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ABSTRACT  Sphingomyelin, one of the main lipid components of biological membranes, is actively involved in various cellular processes such as protein trafficking and signal transduction. In particular, specific lateral domains enriched in sphingomyelin and cholesterol have been proposed to play an important functional role in biomembranes, although their precise characteristics have remained unclear. A thorough understanding of the functional role of membranes requires detailed knowledge of their individual lipid components. Here, we employ molecular dynamics simulations to conduct a systematic comparison of a palmitoylsphingomylipin (PSM, 16:0-SM) bilayer with a membrane that comprises dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) above the main phase transition temperature. We clarify atomic-scale properties that are specific to sphingomyelin due to its sphingosine moiety, and further discuss their implications for SM-rich membranes. We find that PSM bilayers, and in particular the dynamics of PSM systems, are distinctly different from those of a DPPC bilayer. When compared with DPPC, the strong hydrogen bonding properties characteristic to PSM are observed to lead to considerable structural changes in the polar head-group and interface regions. The strong ordering of PSM acyl chains and specific ordering effects in the vicinity of a PSM-water interface reflect this issue clearly. The sphingosine moiety and related hydrogen bonding further play a crucial role in the dynamics of PSM bilayers, as most dynamic properties, such as lateral and rotational diffusion, are strongly suppressed. This is most evident in the rotational motion characterized by spin-lattice relaxation times and the decay of hydrogen bond autocorrelation functions that are expected to be important in complexation of SM with other lipids in many-component bilayers. A thorough understanding of SM bilayers would greatly benefit from nuclear magnetic resonance experiments for acyl chain ordering and dynamics, allowing full comparison of these simulations to experiments.

INTRODUCTION

One of the great challenges in membrane research is to understand the exceptionally complex functionality of biological membranes (Bloom et al., 1991; Katsaras and Gutberlet, 2001). In part, this is due to the rich composition of lipid membranes characterized by hundreds of distinct lipid species that have been found to serve as structural components of cellular membranes, unevenly distributed over the outer and inner leaflets (Zachowski, 1993) and also within the leaflets (Maxfield, 2002). Sphingomyelins (SM), together with phosphatidylcholines (PC), form one of the major classes of eukaryotic membrane lipids, constituting >50% of the total phospholipid content. Both SMs and PCs are mostly located in the outer leaflet of plasma membranes (Barenholz and Thompson, 1999), although they are also encountered in various other biological structures such as lipoproteins (Hevonoja et al., 2000). Although SM and PC resemble each other in molecular structure, there are certain differences such as the higher average saturation state of SM’s acyl chains and the greater capacity of SM to form inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonds that lead to significant deviations in the macroscopic properties of SM and PC bilayers (Ramstedt and Slotte, 2002). Importantly, together with cholesterol, both SM and saturated PC molecules have been observed to be enriched in ordered, dynamic lateral domains in biomembranes, called “lipid rafts” (Brown and London, 2000; Mayor and Rao, 2004, Pike, 2004; Simons and Ikonen, 1997). Rafts have been suggested to play an important role in a wide range of cellular processes including membrane trafficking and sorting of proteins, thus highlighting the importance of understanding the role and atomic-scale properties of SM in cell membranes.

The concept of raft formation is still under controversy. This is mostly due to limitations associated with experimental techniques, which render interpretation of experimental findings very difficult. Consequently, the nature of molecular interactions between many of the key components of lipid rafts has remained unclear, the interaction between SM and cholesterol providing a relevant and topical example (Brown, 1998; Holopainen et al., 2004). The difficulties related to experimental studies imply that there is a great need for atomistic simulation studies that can provide novel insight into the properties of membrane systems in full atomic detail (Feller, 2000; Saiz and Klein, 2002; Scott, 2002; Tieleman et al., 1997). Yet, so far only a few molecular dynamics simulations have been carried out for SM systems. Most of them have concentrated on hydrogen-bonding analysis and structural properties of pure SM bilayers either in a liquid disordered phase (Chiu et al., 2003; Mombelli et al., 2003) or in a mixed gel/liquid phase (Hyvönen and Kovanen, 2003), besides which one work has...
been conducted on a binary sphingomyelin-cholesterol system (Khelashvili and Scott, 2004).

Although the activities in this field have been limited, the above simulation studies of SM bilayers have laid a sound basis for future research in the atomic regime to understand the role of SM in cell membranes. This is not a simple feat, however, because the variety of different SMs is considerable. Sphingomyelin molecules present in living systems usually constitute a mixed population of different amide linked acyl chains, their length ranging from 16 to 24 carbons, and the degree of unsaturation varying on average up to 0.35 cis-double bonds per molecule (Ramstedt and Slotte, 2002). Thus, to thoroughly understand the atomic-level properties of SM in cell membranes, and further to comprehend the properties of many-component bilayers and lipid domains such as rafts including SM, one first has to understand the properties of well-defined model membranes comprised of SM molecules. On one hand, this quest should aim for a clear view of both structural as well as dynamic features characteristic to SM. On the other hand, once solved, this challenge would reveal the specific properties of SM compared to other key molecules such as PC and cholesterol. The main objective here is to follow this idea and to clarify these two issues to an extent that is appropriate based on this approach.

