

# Errata

## Publication 1

- The FSW specimens fractured at the oxide line, not at the weld boundary.
- The EBW specimens fractured at the weld boundary on the lid side of the canister (or at the soft weld metal), not at the weld boundary on the tube side of the canister.
- It should be noted that the different surface preparations of the defective EBW specimens may affect the absolute values of the Gini coefficient. Thus, comparison of the Gini coefficient values of specimens with different surface preparations is not optimal.

## Publication 4

- The nominal strains in Figure 7b of publication 4 should be an order of magnitude lower.
- The creep rates in Figure 8 should also be an order of magnitude lower.
- Naming of two specimens in Figure 8 is wrong. CIK\_B\_CLT\_Water\_o2 should be CIK\_A\_CLT\_Water\_o2, and CIK\_A\_CLT\_Air\_o1 should be CIK\_B\_CLT\_Air\_o1.