presented in Table 1 of Publication I.

2.2.2 Milling and air classification

In the pilot scale trials the defatted flaked oats were first ground twice at a rotor speed of 17 800 rpm (tip speed 180 m s$^{-1}$) and a feed rate of 10 kg h$^{-1}$, using a Hosokawa Alpine 100 UPZ-lb Fine impact mill with pin disc grinders (Hosokawa Alpine AG, Augsburg, Germany). The ground material was then air classified using a Minisplit Classifier (British Rema Manufacturing Company Ltd., UK). Classification was performed with an air flow of 220 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ and a feed rate of 5 kg h$^{-1}$. During the classification, the rotor speed was varied between 3000 and 7000 rpm in order to alter the mass balance between fine and coarse fractions. The coarse cell wall fraction from the first air classification step was further ground twice, using the same parameters as previously, and subsequently air classified with the same air flow and feed rate but altering the classifier rotor speed between 2500 and 4000 rpm.

In the industrial scale trials, the defatted oat grits were first ground in a Hosokawa Alpine Contraplex 250 CW mill. The rotation speeds of the mill discs were 11200 and 5600 rpm for the discs rotating in opposite directions (tip speed 250 m s$^{-1}$). The feed rate was 250 kg h$^{-1}$. The ground flour was subsequently air classified in a Hosokawa Alpine 315 ATP classifier, using an air flow of 1200/1200 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ and a rotor speed of 2200 rpm. The first coarse cell wall fraction, separated by air classification, was milled and air classified again with the same parameters to yield an oat bran concentrate (OBC) enriched in $\beta$-glucan and endosperm flour rich in starch. The separation of the protein-enriched fraction from the first fine fraction was made only for the industrial scale trial, using a Hosokawa Alpine 200 ATP-NG air classifier with air flow 400/400 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$, feed rate 100 kg h$^{-1}$ and rotor speed 6600 rpm. The overall fractionation diagram is presented in Figure 2.
2.2.3 Ultra-fine grinding and electrostatic separation

In order to fractionate the oat bran preparations (Elovena Plus, OBC-20NEF, and SC-CO$_2$-OBC-1 and SC-CO$_2$-OBC-2) by the electrostatic forces, they all were fine-ground at ambient temperature in an ultra-fine milling equipment Turborotor G-55 (Görgens Mahltechnik GmbH, Dormagen, Germany) with a rotor speed of 1 800 rpm. In addition, ‘SC-CO$_2$-OBC-2’ fraction (being identical to the ‘OBC’ fraction highlighted in Figure 2) was ultra-fine ground both at ambient temperature and in cryogenic conditions. The ambient grinding was performed as described above for the other oat bran preparations. A fine impact mill 100 UPZ (Hosokawa-Alpine AG, Augsburg, Germany) was used for the cryogenic grinding with a 0.3 mm grid and a rotor speed 18 000 rpm. To reach –100 °C temperature, the mill and oat bran material were cooled with liquid nitrogen using a special screw feeder (Micronis, Agen, France).

A pilot-scale electrostatic separator (TEP System, Tribo Flow Separations, Lexington, USA) was used for the production of various fractions, using ultra-fine oat bran preparations as starting materials. Starting from the raw material (‘F0’), fractions ‘F1A\textsuperscript{−}’ and ‘F1B\textsuperscript{+}’ were separated by the negative and positive electrodes, respectively. Fractions ‘F2AA\textsuperscript{−}’ and ‘F2AB\textsuperscript{+}’ were obtained by repeating the separation starting from fraction ‘F1A\textsuperscript{−}’, whereas fractions ‘F2BA\textsuperscript{−}’ and ‘F2BB\textsuperscript{+}’ were obtained starting from fraction ‘F1B\textsuperscript{+}’.

The fraction ‘F2BB\textsuperscript{+}’ (from SC-CO$_2$-OBC-2), which contained the highest concentration of β-glucan after the electrostatic separation, was further fractionated by a 100AFG Multi-Processing System (Hosokawa-Alpine AG) by combining jet-milling and air classification. The particles were forced to collide with each other
under 6 bar pressure, and an air classifier wheel with 10 000 rpm rotor speed was used to allow only the finest particles to pass through the classifier wheel. The coarse fraction, which could not exit from the milling chamber, was collected as a separate (β-glucan-enriched) fraction. The flow chart related to electrostatic separation and jet-milling is visualised in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Fractionation of oat bran preparations based on electrostatic forces between high voltage electrodes (A), and by jet-milling and air classification (B).

2.2.4 Acid and enzymatic hydrolysis to produce water-soluble and -insoluble oat bran preparations

The β-glucan-enriched oat bran fraction (OBC) was first preconditioned by mixing it with 30% water. The acid-catalysed hydrolysis was performed with orthophosphoric acid (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and enzyme-catalysed hydrolysis with a commercial enzyme preparation (Depol 740L, Biocatalyst Ltd., Wales, UK). The hydrolysis was carried out using an APV MPF 19/25 twin-screw extruder (Baker Perkins Group Ltd., Peterborough, U.K.). The feed rate of the preconditioned oat bran into the extruder was 24 g min⁻¹, speed of the twin-screws was 75 rpm, and residence time inside the barrel about 3 min. The temperature inside the extrusion barrel was set to 110–130 °C for acid hydrolysis and to 50 °C for enzymatic hydrolysis. An aqueous solution of phosphoric acid (8% w/v) or Depol 740L enzyme preparation (50 nkat β-glucanase activity/g oat bran) was fed into the extruder at a rate of 12 ml min⁻¹, resulting in a final water content of 50%. After the extrusion, the dough-like mass was either ready for subsequent pro-
cessing (acid hydrolysis) or was incubated in sealed containers at 50 °C for 1–4 h (enzyme hydrolysis). After incubation with the enzyme, the dough-like mass was manually fed into the extruder again to inactivate the enzyme. The inactivation was performed at 110 °C using 75 rpm speed for the twin-screws, resulting in a residence time of 3–4 min. After the extrusion, the moist, hydrolysed material was dried overnight in an oven with recirculation air at 65 °C. The dried material was first ground in a Wiley cutting mill (Arthur H. Thomas Company, Philadelphia, U.S.A.) and subsequently in a Hosokawa Alpine 100 UPZ-Lb Fine impact mill with pin discs (Hosokawa Alpine AG).

For the hot water extraction (Publication III), 66.7 or 83.3 g (d.w.) of dried and milled oat bran material was mixed with 1 l of distilled water at 70 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 min with a hand-held homogeniser (Heidolph Diax 900 Ultra Turrax, Gemini BV, Apeldoorn, the Netherlands), using 12,000 rpm speed. The insoluble residue was separated with a centrifuge (Sorvall RC-12BP, DuPont, U.S.A.) at 4000 rpm (ca. 4000×g) for 15 min. The centrifugation was performed at room temperature without cooling to avoid gelling of the water-soluble fraction. The supernatant of acid hydrolysed oat bran was neutralised from pH 2.1–2.2 to pH 5.0–5.2 with calcium hydroxide (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), and the Ca₃(PO₄)₂ precipitate formed was separated by centrifugation as described above. Neutralisation was not needed for the enzyme-hydrolysed material, which had a pH of 5.6–5.8 (Figure 4).

A similar approach was used to produce raw materials for studying the effects of differently treated oat bran preparations in expanded snack products (Publication IV). Fraction ‘EH-OBC’ was obtained after 4 h enzymatic hydrolysis and inactivation, oven drying and fine-grinding. Fractions ‘WIS-OBC’ and ‘WS-OBC’ were manufactured by separating the water-insoluble and -soluble fractions from ‘EH-OBC’, first by hot-water extraction and centrifugation and subsequently by freeze drying these fractions (Figure 4).

2.2.5 Production of expanded food products with defatted oat fractions

Defatted endosperm oat flour (EF) was used as the reference and main carrier matrix during extrusion processing. In addition, SC-CO₂ defatted wholegrain oat flour (WF) was used as another reference. EF and WF were similar to the endosperm fraction (first fine fraction) and defatted whole grain oats of Publication I (see Figure 2). Defatted oat bran concentrate (OBC) was used as a source of DF in untreated and modified form. In order to study the effect of particle size, the OBC with an original particle size of around D₅₀=200 μm was ground into ultra-fine powder (D₅₀=30 μm) in a Turborotor G-55 mill (Mahltechnik Görgens GmbH) using 60 Hz rotor speed and an average feed rate of 30 kg h⁻¹. This fraction was designated as ‘UF-OBC’. The manufacture of other raw materials (EH-OBC, WIS-OBC and WS-OBC) is described above and in Figure 4.

Extrusion trials were conducted in a co-rotating twin-screw extruder (Poly Lab System, Thermo Prism PTW24, Thermo Haake, Germany) with a barrel length (L)
of 672 mm and diameter (D) 24 mm, and L/D ratio 28:1. The extruder consisted of seven sections with a die exit of 5 mm diameter. The screw speed was 500 rpm and the total feed rate (including both solids and water) was 76 g/min. The temperature profile in the barrel was: 40, 70, 70, 100, 110, 130 and 130 °C (sections 1–6 and the die, respectively), and cooling water circulation was utilized to keep the temperatures constant. The torque of the extruder (38–48 Nm) and the pressure at the die exit (39–58 bar) varied only slightly during the trials and there were no clear correlations between torque, pressure and the raw materials used.

**Figure 4.** Flow chart describing the acid and enzymatic hydrolysis of OBC at 50% water content using an extruder as a bioreactor. The hydrolysis was performed at 110–130 °C (*acid hydrolysis*) or at 50 °C with subsequent inactivation at 110 °C (**enzyme hydrolysis**). Water-soluble OBC and water-insoluble residues (***) were investigated in liquid model foods (Publication III). The highlighted fractions (EH-OBC, WIS-OBC and WS-OBC) were used as raw materials for expanded model foods (Publication IV).
2.3 Biochemical analyses

The β-glucan content was determined by a standard method 32-23.01 (AACC, 2000) using the Megazyme β-glucan mixed-linkage assay kit (Megazyme International Ireland Ltd., Wicklow, Ireland). The molecular weight (\(M_w\)) of β-glucan was analysed by HPLC-SEC. Two different methods were applied. The \(M_w\) of β-glucan in the enriched oat bran fractions as well as in extruded snacks (Publications II and IV) was analysed after stirring 1 g of the sample overnight with a magnetic stirrer in 1 litre of 0.1 N NaOH containing 0.1% NaBH₄. The samples were analysed by HPLC-SEC with Calcofluor staining using right-angle laser light scattering for detection, according to Suortti (1993). The linear size-exclusion calibration curve was constructed on the basis of β-glucan standards ranging from 33.6 to 667 kDa. The \(M_w\) of β-glucan in liquid model food products (Publication III) was analysed by dissolving the samples at concentration levels of 12 and 8 g/l in 0.2% \(H_3PO_4\) and 200 ppm Na-azide at room temperature overnight with magnetic stirring. The samples were analysed by HPLC-SEC using a refractive index detector. The linear size-exclusion calibration curve was constructed on the basis of pullulan standards ranging from 788 to 5.9 kDa and malto-oligomers ranging from maltoheptaose to maltose. The weight average molecular weight (\(M_w\)) and the number average molecular weight (\(M_n\)) were calculated over the whole β-glucan peak. Polydispersity, a measure of the distribution of individual molecular masses, was calculated as a ratio between \(M_w\) and \(M_n\).

The neutral sugars were quantified as anhydro-sugars, after hydrolysis of the samples by sulphuric acid (1 M, 2 h, 100 °C) and conversion into alditol acetates (Blakeney et al., 1983). Anhydrosugar determination was performed by GC-LC. The content of arabinoxylan (AX) was calculated as the sum of arabinose and xylose. Nitrogen was analysed using a Kjeldahl autoanalyzer (Foss Tecator Ab, Höganäs, Sweden), and protein concentration was calculated as N x 6.25 according to method 46-11A (AACC, 2000). Starch was quantified using the Megazyme total starch assay kit according to method 76-13.01, and the total fat content using a Soxhlet extraction by heptane according to method 30-25.01 (AACC, 2000).

2.4 Particle size measurement

The \(D_{50}\) and \(D_{90}\) values of the raw materials, indicating that 50 or 90% of the particles, respectively, have a diameter below a certain level (μm), were analyzed by a laser diffraction particle size analyser. Beckman Coulter LS 230 (Beckman Coulter Inc., CA, U.S.A.) was used with the liquid module and distilled water as a carrier for Publications I, III and IV. Mastersizer 2000 S (Malvern Instruments Ltd., United Kingdom) was used with the liquid module and ethanol as a carrier for Publication II. The suspensions were analysed when the obscuration was between 10 and 20%, using continuous sonication.
2.5 Textural and structural analyses

The expansion rate was calculated by the equation (1) according to Kumagai et al. (1987). The diameters of the extrudates were measured by a vernier caliper using an average of 20 replicates.

\[
\text{Expansion rate (\%) } = \frac{D_e}{D_d} \times 100\%, \quad \text{in which} \\
D_e = \text{Average diameter measured at three different points of the extrudate sample (mm)} \\
D_d = \text{Diameter of the die (5 mm)}. 
\]

The samples were cut into 10 mm long pieces with a band saw (Power STWBS800, Taiwan Sheng Tsai Industrial Co. Ltd., Taiwan), and the hardness and crispiness of the extrudates were determined by a TA.XT2i TextureAnalyzer (Stable Micro Systems Ltd., Godalming, U.K.) equipped with a 30 kg load cell (crosshead speed 1.0 mm/s) and a cylindrical 36 mm aluminium probe (20 replicates). The compression curve was linearized and its length was calculated to describe the crispiness of the material. Crispiness index \((C_i)\) was calculated with equation (2) (Heidenreich et al., 2004). High crispiness was accompanied by a high \(C_i\)-value, whereas low crispiness corresponded to a low \(C_i\)-value.

\[
C_i = \frac{L_N}{A \times F_{\text{max}}} \quad \text{in which} \\
L_N = \text{Normalized curve length (Length of actual curve } / F_{\text{max}}), \quad F_{\text{max}} = \text{hardness of extrudates} \\
A = \text{Area under the force/deformation curve } = \text{Toughness (N s)} \\
F_{\text{mean}} = \text{Sum of the actual force values divided by the number of peaks (N)} 
\]

X-ray microtomography was used to investigate and quantify the porous structures of extrudates. Triplicate samples (10 mm long pieces of each extrudates) were scanned with a SkyScan 1172 microtomograph (Bruker-microCT Ltd., Kontich, Belgium). The instrument was operated at 40 kV / 250 μA. The pixel size was 11.65 μm, exposure time 0.079 s and the total scanning time 18 min. After scanning, binarized projection images from each sample were reconstructed into a 3D object by NRecon reconstruction software (Skyscan, Belgium) and further analysed for porosity (%), cell wall thickness (mm) and pore diameter (mm) by Ctan image analysis software (Skyscan, Belgium). In addition, the image analysis software provided the distributions of pore size and cell wall thickness (Publication IV).

2.6 Microscopic analyses

The samples were embedded into 2% agar and then fixed in 3.0% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and 1.0% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Na-K phosphate buffer (pH 7.0),
dehydrated in graded ethanol series, and embedded in hydroxyethyl methacrylate resin (Leica Historesin embedding kit, Heidelberg, Germany) prior to microscopic analyses. The embedded samples were sectioned (2 μm) in a rotary microtome HM 355 (Microm Laborgeräte GmbH, Walldorf, Germany) using a steel knife. The sections were transferred onto glass slides and stained separately: 1) with aqueous 0.1% (w/v) Light Green (BDH Chemicals Ltd, Poole, Dorset, UK) and 1:10 diluted Lugol’s iodine solution (I₂ 0.33%, w/v and KI 0.67%, w/v) when observed in brightfield, 2) with aqueous 0.1% (w/v) Acid Fuchsin (BDH Chemicals Ltd., Poole, Dorset UK) in 1.0% acetic acid and aqueous 0.01% (w/v) Calcofluor White (Fluorescent Brightener, Aldrich, Germany), or 3) with 0.01% (w/v) Nile Blue (Gurr Products, Romford, Essex, UK) for epifluorescence observation. Light Green stains protein green/yellow, whereas Lugol’s iodine solution stains starch dark blue. Acid Fuchsin and Calcofluor White were used for staining protein red and β-glucan-rich cell walls light blue, respectively (excitation at 400–410 nm and fluorescence at >455 nm). Nile Blue showed lipids in yellow (excitation at 420–480 nm and fluorescence at >515 nm). The samples were then examined under a BX-50 microscope (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan). Micrographs were obtained using a PCO SensiCam CCD colour camera (PCO AG, Kelheim, Germany) and the Cell^P imaging software (Olympus). At least five images were acquired from the sections cut from three blocks per sample. The most representative micrographs were selected for the comparison of the different samples (Publications I–IV).

2.7 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed by analysis of variance using IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, U.S.A.), and significant differences (P < 0.05) between individual means were identified by the Tukey’s test (Publications II–IV). All analyses were performed in triplicates unless otherwise stated.
3. **Results**

3.1 **Dry fractionation (Publications I and II)**

Dry fractionation of different oat components was studied by integrating defatting, grinding and air classification technologies (Figure 2). In addition, electrostatic separation and jet-milling in combination with air classification were used as optional or additional steps in the dry fractionation process (Figure 3).

3.1.1 **Effects of defatting, particle size reduction and air classification on the separation of oat grain components**

The SC-CO\(_2\) extraction of flaked oats showed that the efficiency of the lipid extraction varied according to the lipid class. The extraction removed the majority (85%) of neutral triacylglycerols, but less than 2% of polar lipids. Free fatty acids were poorly extracted with SC-CO\(_2\) (Table 2 of Publication I). Grinding of non-defatted whole grain oats (5.7% fat) in a pin disc mill was difficult due to the formation of lumps and adhesion of flour to the milling chamber. Thus, grinding was possible only in small batches. When defatted oats (2.0% fat) were used as a raw material, these problems were not encountered and the mill could be run continuously. Both non-defatted and defatted oats were ground twice in the pin disc mill in order to ensure good dissociation of oat particles for further fractionation.

Flours from both non-defatted and defatted oats were subjected to air classification and fractionated into coarse and fine fractions (Figure 2). The efficiency of the fractionation depended on the yield of the coarse fraction and on its β-glucan concentration. After the first round of grinding and air classification in pilot scale, the most efficient fractionation of non-defatted oats was obtained with 16.8% yield (13.4% β-glucan) of the coarse fraction. The fractionation of defatted oats was significantly more efficient (15.3% yield with 20.8% β-glucan concentration) (Table 6). The coarse fractions of the non-defatted material had notably larger particle size \((D_{50}/D_{90} = 651/1016 \, \mu m)\) than the defatted material \((D_{50}/D_{90} = 392/667 \, \mu m)\). In addition, a lower rotor speed was required for non-defatted than for defatted oats (Table 3 of Publication I).
Lipid removal had a remarkable effect on the β-glucan concentration in the coarse bran fractions. In pilot scale, after the second round of grinding and air classification, the highest concentration of β-glucan obtained from non-defatted oats was 17.1% (8.7% yield), whereas the corresponding concentration from defatted oats was 31.2% (8.8% yield) (Table 6). When the yield of coarse fraction was reduced below 9%, no further enrichment of β-glucan was observed with either non-defatted or defatted oat material (Table 3 of Publication I).

**Table 6.** The yields and β-glucan concentrations of non-defatted and defatted oats after pin disc grinding and air classification in pilot scale (A and B), and the chemical composition and particle size of defatted oats after the industrial scale fractionation (C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material</th>
<th>After grinding and 1st air classification</th>
<th>After grinding and 2nd air classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Pilot scale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-defatted oat flour</td>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>83.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucan (%)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Pilot scale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defatted oat flour</td>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>84.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucan (%)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Industrial scale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defatted oat flour</td>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucan (%)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinoxylan (%)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch (%)</td>
<td>65.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>69.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle size D&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; / D&lt;sub&gt;90&lt;/sub&gt; (μm)</td>
<td>12 / 211</td>
<td>10 / 142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The industrial scale fractionation was performed only with the defatted oat material. In the first round of fractionation, the yield of coarse fraction was adjusted to 14.3% (21.3% β-glucan). This was further ground and air classified into another coarse fraction with 7.8% yield (33.9% β-glucan). A protein-enriched fraction with 5.0% yield (73.0% protein) was separated by re-classifying the fine fraction (i.e. endo-sperm flour) obtained after the first air classification step into protein- and starch-enriched fractions. Protein enrichment was possible only for the defatted sample,
and a very precise particle size cut-off at around 5 µm was required for the separation of protein fraction from starchy endosperm flour. The cut-off for β-glucan separation was at around 200 µm (Table 4 of Publication I). The microscopic pictures (Figure 2 of Publication I) showed that β-glucan (blue colour) was significantly concentrated in the 2nd coarse fraction and that this fraction contained mainly cells from the subaleurone layer. In addition, the amount of starch was significantly reduced compared to the whole grain oats and the 1st coarse fractions (visualised as low amount of spherical dark blue objects in row B of Figure 2 of Publication I).

The localisation of lipids in non-defatted and SC-CO₂-extracted whole grain oats, as well as in defatted oat fractions, is presented in Figure 5. The lipid contents were not reported in Publication I, but they were analysed later. In non-defatted whole grain oats, the lipids were mainly concentrated in the aleurone and subaleurone regions (picture A, 5.7% lipids). Most of the lipids were extracted by SC-CO₂, as shown by reduced yellow colour in picture B (2.0% lipids). The defatted endosperm oat flour (picture C) contained only 1.2% lipids, whereas the oat bran concentrate (2nd coarse fraction; picture D) and protein concentrate (picture E) had higher lipid concentrations (4.3 and 6.4%, respectively). Lipids were more concentrated towards the outer layers of the bran preparation, but were distributed throughout the matrix in the protein concentrate.

**Figure 5.** Microscopic pictures of non-defatted and defatted (SC-CO₂) oat fractions obtained by pin disc grinding and air classification. Samples were stained by Nile blue, showing lipids in yellow. Sample A: Non-defatted whole grain oats, B: Defatted whole grain oat flour, C: Defatted oat endosperm flour (1st fine fraction), D: Defatted oat bran concentrate (2nd coarse fraction), and E: Defatted oat protein concentrate. These pictures were not previously published elsewhere.
3.1.2 Ultra-fine grinding, electrostatic separation and jet-milling

In order to obtain higher β-glucan concentrations, non-defatted (Elovena Plus or OBC-20NEF) and defatted (SC-CO₂-OBC-1 or SC-CO₂-OBC-2) oat bran preparations were fractionated by electrostatic separation. Ultra-fine grinding was needed to provide a sufficient level of dissociation of different oat bran components. The concentration of β-glucan increased only slightly when non-defatted oat bran preparations were separated in the electric field (Table 1 of Publication II). After two consecutive steps of electrostatic separation, the maximal increases in β-glucan content were from initial 5.8 to 8.7% for Elovena Plus and from initial 19.6 to 25.0% for OBC-20NEF. With both non-defatted oat bran preparations, β-glucan was concentrated in the 'middle fractions' (i.e. ‘F2AB+’ and ‘F2BA−’).

The microscope pictures of non-defatted OBC-20NEF showed that more starch granules were present in the positive fractions compared to the negative fractions (Figure 2 of Publication II). In accordance with this, chemical characterisation showed that fraction ‘F2BB+’ contained more starch than fraction ‘F2AA−’. Fraction ‘F2AA−’ had the lowest amount of β-glucan (19.6%), whereas the AX content was the highest (17.6%) in this fraction (Figure 6). Remarkably higher β-glucan concentrations were achieved when defatted oat bran concentrates (SC-CO₂-OBC-1 with 21.3% or SC-CO₂-OBC-2 with 35.0% β-glucan) were used as starting material. The greatest enrichment of β-glucan was in the fraction ‘F2BB+’, which after two consecutive electrostatic separation steps contained 31.2% (OBC-1) or 48.4% (OBC-2) of β-glucan. The lowest β-glucan concentration (13.5% with OBC-1 and 28.0% with OBC-2) was observed in fraction ‘F2BA−’ (Figure 6). Starch concentration was highest in fraction ‘F2BB+’, and lowest in fraction ‘F2AA−’ for both defatted samples. The AX of defatted oat bran (OBC-2) was enriched in fraction ‘F2AA−’ (from initial 15.2 to 22.7%). Electrostatic separation had only a minimal effect on the protein concentration, which was between 16.8 and 19.5% in all defatted fractions (Table 1 of Publication II).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material</th>
<th>β-glucan (%)</th>
<th>AX (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Elovena Plus</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. OBC-20NEF</td>
<td>19.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. SC-CO2-OBC-1</td>
<td>21.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. SC-CO2-OBC-2</td>
<td>35.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>15.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F1+</th>
<th>β-glucan (%)</th>
<th>AX (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>21.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>26.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>42.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F1A-</th>
<th>β-glucan (%)</th>
<th>AX (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>24.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>18.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>30.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. The β-glucan (BG) and arabinoxylan (AX) contents of fractions made by electrostatic separation from non-defatted (Elovena Plus and OBC-20NBEF) and defatted (SC-CO2-OBC-1 and 2) oat brans. The values are expressed as (%) per dry weight. n.a. = not analysed.
Microscopic pictures confirmed that fraction ‘F2AA’ of SC-CO\textsubscript{2}-OBC-2 was enriched in brown fibrous particles and less enriched in blue-coloured β-glucan and black starch granules as compared to other fractions (Figure 3 of Publication II). This indicated that fraction ‘F2AA’ consisted mainly of pericarp particles, rich in AX and other non-β-glucan fibres. By contrast, fraction ‘F2BB+’ contained cell walls released from degradation of aleurone and endosperm cells. Starch granules were also enriched in this fraction, showing that part of the endosperm was still present. Fractionation in electrostatic separation was similar when SC-CO\textsubscript{2}-OBC-2 was ground at ambient temperature or in cryogenic conditions. For example, 48.4 or 46.0% of β-glucan was detected in fraction ‘F2BB+’ when the electrostatic separation was performed after ambient or cryogenic grinding, respectively.

Fraction ‘F2BB+’ (with 48.4% of β-glucan) was further fractionated by a combination of jet-milling and air classification. The jet-milling and air classification separated fine starch and protein particles from coarse cell wall particles. The coarse cell wall fraction contained 56.2% β-glucan, 3.3% starch and 12.9% protein, whereas the fine particles contained a lower amount of β-glucan (34.2%), and significantly higher amounts of starch (19.0%) and protein (18.4%) (Table 1 of Publication II). The microscopic pictures showed that the starch granules surrounded by the cell wall structures were released by jet-milling, and concentrated in the fine fraction, whereas the endosperm and subaleurone cell walls were recovered in the coarse fraction (Figure 4 of Publication II). The recovery of β-glucan after pin disc grinding, air classification and electrostatic separation is shown in Table 7. Air classification showed higher recovery yields, whereas electrostatic separation enabled higher concentration of β-glucan.
Table 7. The recovery of β-glucan (BG) after pin disc milling and air classification (Part 1) and after electrostatic separation (Part 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 1: Pin disc milling and air classification</th>
<th>First coarse fraction</th>
<th>Second coarse fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BG (%)</td>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-defatted (pilot scale)</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defatted (pilot scale)</td>
<td>20.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defatted (industrial scale)</td>
<td>21.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 2: Ultra-fine grinding and electrostatic separation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-defatted oat bran preparation (OBC-20NEF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0 (starting material)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1B+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1A-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2BB+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2BA-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2AB+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2AA-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The initial yield of OBC-20NEF is only an estimate, as it is a commercially produced ingredient.

3.2 Depolymerisation of oat β-glucan (Publication III)

The dietary fibre complex in defatted OBC (containing 28.5 β-glucan, 11.4% AX and 48.1% TDF, Table 5) was modified to improve its suitability for aqueous food matrices. Acid and enzymatic hydrolysates at low water content (50% water) in an extruder enabled a controllable depolymerisation of β-glucan.

3.2.1 Acid-catalysed depolymerisation of β-glucan

Acid-catalysed hydrolysis had a significant effect on the cell wall structures of defatted OBC. The cell walls were almost completely destroyed as compared to the initial OBC (Figure 2 of Publication III). After the hydrolysis at 100, 120 or 130 °C and subsequent hot water extraction, 48.2–52.9% of the OBC material was
solubilised and 61.6–68.9% of the total β-glucan was extracted in aqueous solution. The degree of OBC solubilisation did not differ significantly between the hydrolysis temperatures (100–130 °C) and the concentration of OBC during hot water extraction (66.7 or 83.3 g/l). The highest solubilisation of β-glucan (68.9%) was obtained when OBC was hydrolysed at 120 °C. The solubilisation level decreased to 63.4–64.3% when OBC was hydrolysed at 130 °C (Table 8).

The β-glucan concentration of the hot water extracts was highest (45.7%) after the hydrolysis at 120 °C and decreased slightly (to 41.6%) when the hydrolysis was performed at 130 °C (Table 8). AX and starch concentrations of hot-water extracts increased (from 11.4 to 12.6% and from 6.9 to 8.9%, respectively) when the hydrolysis temperature was increased. The insoluble residues, after hot water extraction and centrifugation, contained 6.1–6.5% β-glucan, 30.2–35.0% protein, 7.6–11.1% starch and 7.4–10.4% AX. The differences in β-glucan concentrations were not statistically significant. Protein concentration increased and starch and AX concentrations decreased with hydrolysis temperature (Table 8).

The depolymerisation of β-glucan was highly dependent on the temperature during acid hydrolysis. The average \( M_w \) of β-glucan in the hot water extracts was 110, 86 and 34 kDa after hydrolysis at 100, 120 and 130 °C, respectively. The corresponding polydispersity (\( M_w/M_n \)) values of the β-glucan molecules were 4.0, 4.3 and 6.7. The low polydispersity values were detectable as relatively sharp peaks in the molecular weight distributions (Figure 3 of Publication III).

**Table 8.** Solubilisation of oat bran and β-glucan into liquid phase after acid and enzymatic hydrolyses and hot water extraction at 70 °C (A). The composition of hot-water extract (B) and insoluble residue (C) were analysed after separation of solids by centrifugation. The OBC concentration during hot water extraction was \( a = 66.7 \) g / l or \( b = 83.3 \) g / l.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Hydrolysis and hot-water extraction</th>
<th>Solubilisation of oat bran (%)</th>
<th>Solubilisation β-glucan (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 100 °C</td>
<td>50.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>63.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 120 °C</td>
<td>51.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>68.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 130 °C</td>
<td>52.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>63.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic, 1 h</td>
<td>41.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>71.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic, 3 h</td>
<td>47.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>77.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic, 4 h</td>
<td>45.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>70.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Composition of hot-water extract</th>
<th>BG (%)</th>
<th>AX (%)</th>
<th>Starch (%)</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 100 °C</td>
<td>42.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 120 °C</td>
<td>45.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 130 °C</td>
<td>41.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enzymatic, 1 h 58.6 ± 0.6 10.8 ± 0.3 4.1 ± 0.1 5.0 ± 0.1
Enzymatic, 3 h 56.2 ± 0.2 13.6 ± 0.5 4.4 ± 0.1 8.6 ± 0.1
Enzymatic, 4 h 52.2 ± 1.1 13.3 ± 0.2 6.7 ± 0.2 8.9 ± 0.1

C. Composition of insoluble residue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BG (%)</th>
<th>AX (%)</th>
<th>Starch (%)</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 100 °C</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>30.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 120 °C</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>34.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid, 130 °C</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>35.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic, 1 h</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>35.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic, 3 h</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>35.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic, 4 h</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>35.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2 Enzyme-catalysed depolymerisation of β-glucan

Enzyme-catalysed hydrolysis destroyed most of the cell wall structures, although less than acid hydrolysis. The microscopic analysis showed that some of the cell wall structures still remained intact after 1 h enzymatic hydrolysis, but that they were mostly destroyed after 4 h (Figure 2 of Publication III). In contrast to acid-catalysed hydrolysis, starch granules were still detectable after 1 h enzymatic hydrolysis.

In the subsequent hot water extraction, 29.0–47.1% of the enzymatically hydrolysed OBC was solubilised and 44.3–77.9% of the total β-glucan in OBC was extracted in water. The degree of OBC solubilisation did not differ significantly after hydrolysis times of 2–4 h. However, 1 h hydrolysis gave significantly lower solubilisation of OBC. The OBC concentration during hot water extraction also affected solubilisation, which was higher with the lower (66.7 g/l) than with the higher concentration (83.3 g/l). With higher concentration of OBC, the solubilisation of β-glucan improved significantly (from 44.3 to 70.5%) when the hydrolysis time was increased from 1 to 3 h (Table 8).

The hot water extracts of enzymatically hydrolysed OBC contained 52.2–58.6% β-glucan, 10.8–13.4% AX, 5.0–8.9% protein, and 4.1–6.7% starch. β-Glucan concentration decreased with hydrolysis time, but AX, protein and starch concentrations increased. Insoluble residues after the hot water extraction contained 11.6–16.4% β-glucan, 8.9–12.0% AX, 35.1–35.6% protein and 12.2–13.6% starch. The clearest difference in the chemical composition of the insoluble residues was in the concentration of β-glucan, which decreased when hydrolysis time was prolonged from 1 to 4 h. Along with the longer hydrolysis time, there was a decrease in the concentration of AX in the insoluble residues (Table 8).

The average $M_w$ of β-glucan in the hot water extracts was 218, 93, 71 and 49 kDa after 10 min, 1 h, 3 h and 4 h incubation times, respectively. Compared to acid hydrolysis, the enzyme-catalysed hydrolysis resulted in wider $M_w$ distribu-
tions. The polydispersity value ($M_w/M_n$) varied between 19.0 and 24.2, being lowest with 10 min incubation time of the enzyme. The ‘tails’ at the ends of $M_w$ profiles of enzyme-hydrolysed oat bran (Figure 3 of Publication III) indicated that part of the β-glucan was depolymerised into shorter oligosaccharides, but their concentrations were not quantified in this work.

### 3.2.3 Stability of depolymerised oat β-glucan dispersions

The hot water extracts prepared from OBC after 4 h enzymatic hydrolysis ($M_w$= 49 kDa) retained their low viscosity at 5 °C only for 2 weeks at 1.9% β-glucan concentration but as long as for 12 weeks at 1.6% β-glucan concentration. Acid hydrolysis at 130 °C ($M_w$ = 34 kDa), in turn, resulted in elevated viscosities after 3 or 7 weeks at 1.8 or 1.4% β-glucan concentrations, respectively (Figure 7).

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** The viscosity development of acid- (34 kDa) and enzyme-hydrolysed (49 kDa) oat bran extracts with time at 5 °C. The viscosity profiles were measured from solutions with 1.4–1.9% β-glucan (w/v). The viscosity values were measured at 24 s$^{-1}$ shear rate in the viscometer. The dashed line (at 0.8 Pa s) shows the measurement limit of the viscometer.

### 3.3 Oat dietary fibre in extrusion processing (Publication IV)

#### 3.3.1 Extrusion with untreated, ultra-fine and enzymatically hydrolysed oat bran preparations

The behaviour of differently treated OBC-preparations: OBC (untreated), UF-OBC (ultra-fine milled) and EH-OBC (enzymatically hydrolysed) were studied in a recipe based on EF (defatted oat endosperm flour). The starch (71.4–73.4%), protein
(14.0–14.4%), TDF (8.1–9.4%), and β-glucan (4.6–4.9%) contents of extrudates made of 10% OBC, UF-OBC or EH-OBC and 90% EF did not differ remarkably from each other. In addition, the ratio between IDF and SDF was around 50:50 in all these extrudates. Interestingly, the enzymatic hydrolysis did not improve the solubility of DF although the $M_w$ of β-glucan was reduced (from 780 to 455 kDa; Table 1 of Publication IV). The expansion properties of these three extrudate samples were also similar to each other (171–176%) (Table 9).

The hardness of extrudates containing untreated OBC or UF-OBC was similar (258 and 265 N), whereas extrudates with EH-OBC were less hard (200 N), but the difference compared to the other OBC-extrudates was not statistically significant. Thus, the addition of any of these three oat bran preparation into EF-based extrudates increased the hardness (Table 9). The crispiness values of OBC-substituted extrudates ($C_i = 1.6–3.7 \times 10^{-4}$) did not differ from each other, or from the WF-extrudates (made of defatted whole grain oat flour; $C_i = 3.7 \times 10^{-4}$). The standard deviation of $C_i$ for EF-extrudates was so high ($C_i = 13.3 \pm 7.8 \times 10^{-4}$) that they were statistically in the same group as WF- and OBC-substituted extrudates. The extrudates with UF-OBC and EH-OBC had slightly higher porosity (68.5 and 71.3%) compared to extrudates with untreated OBC (64.7%) (Table 9). The void area of pores in EF-based extrudates decreased, and cell wall thickness increased, when 10% of untreated OBC, UF-OBC or EH-OBC was added in the recipe (Table 5 and Figure 3 of Publication IV). The differences between the chemical compositions of OBC-supplemented EF-extrudes are presented in Table 9.

### 3.3.2 Extrusion with water-insoluble and -soluble oat bran preparations

The water-insoluble (WIS-OBC) and water-soluble (WS-OBC) oat bran preparations obtained after enzymatic hydrolysis and hot water extraction behaved differently in extrusion. When 10% of WIS-OBC was mixed with 90% EF, the starch content was reduced (from 73.2 to 68.5%) and the protein and β-glucan contents increased (from 14.5 to 17.1% and from 1.5 to 2.7%, respectively). However, the TDF content did not change significantly (only from 3.9 to 4.1%) (Table 9). The addition of 10 or 20% of WS-OBC resulted in even lower starch (66.6 or 59.6%), lower protein (14.1 or 13.7%), higher β-glucan (6.2 or 11.4%), and higher TDF (6.5 or 10.4%) contents (Table 9).

The expansion of EF-based extrudates clearly decreased (from 199 to 163%), with the 10% addition of WIS-OBC, even more compared to the 10% addition of untreated OBC (172%). By contrast, 10 or 20% addition of WS-OBC significantly increased the expansion of EF-based extrudates (from 199% to 218–226%). The hardness of 100% EF-based extrudates increased (from 156 to 311 N) when 10% WIS-OBC was added, and decreased (to 141–146 N) when 10 or 20% WS-OBC was added (Table 9). The crispiness of extrudates with 10% WIS-OBC ($C_i = 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$) did not differ from WF- and OBC-substituted extrudates. However, the 10–20% addition of WS-OBC increased the crispiness ($C_i = 12.8–21.3 \times 10^{-4}$), alt-
hough there was no clear correlation between the level of WS-OBC addition and the crispness of extrudates (Table 9).

The porosity of the EF-based matrix was reduced (from 75 to 59%) when 10% of WIS-OBC was added, whereas approximately the same porosity (75%) as in 100% EF-extrudates was obtained with 10% addition of WS-OBC. Higher (20%) addition of WS-OBC resulted in even higher porosity (81%). A strong correlation ($R^2 = 0.99$) was observed between the porosity and hardness of EF-based extrudates enriched with different OBC-fractions (Figure 8).

The average cell wall thickness decreased (from 0.38 to 0.22 mm) when increasing the content of WS-OBC from 0 to 20% in EF-based extrudates (Table 5 of Publication IV). The proportion of very small pores was highest in the extrudates with 10% WIS-OBC, and significantly decreased in 10% WS-OBC and even more in 20% WS-OBC extrudates (Figure 4 of Publication IV). By contrast, the extrudate cell wall thickness shifted towards significantly thinner cell walls when comparing 10% WIS-OBC with 10 and 20% WS-OBC extrudate.

Table 9. The chemical composition and physical properties of EF-extrudates supplemented with differently treated OBC-fractions. Values within the same column followed by a common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. WF 100 %</th>
<th>2. EF 100 %</th>
<th>3. EF 90 % + OBC 10 %</th>
<th>4. EF 90 % + UF-OBC 10 %</th>
<th>5. EF 90 % + EH-OBC 10 %</th>
<th>6. EF 90 % + WIS-OBC 10 %</th>
<th>7. EF 90 % + WS-OBC 10 %</th>
<th>8. EF 80 % + WS-OBC 20 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starch (g/100g)</td>
<td>63.3 ± 0.8 b</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.1 f</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.1 d</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.6 b</td>
<td>40 ± 6 b</td>
<td>40 ± 6 b</td>
<td>51 ± 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/100g)</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.1 e</td>
<td>15.0 ± 0.2 a</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.6 a</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.6 a</td>
<td>52 ± 48</td>
<td>52 ± 48</td>
<td>51 ± 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-glucan (g/100g)</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.1 c</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3 c</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.5 b</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.5 b</td>
<td>48 ± 52</td>
<td>48 ± 52</td>
<td>26 ± 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF (g/100g)</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.1 b</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.1 cd</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.9 b</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.9 b</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
<td>89 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDF (%)</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.1 d</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.1 c</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.4 b</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.4 b</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDF (%)</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.1 g</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1 b</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.5 a</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.5 a</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansions (%)</td>
<td>59.6 ± 0.3 a</td>
<td>13.7 ± 0.2 a</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.1 f</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.6 b</td>
<td>99 ± 1</td>
<td>99 ± 1</td>
<td>99 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (N)</td>
<td>151 ± 4 a</td>
<td>399 ± 62 de</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.7 a</td>
<td>48.2 ± 0.6 a</td>
<td>75.3 ± 1.8 e</td>
<td>75.3 ± 1.8 e</td>
<td>75.3 ± 1.8 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crispiness ($10^4$)</td>
<td>199 ± 8 d</td>
<td>148 ± 37 ab</td>
<td>13.3 ± 7.8 ab</td>
<td>64.7 ± 2.8 c</td>
<td>176 ± 2 c</td>
<td>265 ± 49 c</td>
<td>176 ± 2 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>172 ± 5 bc</td>
<td>258 ± 37 c</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.7 a</td>
<td>71.3 ± 2.2 de</td>
<td>171 ± 3 bc</td>
<td>200 ± 33 bc</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>176 ± 2 c</td>
<td>265 ± 49 c</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.7 a</td>
<td>71.3 ± 2.2 de</td>
<td>171 ± 3 bc</td>
<td>200 ± 33 bc</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>163 ± 4 b</td>
<td>313 ± 59 cd</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.5 a</td>
<td>58.8 ± 0.2 b</td>
<td>218 ± 7 e</td>
<td>141 ± 23 a</td>
<td>21.3 ± 9.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>226 ± 10 e</td>
<td>146 ± 20 a</td>
<td>12.8 ± 3.5 b</td>
<td>81.3 ± 0.1 f</td>
<td>226 ± 10 e</td>
<td>146 ± 20 a</td>
<td>12.8 ± 3.5 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8. Correlation between porosity and hardness of extrudates made of different oat fractions. Porosity was lowest and hardness highest with extrudates made of 100% WF (defatted whole grain oat flour). Extrudates with 20% WS-OBC (water-soluble oat bran preparation) + 80% EF (defatted endosperm flour) showed the highest porosity and lowest hardness.
4. Discussion

4.1 Interactions of grain components and impact on dry fractionation

4.1.1 Matrix disintegration by grinding

Grinding is an essential part of dry fractionation, because it determines how well grain components can be separated from each other. However, grinding of oats is more challenging as compared to other cereals due to the high content of lipids, which tend to hold especially the bran components together (Miller and Fulcher, 2011). Further enrichment of DF components is easier when most of the starchy endosperm is first separated from the bran particles. This is mainly because bran particles will be subjected to more mechanical energy in the grinding equipment compared to whole grain flour, in which starch granules absorb part of the grinding energy (Mälkki et al., 2001).

The particle size of regular oat bran is around 500–2000 µm (Wang et al., 2007). These coarse particles need to be further ground in order to separate the relatively thin pericarp layer (<50 µm) from the aleurone cell layer (50–150 µm) and from the starch aggregates (20–150 µm) and protein bodies (1–10 µm) present in the starchy endosperm (Miller and Fulcher, 2011; Hoover and Vasanthan, 1992, Hartunian-Sowa and White, 1992). The dissociation of oat bran components is difficult, because the pericarp layer is very brittle, and the aleurone layer does not separate as cleanly from the endosperm in oats as it does in wheat (Antoine et al., 2004; Miller and Fulcher, 2011).

One of the most efficient disintegration methods for oats is pin disc milling, which has been utilised to dissociate subaleurone endosperm cells rich in β-glucan from other components of oat bran (Mälkki et al., 2001). The grinding effect of pin disc milling appears to be superior compared to most of the other grinding methods, firstly because the oily oat material does not easily stick to the pins, and secondly because grinding with pin discs tends to leave aleurone and subaleurone cell walls more intact while dissociating them from the surrounding starch and protein particles. This was the main reason why pin disc milling was also chosen as the first grinding method in the current study (Publication I).
When different oat bran preparations were ground in the ultra-fine grinding equipment at ambient temperature, it was demonstrated that the oat bran fraction enriched in β-glucan was not ground into as fine particles as the brans containing lower concentrations of β-glucan (Table 2 of Publication II). The main reason for this was higher starch concentrations in the latter fractions. Thus, the regular oat bran (ElovenaPlus, 49.4% starch), the non-defatted oat bran concentrate (OBC-20NEF, 17.8% starch) and the defatted oat bran preparation (SC-CO2-OBC-1, 28.0% starch) had smaller particle size than the highly enriched β-glucan fraction (SC-CO2-OBC-2, 7.8% starch) after they were ultra-fine ground in a similar way (Table 2 of Publication II).

The ultra-fine grinding of defatted oat bran enriched in β-glucan (SC-CO2-OBC-2) yielded almost identical particle size distributions at ambient temperature and in cryogenic conditions ($D_{50} = 60$ and 63 µm, respectively). It is interesting that these two milling methods resulted in such similar particle sizes. The limitation in the particle size reduction could be due to the efficiency of the grinding equipment. Hemery et al. (2011) showed a similar kind of restriction in the particle size reduction of wheat bran. They reported that the particle size of ultra-fine wheat bran was almost identical after ambient and cryogenic ultra-fine grinding ($D_{50} = 51$ and 55 µm, respectively). Nevertheless, three successive grinding passes were needed in ambient conditions, compared with only one in cryogenic grinding. The similar particle size range of ultra-fine ground oat bran and wheat bran can be due to the high proportion of aleurone cells, which are usually highly resistant towards breakage (Antoine et al., 2004; Miller and Fulcher, 2011).

There might also be some changes or degradation of DF components during very efficient grinding. For example, grinding can change the solubility of DF compounds, as was demonstrated in extensive ball milling of wheat and rye bran (Van Craeyveld et al., 2009). Similar observations were not made in the current study (Table 1 of Publication IV), most probably because the grinding time was very fast (less than 1 min) compared to the study of Van Craeyveld et al. (2009), in which the authors used ball milling for 24–120 h.

In the present study, the microscopic pictures of aleurone and subaleurone cells showed that they were partly broken due to the efficient milling (Figure 2 of Publication I and Figure 3 of Publication II). This was opposite to the behaviour of wheat aleurone cells, which have been shown to be more resistant towards fine grinding (Antoine et al., 2004; Rosa et al., 2013). However, the subaleurone cells of hard wheat varieties might behave similarly to oats. In hard wheat varieties, the aleurone layer forms a fairly complete shell around the inner endosperm. In soft wheats, the shell is often discontinuous. At the points of discontinuity, the inner endosperm cells extend out to the aleurone layer, and thus the subaleurone cells are much more difficult to separate from soft than from hard wheat varieties by air classification (Kent, 1966; Wu and Stringfellow, 1979).

The oat cultivar can also affect the dissociation of different grain components. MacArthur and D’Appolonia (1979) showed that in a low starch and high protein variety the lipid content was high, whereas in a high starch and low protein variety it was lower. According to Fulcher and Miller (1993) and Miller and Fulcher (1994),
β-glucan was more evenly distributed throughout the starchy endosperm in cultivars with high β-glucan content, and more concentrated in the subaleurone region in low β-glucan cultivars. Thus, the recovery of β-glucan from the low β-glucan cultivars might be more efficient than from the high β-glucan cultivars, as β-glucan is more located in the subaleurone region.

4.1.2 Molecular interactions of major grain components

As mentioned above, if the aim is to enrich DF components, it is important to dissociate particles rich in starch and protein from particles rich in cell walls. Oat grain is a complex material and it is composed of several different layers and cell types, which are surrounded by cell walls. The different layers of oat kernel (i.e. pericarp, testa, nucellum, aleurone, subaleurone and starchy endosperm) have different types of cell walls. The cell walls of aleurone are closely grouped to other intracellular compounds (especially proteins), thick and strong, whereas the subaleurone cell walls are loosely packed, thinner and easier to break as they surround the endosperm starch- and protein-rich particles (Kent, 1966; Miller and Fulcher, 2011).

The bran layer contains fibrous cell wall polymers, i.e. β-glucan, arabinoxylan, cellulose and lignin (Miller and Fulcher, 2011), whereas the endosperm contains mainly starch, protein and lipids (Paton, 1977; Lim et al., 1992). Oat lipids and proteins are usually bound to each other (Heneen et al., 2009). Thus, starch granules and protein bodies are difficult to separate from the endosperm flour. In addition, proteins and lipids inside cell wall matrices (especially inside aleurone) are protected by the rigid membranes and are located in separate compartments (Bechtel and Pomeranz, 1981; Peterson et al., 1985).

In the current study, it was shown with non-defatted oats that β-glucan could not be enriched to a higher concentration than 17.1%, even though the mass yield was reduced below 8.7% (Table 3 of Publication I). This was most probably due to the effect of lipids, which kept the bran components connected so that the air classifier could not separate them. In addition, it was noticed that the separation of protein-enriched fraction was not possible from the non-defatted oat endosperm flour, possibly due to the attachment of protein bodies into starch granules.

There are not many studies on the effects of oat starch and protein on the dry fractionation of oat grain components. Dijkink et al. (2007) studied protein and starch interactions and their influence on the dry fraction behaviour by fundamental models. They showed that the type of protein and type of starch (especially granule size and roughness) affected their dispersability. Increased starch content enhanced dispersability, but did not appear to affect the adhesion between starch and protein particles. According to Barlow et al. (1973), water-soluble proteins of wheat were confined to a position immediately surrounding starch granules. The water-soluble material appears to be a cementing substance between starch granules and storage protein (Stevens et al., 1963). Thus, it is not easy to separate high-protein fractions from wheat. Nevertheless, high-protein soft wheat varieties
have shown potential for protein shifting (e.g. from 16% protein content of whole
grain flour up to 27% protein content) by air classification (Wu and Stringfellow, 1979).

The relatively high protein content (23% protein) of \( \beta \)-glucan-enriched oat bran
fraction (Table 4 of Publication I) can be explained by the intracellular protein
bodies surrounded by the cell wall matrix (Figure 2 of Publication I). Protein was
so tightly enclosed by the cell walls that it did not leach out even during the exten-
sive grinding. Histochemically, the \( \beta \)-glucan-enriched oat bran fraction appeared to
consist mainly of aleurone and subaleurone endosperm cells. Similar results were
reported by Kent (1966), who showed that a coarse subaleurone fraction enriched
from hard red winter wheat by air classification possessed much higher protein
content (45% protein) than the corresponding inner endosperm fraction (11% protein).

4.1.3 Lipid removal

Removal of lipids has been demonstrated to improve the fractionation of oats in
several studies (Wu and Stringfellow, 1995; Wu and Doehlert, 2002; Liu, 2014).
The majority (up to 90%) of lipids in oats is found in the endosperm, and is com-
posed of non-polar lipids (Youngs et al., 1977; Youngs, 1978; Price and Parsons,
1979). Banás et al. (2007) showed that the oil bodies in the aleurone layer and in
the embryo occur as individual entities, whereas in the endosperm the oil bodies
tend to fuse together during the development of the kernel and thus oil, starch and
protein are all attached to each other in the endosperm of matured oat kernel.

The results of Publication I showed that SC-CO\(_2\) extraction removed mainly the
lipids from starchy endosperm (see Figure 5), and to a lesser extent the lipids from
outer grain layers. Similar to the proteins in aleurone (Kent, 1966), the lipids sur-
rounded by the cell walls of outer grain layers had a physical barrier which pre-
vented them from being leached out during the SC-CO\(_2\) extraction. The removal of
endosperm lipids significantly improved the dry fractionation of oat components,
because endosperm lipids are not tightly surrounded by the cell walls and they can
impede the fractionation by forming large aggregates with starch- and protein-rich
particles after the kernel has been crushed by grinding. The defatted bran particles
were easier to grind and fractionate, although they contained rather similar
amounts of lipids (4.3%) as the non-defatted whole grain oats (5.7%) (Table 5).
This was because the bran particles stayed free-flowing because the lipids did not
leach out of the matrix and thus the bran particles did not stick on the surfaces of
the fractionation equipment (as shown in Publications I and II).

The results obtained in pilot scale were in accordance with the lipid contents of
the defatted fractions produced in the industrial scale fractionation process. The
results of Publications I and II showed that the dry fractionation of non-heat-
treated and defatted oat material was much more efficient compared to the heat-
treated and non-defatted oat material. Defatting increased the separation efficiency,
and much higher \( \beta \)-glucan content (31.2%) was reached with similar mass yield
(8.8%) than with the non-defatted material (only 17.1% \( \beta \)-glucan content). In addition
to β-glucan, arabinoxylan was enriched into the fractions with coarse bran particles. A similar kind of AX-enrichment was reported by Johansson et al. (2004).

Addition of ethanol to SC-CO₂ extraction improved the removal of polar lipids (Table 2 of Publication I). The total lipid contents of non-defatted, SC-CO₂ extracted and SC-CO₂+EtOH extracted wholegrain oat flours were 5.7, 2.0 and 0.8%, respectively. The corresponding contents of polar lipids were 0.8, 0.8 and 0.4%, indicating that SC-CO₂ itself could not extract polar lipids. The distribution of non-polar and polar lipids was not studied in this research, but Youngs et al. (1977) showed that the distribution of different lipid classes is almost equal in the bran and endosperm fractions. Thus, the distribution of different lipid classes does not seem to be the reason for the differences in the extractability of lipids from different oat fractions. Instead, more intensive encapsulation of lipid bodies surrounded by the cell walls in the bran fractions could explain the easier extractability from the looser and porous endosperm fraction. The addition of ethanol during the pilot-scale SC-CO₂ extraction enhanced the separation of β-glucan (up to 33.2% content). Nevertheless, it was not considered economically feasible, because ethanol as co-solvent increases process costs and would require much thicker walls in the extraction vessels due to the risk of ethanol explosion under high pressure. SC-CO₂ alone is already a costly method due to the long processing times (several hours per batch). However, there are existing factories offering contract manufacturing in Europe, which can make the fractionation process economically feasible, especially when all the fractions are utilised in high-value applications.

In the industrial scale, the two-step pin disc grinding and air classification of defatted oats yielded a bran fraction with 33.9% β-glucan (Table 4 of Publication I). A concentration up to 40.3% β-glucan was reached when ultra-fine grinding was used prior to a third air classification step (Publication II). These results were in line with those of Wu and Doehlert (2002), who showed that β-glucan was enriched more efficiently when the coarse bran fraction was intensively ground (3 x pin disc milling) prior to air classification. However, the results of Wu and Doehlert (2002) showed lower content (max. 20% of β-glucan) compared to the current study, mainly due to the heat-treatment of oat flakes prior to defatting as well as due to lower mechnanical energy of the grinding equipment used. Wu and Doehlert (2002) used a Hosokawa Alpine 160Z pin disc mill, which has only about two thirds of the tip speed (around 160 m s⁻¹) of the Hosokawa Alpine 250CW mill (250 m s⁻¹) used in the current study.

Wu and Stringfellow (1995) were possibly the first investigators to obtain very high protein concentrations (up to 81% protein) from defatted oats by air classification. However, the high protein concentration could only be collected from the ultra-fine fraction from the exhaust bag of the classifier, and the yield of the fraction was less than 1%. Cloutt et al. (1987) reported that protein–starch separation of legumes was most efficient when the cut-off was at around 10 μm, i.e. below the size of most starch granules. Similarly, in the current study (Table 4 of Publication I) a high concentration of oat protein (72%) was obtained with 5% yield using a precise cut-off of the air classifier allowing only particles below 5–10 μm to pass through the classifier wheel. The high protein content was reached mainly because oat
endosperm protein bodies occur between 0.3 and 5 µm (Bechtel and Pomeranz, 1981), whereas oat starch occurs as aggregates of 20–150 µm (Hoover and Vasanthan, 1992; Hartunian-Sowa and White, 1992). The pin disc grinding was unable to break the starch aggregates below 10 µm.

4.1.4 Electrostatic separation and jet-milling fractionation

Electrostatic separation was studied as a method to separate particles based on their charge, as further enrichment of β-glucan from ultra-fine oat bran was not possible by air classification. The ultra-fine ground defatted oat brans (ground at ambient temperature or in cryogenic conditions) were separated in the electrostatic field, and the obtained maximal β-glucan concentrations were close to each other (48.4 and 46.0%, respectively) (Table 1 of Publication II). According to Hemery et al. (2009), the lipidic non-polar compounds present in the intermediate layers of wheat bran affected the dissociation of particles, especially after cryogenic grinding. Thus, defatting might have removed the effect of lipidic intermediate layers in oat bran on the particle dissociation. However, Antoine et al. (2004) showed that wheat brans fractured more rapidly than isolated aleurone layers because of the presence of the friable pericarp and possible mechanical constraints due to tissues surrounding the aleurone layer.

In the present study, β-glucan and starch concentrated in the positive fractions during the electrostatic separation of defatted oat brans, whereas arabinoxylan concentrated in the negative fractions (Table 1 of Publication II). Arabinxylan and β-glucan concentrated similarly to the current study after electrostatic separation of wheat bran (Hemery et al., 2011). However, Hemery et al. (2011) reported significantly different protein concentrations from the opposite fractions (19% in the most positive, and 6% in the most negative fraction), whereas in the current study the protein contents of defatted oat brans were similar in all fractions (around 18% protein). In addition, in wheat bran processing the A/X ratio varied much more between the fractions; being 0.4 in the most positive and 1.1 in the most negative fraction (Hemery et al., 2011). This was an opposite trend compared to the results obtained with defatted oat bran (0.8 in the most positive and 0.6 in the most negative fraction) (Table 1 of Publication II).

The relationship between the surface properties of the cereal particles and their tribo-charging behaviour is still largely unknown. The ability of a material’s surface to donate or accept electrons when it comes into contact with another material determines the positive or negative surface charge density that it will acquire during tribo-charging (Mazumder et al., 2006). Different chemical groups and the type of cell wall polysaccharides (branched and cross-linked vs. linear) may be responsible for the acquired charges of the different types of particles (Hemery et al., 2011). Antoine et al. (2004) reported that the outer pericarp layer of wheat bran had high porosity and thus exhibited poor permittivity. By contrast, the aleurone layer showed a substantial permittivity, about sixfold higher than the pericarp. The high capacity of the aleurone layer was postulated to be due to the high amount of
linear polymers in aleurone. The proportion of pericarp layer in oat bran is lower than that in wheat bran (Dornez et al., 2011; Miller and Fulcher, 2011), which could explain the observed differences between the fractionation of oat and wheat bran samples.

Air-jet milling and simultaneous air classification showed a good potential to purify the subaleurone endosperm cells from starch and protein, either before or after the electrostatic separation. The highest β-glucan concentration of the coarse fraction obtained after jet-milling and air classification was 56.2% (Table 1 of Publication II). At the same time, the protein and starch concentrations were reduced significantly (12.9% protein and 3.3% starch) compared to the starting material (17.9% protein and 9.5% starch). Similar results were obtained by Wu and Norton (2001), who ground corn fibre into ultra-fine particles and removed protein and starch by air classification and sieving. They were able to enrich the TDF content up to 83.0% (76.4% in the initial raw material), while decreasing the protein (from 13.1 to 7.0%) and starch contents (from 15.4 to 4.7%). In addition, Létang et al. (2002) demonstrated how jet-milling could be utilised to purify wheat starch granules from attached proteins. This type of approach was especially suitable for hard wheat varieties, as proteins were less attached on the surface of hard wheat than on the surface of soft wheat starch granules.

4.2 Depolymerisation of β-glucan at low water content

Addition of oat DF and especially β-glucan to aqueous foods is challenging due to their high viscosity-enhancing capacity. Thus, strategies to tailor the molecular properties of β-glucan are needed. This section focuses on controlled depolymerisation of β-glucan in order to facilitate a better applicability of β-glucan for liquid food applications.

4.2.1 Comparison of acid and enzymatic hydrolysis

The depolymerisation of oat bran β-glucan was studied by comparing acid and enzymatic hydrolysis at low water content. In acid hydrolysis, the $M_w$ of β-glucan was decreased down to 86 or 34 kDa when the hydrolysis was performed at 120 or 130 °C, respectively. The polydispersity ($M_w/M_n$) became higher (from 4.0 to 6.7) when increasing the temperature and lowering the $M_w$ of β-glucan. In enzymatic hydrolysis, the $M_w$ of β-glucan was degraded in line with the hydrolysis time (at 50 °C). The $M_w$ of β-glucan was 71 or 49 kDa when the oat bran preparation was hydrolysed for 3 or 4 h, respectively. The polydispersity was much higher (19.0–24.2) compared to acid hydrolysis, and increased with the hydrolysis time (Publication III).

The extent of acid hydrolysis was strongly dependent on water content and temperature during the hydrolysis. Acid hydrolysis at 90% water content and at high temperature (120–130 °C) resulted in rapid breakdown of β-glucan into short oligosaccharides (Publication III). The concentration of acid also played a signifi-
cant role in the depolymerisation, although the effect of concentration was not studied in the current work. However, it was shown by Kaukovirta-Norja et al. (2009) that 8% phosphoric acid was optimal for the hydrolysis, as at this concentration the acid did not break the β-glucan molecules into too small fragments and the depolymerisation of β-glucan molecules was controllable by changing the temperature during hydrolysis in the extruder.

The effects of acid concentration, temperature and hydrolysis time were also demonstrated by Johansson et al. (2006). The authors showed that at relatively low temperature (37 °C), no degradation of β-glucan was observed with 0.1 M HCl over a 12 h period. By contrast, at high temperature (120 °C) total hydrolysis to D-glucose occurred with 3 M HCl already after 1 h hydrolysis. Hydrolysis with 0.1 M HCl at 120 °C for 1 h produced a range of products, but as the water content was high, the majority of the resulting oligosaccharides had a very low $M_w$ (DP 1–7) compared to the molecules in the present study ($M_w \geq 34$ kDa, i.e. DP ≥ 190; Publication III).

In the current study, acid hydrolysis was much faster (3 min) than enzymatic hydrolysis (1–4 h), mainly because high temperatures (100–130 °C) accelerated the acid hydrolysis, whereas the enzymatic hydrolysis (at 50 °C) was less efficient and required longer time during the stationary incubation at low water content. Shear forces inside the extruder may also have contributed even more to the extent of depolymerisation during acid than enzymatic hydrolysis, because oat bran became more plasticized during the acid hydrolysis. The slower hydrolysis by enzymes was also observed from the consistency of the enzymatically hydrolysed oat bran, which became remarkably plasticized only after incubation times of 3 or 4 h. Efficient mixing and mass transfer are generally considered to be essential for the performance of enzymatic reactions, and in this respect the lack of mixing during the low water content incubation could be one of the reasons for the slower hydrolysis by the enzyme (Lavenson et al., 2012). Similarly, Viamajala et al. (2009) explained that the absence of continuous free water phase may cause the bulk to behave as a wet granular material when portions of the ‘void’ volume contain air rather than liquid, which is detrimental to enzymatic action.

The dosage of enzyme is a crucial parameter for the depolymerisation reactions. In general, enzymes need water for their catalytic activity, but water also influences the structure of enzyme proteins via non-covalent bonding, disrupts the hydrogen bonds, facilitates the reagent diffusion, and influences the reaction equilibrium (Hari Krishna, 2002). Too low water content generally reduces the activity of enzymes, but the minimum hydration level for the enzymatic activity varies considerably between different enzyme preparations (Hobbs and Thomas, 2007). The synergistic action of β-glucanase and xylanase in the enzyme preparation (Depol 740L) utilised in the current work can also be considered as beneficial in the degradation and solubilisation of oat bran DF, as reported by Petersson et al. (2013) for rye and wheat bran DF.

Lehtomäki and Myllymäki (2009) determined that 45–50% water content was necessary to obtain a plasticized mass and optimal depolymerisation of oat bran β-glucan. Similar results were reported in the current study at 50% water content.
by Santala et al. (2011), who showed that the enzymatic solubilisation of AX from wheat bran at 40% water content was as efficient as at 90% water content when the material was continuously mixed in a Farinograph. Further study with a short pre-mixing in an extruder combined with a stationary incubation (at 50 °C) showed that AX was solubilised at a similar level at 40% water content as compared to 40 or 90% water contents when continuous mixing was used (Santala et al., 2013). Roche et al. (2009) also reported that effective initial mixing can promote the distribution of enzyme and continue the hydrolysis without continuous mixing at high solids concentration. This can explain why the enzymatic hydrolysis progressed during the stationary incubation in the current study (Publication III), although the hydrolysis reactions were slower compared to acid hydrolysis.

The higher yields of the water extracts after acid hydrolyses of oat bran (48.2–52.6%) than after enzymatic hydrolyses (29.0–47.1%) (Table 8) could be explained by the rather unspecific hydrolysis of grain compounds by the acid as compared to the enzymatic treatment with Depol 740L enzyme preparation, which degraded mainly polysaccharides. The separation of insoluble residue from the hot water dispersions of enzymatically hydrolysed oat brans was more challenging than from acid hydrolysed material (at around 2% β-glucan concentration), due to the presence of β-glucan molecules (49–71 kDa with higher polydispersity) with higher water absorption capacity compared to acid hydrolysed molecules (34–86 kDa with lower polydispersity).

The insoluble residues after hot water extraction and separation of solids contained high amounts of proteins. This was observed after both acid and enzymatic hydrolysis (30.2–35.0% and 35.1–35.6% of protein in the residue, respectively) (Table 8). The high protein concentrations can be explained by a strong protein–cell wall interaction, which kept the protein closely bound to the water-insoluble cell wall matrix. As the hydrolysis of cell walls by acid or enzyme and simultaneous mechanical mixing in the extruder did not liberate the cell wall-bound protein, it can be concluded that this protein was much more difficult to separate compared to the endosperm proteins (as demonstrated by air classification in Publication I).

### 4.2.2 Molecular properties of β-glucan after acid and enzymatic hydrolysis

Both acid and enzyme hydrolysis at low water content maintained the $M_w$ of β-glucan higher than 34 kDa (Publication III). Similar results were obtained by Kaukovirta-Norja et al. (2009), who used acid hydrolysis of oat β-glucan at 45–50% water content. When 8% phosphoric acid was used at 110–130 °C, the $M_w$ of β-glucan was between 37 and 135 kDa (OBC with 22% β-glucan) or between 28 and 105 kDa (OBC with 33% β-glucan). In the current study, the $M_w$ of β-glucan was decreased from 110 to 34 kDa at temperatures of 100–130 °C.

The enzyme-catalysed reaction resulted in wider $M_w$ distributions (Figure 3, Publication III) and higher polydispersity values (19.0–24.2) as compared to acid hydrolysis (4.0–6.7). The side activities of the enzyme preparation may also have affected the results, because the enzyme preparation had several polysaccharide-
hydrolysing activities. In earlier studies, for example, a very low endo-glucanase contamination in exo-acting cellbiohydrolase II preparation caused considerable changes in the hydrolysis products of β-glucan (Reinikainen et al., 1995). However, as Depol 740L contained only low β-glucosidase side activity (around 3.4 nkat/g OBC), it did not produce free D-glucose and all the β-glucans remained in polymeric or oligomeric form after enzymatic hydrolysis.

Similar enzymatic hydrolysis at low water content was reported by Lehtomäki and Myllymäki (2009), who investigated the enzymatic hydrolysis of oat bran at 45–55% water content with commercial cellulase and α-amylase preparations. They used a twin-screw extruder with a short reaction time (65 s) at an intermediate temperature (65 °C), and controlled the hydrolysis of β-glucan by the dosage of enzymes (17–17000 nkat β-glucanase/g oat bran). The enzymes were inactivated immediately after the short hydrolysis time by repeating the extrusion at 95 °C. Unfortunately, the authors did not report the Mw values of the enzyme-hydrolysed oat bran preparations.

4.3 Development of food matrices high in oat dietary fibre

4.3.1 Factors influencing the achievement of a high level of dietary fibre

In order to produce food products high in oat DF, a DF-rich ingredient is required. The recommended level of oat β-glucan to assist in cholesterol maintenance is 1 g/portion (EFSA, 2011a). To achieve this level, for example in a 50 g portion, it is necessary to add around 12.5 g of regular oat bran (with 8% β-glucan) or around 3.5 g of oat bran concentrate (OBC, with 29% β-glucan). As the required amount of regular oat bran would be relatively high (25% of product dry weight), it would also bring a high content of starch and other non-DF compounds into the food matrix, and could radically change the texture of the product. In the case of OBC, the required amount is significantly lower (7% of dry weight), as the TDF content of this ingredient can be around 48–50% (as shown in Table 2 of Publication IV). Thus, in the latter case it is easier to retain product texture closer to that with no added oat DF. Products which would mostly benefit from the DF-rich ingredients include, for example, yoghurts and meat products (Havrlentová et al., 2011), biscuits (Pentikäinen et al., 2014), soups (Lyly et al., 2004), beverages (Publication III) and extruded snack products (Publication IV).

Both the concentration and the Mw of β-glucan affect the texture of food products. HMw β-glucans tend to form slimy or gummy textures, as they have very high water absorption capacity (Zhang et al., 1998). In baking application, oat DF has been reported to reduce the volume of breads (Kim and Yokoyama, 2011; Flander, 2012; Tiwari et al., 2013), but using sourdough fermentation it was possible to add a reasonable amount of β-glucan (e.g. 2.4–2.7g/100 g) into a bread containing oat bran and wheat flour and still obtain a high bread volume (Flander et al., 2007). After the sourdough fermentation, the Mw of β-glucan also remained relatively high (500 kDa) when compared to the original oat bran (1000 kDa). This observation
was consistent with most oat baking studies in which the activity of \( \beta \)-glucan-degrading enzymes was restricted at the dough-making stage. However, \( L_{Mw} \) \( \beta \)-glucan (210 kDa) appeared to be less susceptible to further degradation during baking than higher \( M_{Mw} \) \( \beta \)-glucan (640 kDa) (Cleary et al., 2007).

Due to their high water absorption, \( HM_{Mw} \) oat \( \beta \)-glucans develop high viscosity already at <0.5% in aqueous solution (Doublier and Wood, 1995; Lazaridou et al., 2003; Skendi et al., 2003). This limits the use of \( HM_{Mw} \) oat \( \beta \)-glucans in beverages, but could be beneficial for other types of aqueous food matrices, such as jellies and puddings (Brummer et al., 2014). By lowering the \( M_{Mw} \), higher concentration of \( \beta \)-glucans can be added into beverages without viscosity-related problems, as will be discussed in the section below.

### 4.3.2 Oat dietary fibre in high moisture applications

Adding DF into liquid foods creates challenges for product texture and stability. \( \beta \)-Glucan appears to be the most important compound affecting the viscosity of beverages made of oat bran extracts, because \( \beta \)-glucan comprises the majority of water extractable DF in oat bran (Wood et al., 2011). Other important compounds affecting the viscosity of oat bran extracts are AX and starch. It can be hypothesised that the slimy texture originates from \( HM_{Mw} \) \( \beta \)-glucan and the sticky texture from AX, as the sticky texture of rye bread dough mainly originates from AX (Virtanen and Autio, 1993; Gräber, 1999). Starch can also increase the viscosity of oat bran extracts, but it has a much smaller effect compared to \( HM_{Mw} \) \( \beta \)-glucan (Kim and White, 2013). Jaskari et al. (1995) even showed that, at relatively high levels of \( \beta \)-glucan (17.4%) and AX (7.4%), the viscosity of oat bran slurries changed only slightly when starch was completely degraded to water-soluble oligosaccharides, but the viscosity clearly decreased when \( \beta \)-glucan was hydrolysed to lower \( M_{Mw} \) products (from 600 to 40 kDa). The effects of starch can also be minimised using oat bran ingredients, which are high in \( \beta \)-glucan and low in starch (Publication III).

In order to reach a sufficient amount of \( \beta \)-glucan in beverages (e.g. 1 g \( \beta \)-glucan in 100 mL portion) the \( \beta \)-glucan cannot be in \( HM_{Mw} \) form, otherwise the viscosity of beverage would become too high and it would not be drinkable (Lyly et al., 2003). The results of Publication III showed that acid or enzyme hydrolysis of OBC at low water content resulted in \( LM_{Mw} \) (<50 kDa) \( \beta \)-glucans, which remained stable in liquid suspension for several weeks at 1.4–1.6% \( \beta \)-glucan concentration. The concentration of \( \beta \)-glucan could be even lower and the \( M_{Mw} \) higher (than 50 kDa), to obtain products which could still fulfil the requirements of the EU-level health claim (EFSA, 2011a).

In acid hydrolysis, the highest solubilisation of oat bran and \( \beta \)-glucan was obtained when OBC was hydrolysed at 120 °C, and the solubilisation level of \( \beta \)-glucan was reduced from around 69 to 64% when OBC was hydrolysed at 130 °C. This was most probably due to the harsh hydrolysis conditions, in which a small proportion of the \( \beta \)-glucan molecules might have been cleaved down to
glucose or even its degradation products. Similarly, the solubilisation of β-glucan was highest after 3 h of enzymatic hydrolysis, whereas 4 h incubation resulted in slightly decreased solubilisation (Table 8). This was presumably because when the M_w or concentration of hydrolysed β-glucan molecules was increasing in the aqueous solution, the aggregation of β-glucan became faster (Figure 4 of Publication III). Moschakis et al. (2014) assumed that β-glucan molecules start to self-assemble due to inter- and intra-chain hydrogen bonding, which can cause phase separation into β-glucan rich regions and depleted regions.

In the present study, even though the M_w of β-glucan was lower after the acid (34 kDa) than the enzymatic hydrolysis (49 kDa), the acid hydrolysed β-glucan molecules resulted in faster and more intensive gel formation as compared to enzymatically hydrolysed molecules. The reason for this could be that acid hydrolysed polymer populations had a sharper M_w distribution (Figure 3 of Publication III) and more linear chains of β-glucan, which can easily form aggregates with each other (Doublier and Wood, 1995). This explanation was supported by the findings of McCleary and Matheson (1987) and Tosh et al. (2004), who showed that acid could cleave both (1→3)- and (1→4)-β-D-linkages, whereas the β-glucanase in the enzyme preparation used, Depol 740L, was specific only for (1→4)-β-D-linkages. Therefore the acid hydrolysed β-glucan molecules may have contained relatively more (1→4)-β-D-linkages, meaning that the polymers were more linear and enhanced the gelling behaviour of the extracted β-glucan molecules (Tosh et al., 2004).

The agglomeration phenomenon has also been explained by the intermolecular interactions resulting from the increased mobility of hydrolysed macromolecules (Böhm and Kulicke, 1999; Doublier and Wood, 1995). As the hydrolysed macromolecules are more mobile, they have greater probability to achieve proximity with other compounds containing regions required for aggregation (Doublier and Wood, 1995; Vaikousi et al., 2004). The HM_w oat β-glucans (>250 kDa) have been shown to form stronger gel networks, which consist of micro-aggregates with better organization than their LM_w (35–140 kDa) counterparts (Lazaridou et al., 2003). However, unhydrolysed HM_w oat β-glucan solutions (>1200 kDa) have not shown any tendency to form gel (Doublier and Wood, 1995). Earlier studies have reported relatively high critical concentrations for depolymerised β-glucan molecules, such as c^* = 2.0% for 40 kDa barley β-glucans (Böhm and Kulicke, 1999), but this only described the transformation of diluted region to semi-diluted when the solution was mixed, without giving any indication of the long-term stability of the molecules in solution.

According to Lazaridou et al. (2008), the gelation behaviour of β-glucan in cryogels (obtained by repeated freezing and thawing cycles) could be slowed down by adding di- and monosaccharides (sucrose, fructose, glucose, xylose) into the solution. Xylose and fructose had a stronger inhibitory effect on structure formation compared to sucrose and glucose, but for example sorbitol promoted the gelation of medium-M_w β-glucans (210 kDa). However, the inhibition of network formation was similar with the di- and monosaccharides as well as with sorbitol when β-glucan was in its LM_w form (70 or 140 kDa). Thus, it might be possible to im-
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prove the solution stability of partially hydrolysed oat bran extracts by adding sugars or sorbitol to the solution, although the study of Lazaridou et al. (2008) was performed with barley β-glucan.

4.3.3 Oat dietary fibre in extruded products

The extrusion properties of defatted wholegrain oats (WF) were compared to defatted oat endosperm flour (EF) and to EF with addition of different oat bran preparations (OBC, EH-OBC, UF-OBC, WIS-OBC and WS-OBC) (Publication IV). The WF extrudates showed significantly poorer expansion (151%) and harder texture (399 N) than EF extrudates (199% and 148 N, respectively), mainly due to higher DF and protein content, which both have been demonstrated to decrease the expansion of starch-based extrudates (Robin et al., 2012). The 10% addition of oat bran preparations (OBC, EH-OBC or UF-OBC) into an EF-based recipe gave better expansion (171–176%) and less hard texture (200–265 N) compared to WF-extrudates, because they had higher starch and lower protein content, even though the TDF content was similar to that of WF-based extrudates. In addition, the content of SDF was higher in extrudates with 10% of oat bran preparation, which could be one of the reasons for better expansion when compared to WF extrudates (Tables 2 and 4 of Publication IV).

The 10% addition of water-insoluble oat bran preparation (WIS-OBC) into the EF-based recipe revealed the negative impact of IDF and protein on the expansion of starch-based matrix. The expansion of these extrudes was only 163% and they were almost as hard (313 N) as the WF extrudates. By contrast, the 10 or 20% addition of water-soluble oat bran preparation (WS-OBC) improved the expansion (up to 218–226%), which was even higher than seen with the 100% EF-based recipe. However, the hardness of the WS-OBC supplemented extrudates (141–146 N) did not statistically differ from the 100% EF-based recipe (Tables 2 and 4 of Publication IV).

In general, the addition of cereal brans tends to have a negative effect on the structure of extruded products. This is mainly because coarse and fibrous bran particles can cause early bursting of air bubbles and lead to smaller pores and higher density in the matrix compared to extrudates containing only starch (Robin et al., 2011). Usually, the physicochemical compatibility between DF and starch can be improved by reducing the size of the particles rich in DF, thus increasing the contact surface between DF and starch. For example, significant increases in the radial expansions were reported by Lue et al. (1991), Blake (2006) and Alam et al. (2014), when decreasing the average particle size of sugar beet fibre from 2000 to 74 µm, maize bran from 250 to 50 µm and rye bran from 750–1250 to 28 µm, respectively. However, in the present study ultra-fine milling or enzymatic hydrolysis of OBC did not lead to clear differences in the microstructure of the extrudates supplemented with 10% of OBC (Figures 3 and 5 of Publication IV). The reason for this might be the high proportion of SDF in oat bran (>50% of TDF) (Table 1 of Publication IV) compared to other cereal brans.
In the present study, the size of the pores was largest in samples containing 20% WS-OBC (Figure 3 of Publication IV), which could be due to the earlier attainment of the glassy state compared to 100% EF. The effect of SDF-containing ingredients on the expansion of starch-based products is still largely unknown, but the role of molecular weight in SDF preparations (for example with corn fibre gum, arabinogalactan and carboxymethylcellulose, CMC) has shown to play a significant role (Blake, 2006). The sectional expansion of extrudates containing 30% CMC with $M_w$ of 210–250 kDa was significantly improved as compared to higher $M_w$ CMC molecules. A branched versus linear polymer was also found to better facilitate increased extrusion expansion in high fibre products (Blake, 2006). A high proportion of SDF with reduced $M_w$ might have decreased the shear viscosity to promote the bubble growth of starch melt inside the extruder, but the viscosity needed to be high enough to prevent bubble collapse after coming out from the die exit, as hypothesised by Pai et al. (2009).

Extrusion of oat bran DF has also been shown to increase the content of total and soluble β-glucan as well as the content of SDF (Gualberto et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2011). Gualberto et al. (1997) reported that the insoluble part of oat bran DF was converted into a more soluble form during extrusion (the content of SDF increased from 3.5 to 5.5% and the content of IDF decreased from 8.7 to 7.1%) when the water content of the feed was around 22% and the extrusion temperature was 180 °C. The SDF content was lower (4.6%) and the IDF content higher (7.5%) when the water content of the feed was around 41% and the temperature 194 °C. Interestingly, they did not observe changes in the DF composition of wheat and rice bran under the same extrusion conditions. Zhang et al. (2011) also showed that the content of SDF in extruded oat bran was dependent on the water content of the feed. The content of SDF in untreated oat bran was originally 8.9%. The highest SDF content (14.2%) was obtained at 140 °C with 10% water content of the feed. The SDF content was reduced to 11.5% when the water content was 30%. In the current study, the SDF content of OBC-supplemented extrudates was slightly increased (from 3.3–3.7% to 4.1–4.6%) as compared to the SDF content in the ingredients (Table 9). However, the effect of feed moisture (16% for all extrudates) on the SDF content was not studied in this work, because the aim was to obtain well-expanded products and thus only a low amount of water was added to the extrusion mixture.

4.4 Health aspects of oat dietary fibre and β-glucan

As mentioned in the earlier sections, the DF of oats is mainly composed of β-glucan (e.g. around 60% of TDF was β-glucan in OBC, according to Table 5). The effects of β-glucan $M_w$ on physiological responses will be discussed in this section. The $M_w$ is an important factor with respect to the anticipated health effects of the β-glucan preparations and model food products produced in the current work.
4.4.1 Cholesterol lowering

All processing performed in this work influenced the $M_w$ of $\beta$-glucan, which is known to be an important factor for cholesterol-lowering capacity (Theuwissen and Mensink, 2008; Wolever et al., 2010). The $M_w$ of $\beta$-glucan was 766–799 kDa in the $\beta$-glucan-enriched oat bran fractions produced by air classification and electrostatic separation (Publications I and II). The $M_w$ of $\beta$-glucan in extruded products (Publication IV) remained high (686–911 kDa), whereas in the liquid food products (Publication III) it was reduced (to 34–49 kDa) to obtain lower viscosity and enhanced solution stability.

Wolever et al. (2010) showed that 3 g of both high-$M_w$ (2210 kDa) and medium-$M_w$ (530 kDa) $\beta$-glucan lowered LDL cholesterol similarly, but the efficacy was decreased by 50% when using low-$M_w$ (210 kDa) $\beta$-glucan in extruded breakfast cereals. According to the results of Wolever et al. (2010), the $\beta$-glucan-enriched fractions (Publications I and II) as well as the extruded products produced in the current study (Publication IV) could have a cholesterol-lowering potential. By contrast, the $\beta$-glucan in liquid food products (Publication III) might have a reduced cholesterol-lowering ability. However, the food matrix can significantly influence the cholesterol-lowering effect of $\beta$-glucan. In particular, the effect of LM$M_w$ $\beta$-glucan in liquid food matrices remains a controversial topic (Kerckhoffs et al., 2003; Böörklund et al., 2005; Naumann et al., 2006; Othman et al., 2011).

In a study of Böörklund et al. (2008), a soup enriched with 4 g of LM$M_w$ (80 kDa) oat $\beta$-glucan lowered the total- and LDL cholesterol levels in healthy hyperlipidemic subjects, but the reductions were not significantly different from those of the group that consumed a soup without $\beta$-glucan. This indicated that the soup itself might have been a healthy alternative, with a positive effect on serum lipids similar to that of soup supplemented with $\beta$-glucans. Nevertheless, Böörklund et al. (2005) and Naumann et al. (2006) demonstrated that LM$M_w$ $\beta$-glucan (70 or 80 kDa) decreased LDL cholesterol when consumed in beverages. Thus, the LM$M_w$ $\beta$-glucan molecules produced by acid or enzymatic hydrolysis in the current study (Publication III) could still show a cholesterol-lowering effect, but clinical studies would be needed to verify this.

The cholesterol lowering ability through binding of bile acid may also happen by mechanisms which do not depend on the viscosity of $\beta$-glucan, but rather on the interactions between the molecules. For example, de Moura et al. (2011) and Park et al. (2009) showed that the oxidised $\beta$-glucan could have a better bile acid binding capacity compared to native $\beta$-glucan when $\beta$-glucan molecules were treated with $H_2O_2$ or TEMPO (2,2,6,6-Tetramethyl-1-piperidine oxoammonium) ions.

4.4.2 Glycaemic response

The ability of $\beta$-glucan to decrease glycemic response appears to be quite consistently dependent on its $M_w$ and induced viscosity in the gut (Wood et al., 2000; Juvonen et al., 2009; Wood, 2011; Brummer et al., 2012; Kwong et al., 2013a,b).
Thus, the HMw β-glucan molecules in the β-glucan-enriched bran fractions (766–799 kDa; Publications I and II) as well as in the bran-supplemented extrudates (686–911 kDa; Publication IV) could attenuate the post-prandial glycaemic response. The depolymerisation of β-glucan and subsequent loss of viscosity in Publication III might have reduced its effect on glycaemic response. Wood (2011) suggested that the glycaemic response data is valid only for fixed-volume drinks. However, Kwong et al. (2013b) recently showed that the same amount and Mw of β-glucan fed to subjects in a greater or lesser volume of liquid had similar effects on the glycaemic response, i.e. the viscosity of the drink itself did not affect its glycaemic response.

Kwong et al. (2013a) also showed that in vitro results do not necessarily match the in vivo results. This appeared to be especially true for LMw (145 kDa) oat β-glucan in a gel form. Whereas the gel form impeded glucose diffusion in vitro, it was unable to decrease blood glucose levels in vivo. However, similar LMw oat β-glucan attenuated blood glucose levels when consumed as a totally dissolved beverage. It can be speculated that although the molecular size of the LMw polymers is sufficiently large to lower the glycaemic response, the polymers lose their effect on blood glucose levels when they are in the form of a gel, due to the formation of a polymer network structure. Many other studies have also demonstrated that the solubility of β-glucan in aqueous matrices is important for its bioactivity, since β-glucan gels do not melt at physiological temperatures (Tosh et al., 2004; Lazaridou et al., 2004; Lan-Pidhainy et al., 2007; Tosh et al., 2008).

4.4.3 Satiety inducing properties

Like other viscous fibre, oat DF, especially β-glucan, can enhance the sensation of post-prandial satiety (Lugwig, 2000; Juvonen et al., 2009; Lyly et al., 2009; 2010; Pentikäinen et al., 2014). The results of Juvonen et al. (2009) with low viscosity (LMw) β-glucan could support the satiating effect of the oat bran extracts studied in the present study, which had <200 mPas viscosity (Figure 4 of Publication III). Juvonen et al. (2009) compared two oat bran preparations with different Mw of β-glucan: HMw (50% of molecules >1000 kDa and 15% of molecules <100 kDa) or LMw (5% of molecules >1000 kDa and 85% of molecules <100 kDa). When 30 g of the HMw and LMw oat bran preparations were mixed into 300 mL of water, the viscosities were >3000 mPas and <250 mPas, respectively. Oat bran beverage with low viscosity induced a greater postprandial increase in satiety than the beverage with high viscosity.

In addition, the results of Pentikäinen et al. (2014) could support the satiety-enhancing effect of oat bran β-glucan in beverages. In the studied meal setting, oat bran enrichment (4 g of β-glucan) was more efficient in enhancing satiety when added in juice than in biscuits. The reason could be that β-glucan provided in liquid form has a higher rate of fibre hydration compared to fibres ingested as a part of solid food, and thus may have a stronger appetite-reducing effect. However, the perceived satiety may not be directly linked to the amount of β-glucan. For
example, Lyly et al. (2010) showed that beverages containing 2.5 and 5 g oat β-glucan increased the satiety compared to the control beverage without fibre, but the difference between two β-glucan dosages was not significant. Increasing the energy content from 700 to 1400 kJ did not affect the satiety-related perceptions. As a conclusion, the developed oat fractions and food products may help to increase postprandial satiety, but further studies would be needed.

4.5 Future prospect

This thesis studied different technologies for processing of oat DF. Although the enrichment and modification of β-glucan showed promising results, there are still possibilities to improve the fractionation and depolymerisation processes, and thus to facilitate the usability of β-glucan in various food applications.

The most efficient enrichment of β-glucan was obtained when defatting was performed for non-heat-treated oat material. However, the lipid extraction process had challenges, because oat groats needed to be flaked or pre-ground prior to SC-CO$_2$ extraction. If the storage time between flaking and SC-CO$_2$ extraction was too long, the lipids became rancid due to oxidation reactions. Thus, a minimal heat-treatment could be studied as an additional stabilisation step prior to lipid extraction.

The dry fractionation process studied in Publication I also produced a large quantity of defatted oat endosperm flour. This flour was further separated into protein- and starch-rich fractions. The protein-rich fraction can have several different food applications due to the essential amino acids present (Mohamed et al., 2009; Lapveteläinen and Aro, 1994) and the potential for using it in gluten-free diets (Tapsas et al., 2014; Pawłowska et al., 2012). Specific uses could include e.g. tailored products for sportsmen and elderly people. The defatted oat endosperm flour and further separated starch-rich fraction can open new possibilities for bread making (Kaukonen et al., 2011) and for high moisture food applications (Konak et al., 2014), due to the good foaming capacity of these flours.

Depolymerised β-glucan molecules showed good potential as novel sources of SDF for liquid food applications (Publication III). The $M_w$ of β-glucan could be further optimised based on the final concentration of β-glucan in beverages. For example, if the β-glucan concentration would be around 1.0%, the $M_w$ could be higher than 34–49 kDa, which was shown to be the limit for a stable suspension at 1.4–1.6% β-glucan concentration. If the end product is a β-glucan gel, the gel properties could be tailored by mixing HM$_w$ and LM$_w$ molecules (Brummer et al., 2014) or by adding sugars (Lazaridou et al., 2008).

The drying of depolymerised oat β-glucan extracts would enhance their usability in the food industry. However, thermal drying of β-glucan extracts easily leads to very high viscosity, makes them dark-coloured and decreases their solubility. Thus, novel types of drying methods should be investigated (e.g. foam mat drying; Ratti and Kudra, 2006 or refractance window dehydration; Nindo and Tang, 2007). Instead of complete drying, syrups of dehydrated β-glucan extracts could be a...
practical way of providing material for the food and beverage industry. When dried, the β-glucan gels could also be utilised as delivery vehicles for nutraceuticals or pharmaceuticals (Comin et al., 2012).

In extrusion, the use of 100% oats is challenging and the addition of β-glucan-rich ingredients easily leads to poor expansion. The texture of extrudates could be improved by substituting some of the defatted oat endosperm flour with maize- or rice-based starch ingredients, which have better expansion potential compared to oats (Rzedzicki et al., 2000). The textural properties of oat-based extrudates could also be modified by adding processing aids which produce CO₂ during extrusion (such as calcium bicarbonate) or by feeding SC-CO₂ into the extruder, enabling well expanded and crispy products at lower processing temperatures compared to traditional cooking extrusion (Rizvi et al., 1995; Cho and Rizvi, 2010).

The addition of ingredients rich in SDF (e.g. WS-OBC in Publication IV) can improve the expansion and reduce the hardness of high-fibre oat extrudates. It might be possible to improve the texture even more by modifying the M_w of β-glucan in the SDF-rich ingredient. However, too extensive degradation of β-glucan can decrease the positive effect on the extrusion melt viscosity and lead to reduced expansion, as was detected with enzymatically solubilised AX in bread applications (Courtin and Delcour, 2002).

Processing parameters, such as acid/enzymatic hydrolysis, amount of water during hydrolysis and drying method, may also limit the suitability of SDF-rich ingredients for extrusion. For example, the improvement of expansion by WS-OBC fraction (Publication IV) might have been lost if the fraction had not been freeze dried. Freeze drying retains the rehydration quality and does not affect the colour of the product, but it is an expensive drying method. More feasible drying technologies, which retain material quality similarly to freeze drying, should be studied in order to utilise the promising results of this study in industrial scale extrusion processes.
5. Conclusions

Oats are a good source of many nutritionally valuable compounds, especially DF. However, they are still largely under-utilised in human consumption. New types of DF ingredients developed in the current study can hopefully increase the use of oats in food products, and decrease the gap between the actual intake of DF and the nutritional recommendations (i.e. 25–30 g/day).

This work showed that defatting had a significant effect on the enrichment of oat DF components, especially β-glucan. After SC-CO₂ extraction, oat β-glucan and protein were enriched into higher concentrations than in any existing commercial dry fractionation process. The β-glucan-enriched fractions can be utilised in functional foods and nutraceutical products with EU-approved health claims for cholesterol lowering. The most promising application areas for β-glucan-enriched fractions would be in food matrices in which regular oat bran would bring too much starch and other non-β-glucan compounds to the product.

Ultra-fine grinding and electrostatic separation showed good potential and selectivity in the enrichment of different DF compounds from oat bran. A limit of separation capacity at around 40% β-glucan content was detected by air classification of the finely ground oat bran material. Higher β-glucan concentrations were reached by electrostatic separation, because the separation was based on the acquired charge of the particles, not on their size and density as in air classification. Air-jet milling in combination with air classification revealed another interesting possibility to enrich β-glucan-rich cell walls from protein and starch particles.

The applicability of oat bran β-glucan in liquid food products was enhanced by controlled depolymerisation through acid or enzymatic hydrolysis at low water content. In acid hydrolysis, both (1→3)- and (1→4)-β-D-linkages were cleaved, whereas the enzyme appeared to act mainly on (1→4)-β-D-linkages. In acid hydrolysis the degradation was controlled by temperature. In enzymatic hydrolysis it was dependent on the duration of stationary incubation. The clearest changes in the Mₙ of β-glucan and in the subsequent dispersion stability occurred between 120 and 130 °C in acid hydrolysis and between 3 and 4 h incubation in enzymatic hydrolysis.

Enzymatic hydrolysis was evaluated as superior to acid hydrolysis because it required less harsh conditions, it did not produce inorganic side streams, and it resulted in more stable extracts. The stability of the acid and enzymatically hydro-
Lysed oat bran extracts could also be affected by other bran components, such as protein, starch and AX, but this was not studied in the current work.

The defatted oat fractions were suitable ingredients for expanded snacks. Defatted oat endosperm flour (EF) was superior to defatted wholegrain oat flour (WF) in terms of textural properties, mainly because of the lower amount of DF and protein in EF-extrudates. A similar level of DF as in WF-extrudates was achieved when 10% of oat bran concentrate (OBC) was added in endosperm flour. Ultra-fine grinding and enzymatic hydrolysis of OBC as such did not result in any improvements in expansion or hardness. This was postulated to be due to the high SDF content and relatively small particle size already prior to ultra-fine grinding.

The detrimental role of IDF and protein on expansion and hardness was confirmed by adding 10% of water-insoluble fraction (WIS-OBC) to endosperm flour. By contrast, even higher expansion and lower hardness values than in 100% EF-extrudates were obtained when adding 10–20% of water-soluble fraction (WS-OBC) to endosperm flour. The $M_w$ of $\beta$-glucan may also play an important role in the expansion characteristics. Based on the literature, it was hypothesised that a high proportion of SDF with reduced $M_w$ can decrease the shear viscosity of starch melt inside the extruder and thus promote bubble growth. However, the viscosity needs to be high enough to prevent bubble collapse after coming out from the die exit.

All in all, the results from this study can assist the milling industry in providing new oat ingredients for several types of food products, and broaden the use of oats in human consumption. However, especially oat bran ingredients rich in DF will often need further modification in order to be suitable for food products. The developed processes for depolymerised $\beta$-glucan and utilisation of the resulting hot water extracts in liquid foods or extruded snacks would need economical calculations and a business study in order to assess the feasibility of the production.
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Abstract: A dry fractionation process was developed based on defatted oats. Lipid removal by supercritical carbon dioxide extraction enabled concentration of the main components of oats: starch, protein, lipids and cell walls into specific fractions. A defatted oat bran concentrate (OBC) with 34% beta-glucan was obtained after two grinding and air classification steps. Ultra-fine grinding was needed to further dissociate the macronutrients of oat bran particles. Electrostatic separation was used to separate particles rich in beta-glucan and starch from those rich in arabinoxylan. The beta-glucan from defatted OBC was enriched from 34 to 48% after two steps of electrostatic separation. The 48% beta-glucan fraction was further enriched by a combination of jet-milling and air classification, yielding a fraction with up to 56% beta-glucan.

OBC was further processed by partial depolymerisation of beta-glucan with acid- or enzyme-catalysed hydrolysis at relatively low water content using a twin-screw extruder as a bioreactor. The hydrolysed oat brans were extracted with hot water and centrifuged to obtain a water-soluble phase and an insoluble residue. The time-dependent gelling of the water-soluble phase was monitored for 14 weeks at 5 °C. Acid hydrolysis depolymerised the beta-glucan molecules from their original average molecular weight (Mw) of 780 to 34 kDa (polydispersity 4.0–6.7), and enzymatic hydrolysis down to 49 kDa (polydispersity 19.0–24.2). At 1.4–2.0% beta-glucan concentration, solutions of beta-glucan molecules with Mw>50 kDa agglomerated rapidly, whereas solutions of smaller molecules (34–49 kDa) remained as stable dispersions for longer. Gelling was strongly concentration-dependent; at 1.4 to 1.6% beta-glucan concentration gelling occurred after 7 to 12 weeks of storage, whereas at 1.8 to 1.9% concentration gelling occurred already after 2 weeks.

OBC was used in extruded products in five different forms (untreated, ultra-fine ground, enzymatically hydrolysed and hot-water extracted solubles and insoluble residue). Addition of untreated OBC decreased the expansion (172%) and resulted in harder texture (258 N) compared to extrudates based on 100% endosperm flour (EF) (199% and 148 N, respectively). When OBC was separated into water-insoluble (WIS-OBC) and water-soluble (WS-OBC) fractions, significant differences were observed in the resulting extrudates. Ten percent addition of WIS-OBC fraction significantly decreased the expansion (163%) and increased the hardness (313 N) of EF-based extrudates, whereas 10 or 20% addition of WS-OBC enhanced the expansion (218–226%) and resulted in less hard textures (131–146 N). The improved texture was most probably due to the high amount of soluble fibres and low protein content.
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Processing of oat dietary fibre for improved functionality as a food ingredient

A dry fractionation process was developed based on defatted oats. Lipid removal by supercritical CO₂ extraction enabled concentration of the main components of oats: starch, protein, lipids and cell walls. A defatted oat bran concentrate (OBC) with 34% beta-glucan was obtained after dry fractionation steps. Ultrafine grinding was needed to further dissociate the macronutrients. Electrostatic separation was used to separate particles rich in beta-glucan from those rich in arabinoxylan. The beta-glucan from defatted OBC was enriched up to 48% by electrostatic separation, and further enriched by a combination of jet-milling and air classification, yielding a fraction with up to 56% beta-glucan. OBC was partially hydrolysed with acid or enzyme at relatively low water content using a twin-screw extruder as a bioreactor. The hydrolysed oat brans were extracted with hot water to obtain a water-soluble phase and an insoluble residue. The gelling of the water-soluble phase was monitored for 14 weeks. Acid hydrolysis depolymerised the beta-glucan molecules down to 34kDa and enzymatic hydrolysis down to 49 kDa. At 1.4–2.0% beta-glucan concentration, solutions of beta-glucan molecules with Mw>50 kDa agglomerated rapidly, whereas solutions of smaller molecules remained as stable dispersions for longer. Gelling was strongly concentration-dependent. OBC was used in extruded products in five different forms. Addition of untreated OBC decreased the expansion and resulted in harder texture compared to extrudates based on 100% endosperm flour (EF). Ten percent addition of water-insoluble OBC fraction significantly decreased the expansion and increased the hardness of EF-based extrudates, whereas 10 or 20% addition of water-soluble OBC fraction enhanced the expansion and resulted in less hard textures.