We employ atomistic molecular dynamics simulations to gain insight into the structural as well as dynamic properties of SM bilayers. We concentrate on a lipid bilayer composed of palmitoylsphingomyelin (PSM), which has a saturated 16:0 acyl chain as the amide linked chain. This choice is based on two points. First, PSM is an important SM component for example in egg, human skin fibroblasts, and hamster kidney cells (Ramstedt et al., 1999), besides which it is becoming a standard in the field of SM simulations (Hyvönen and Kovanen, 2003; Mombelli et al., 2003). Secondly, because the palmitoyl chain of PSM is similar to the hydrocarbon chains (16:0) of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC), which in turn has become a standard benchmark system for both experimental and simulation studies (Falck et al., 2004; Katsaras and Gutberlet, 2001; Tieleman et al., 1997), a study of PSM allows us to conduct a systematic comparison of its properties with those of DPPC (Falck et al., 2004; Patra et al., 2003, 2004) in full atomic detail. Additionally, the extensive treatment used here enables us to carefully discuss the properties of a given PSM bilayer hand-in-hand with the available experimental data.

In particular, this study provides a comprehensive view for SM bilayers with an emphasis on the interplay between their structural and dynamical properties. This is largely dictated by the strong hydrogen bonding of SM in the interface region, which has pronounced implications in the dynamics of the system. In all, the approach used here allows us to clarify properties that are specific to SM due to its sphingosine moiety, and further to discuss their implications in membranes rich in SM. The need for novel experiments is also addressed, because there are several key quantities such as acyl chain order parameters and spin-lattice relaxation times that (to our knowledge) have not been determined experimentally for SM bilayers.

**SIMULATION DETAILS**

We have simulated a lipid bilayer system comprised of 128 palmitoylsphingomyelin (PSM) molecules in explicit water using the GROMACS package (Berendsen et al., 1995; Lindahl et al., 2001) for the simulations. The studied molecule, introduced in Fig. 1, consists of 18:1 sphingosine (SPH) as the long-chain base and 16:0 palmitic acid (PA) as the fatty acid. Sphingosine, the most common base in mammalian SM, contains one trans-double bond between
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**FIGURE 1** Structure of (A) palmitoylsphingomyelin (PSM) and (B) dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) molecules with atom numbering. The PSM molecule consists of sphingosine chain (SPH; 18 carbons) and palmitoyl chain (PA; 16 carbons), whereas both acyl chains of DPPC (sn–1 and sn–2) consist of 16 carbons. The phosphate oxygens will be referred to as $O_P_1$ and $O_P_2$ in the text.
the 4th and 5th carbons. Its enantiomeric configuration is d-erythro, as always encountered in nature (Ramstedt and Slote, 2002).

Initially, the force-field parameters were adapted from a previously validated united-atom description for DPPC (Tieleman and Berendsen, 1996), available at http://moose.bio.ucalgary.ca/files/dppc.itp, whose bonded and nonbonded interaction parameters are from a recent molecular dynamics simulation study (Berger et al., 1997). Partial charges were taken from ab initio calculations (Chiu et al., 1995). Explicit hydrogens were used for the two functional groups of PSM: the peptide bond and the hydroxyl group. The bonded and nonbonded parameters for these groups are from the GROMOS force field (van Gunsteren et al., 1996), and the partial charges in turn from standard GROMACS building blocks (Berendsen et al., 1995). The C=O double bond parameters are from the GROMOS force field and were actually obtained from a previously published simulation of a palmitoyloleylophosphatidylcholine (POPC) bilayer (Tieleman and Berendsen, 1998). The corresponding dihedral potential function was shifted by 180°/C to ensure the trans configuration of the double bond between SPH carbons C4 and C5. For water, we used the simple point charge (SPC) model (Berendsen et al., 1981).

The treatment of long-range interactions is a delicate matter in lipid bilayer simulations. It has been shown recently that an abrupt truncation of electrostatics may lead to major artifacts in phase behavior, complemented by significant changes in both structural and dynamic properties of lipid membranes (Patra et al., 2003, 2004). Here, long-range electrostatic interactions were handled using the particle-mesh Ewald (PME) technique (Essmann et al., 1995b), which has been shown to be a reliable method to account for long-range interactions in lipid bilayer systems (Patra et al., 2003, 2004). The details of the implementation of PME have been discussed elsewhere (Patra et al., 2004). A single 1.0-nm cut-off distance was used for Lennard-Jones interactions without shift or switch functions. All bond lengths of lipids were constrained with the LINCS algorithm (Hess et al., 1997), whereas the SETTLE algorithm (Miyamoto and Kollman, 1992) was used for water.

As a starting structure, we used the coordinates of a fully hydrated DPPC bilayer from a previously published simulation study (Patra et al., 2003), into which the corresponding atoms were replaced or added after which the structure was stabilized by energy minimization. The system was hydrated with 3655 water molecules (42 wt % H2O), which is well above the experimentally shown limit of full hydration: 35 wt % H2O for 18:0-SM in 328 K (Maulik et al., 1991). Finally, the energy of the whole system was minimized again and the water was equilibrated in a short 20-ps simulation with restrained lipid positions.

The simulations were performed in the NPT ensemble. In the beginning, the system was equilibrated for 4.0 ns by the Berendsen thermostat with a time constant τ = 0.1 ps and by the Berendsen barostat with τ = 1.0 ps (Berendsen et al., 1984). After that, we switched to the Nose´-Hoover thermostat (Nose´, 1984; Hoover, 1985) with τ = 0.1 ps and Parrinello-Rahman barostat (Parrinello and Rahman, 1981; Nose´ and Klein, 1983) with a time constant τ = 1.0 ps to reproduce the correct ensemble. In each case, the lipid bilayer and water were separately coupled to the heat bath and the semiisotropic pressure coupling was applied separately in the xy-direction (bilayer plane) and the z-direction (bilayer normal). The reference temperature was T = 323 K, which is above the main phase transition temperature Tm = 314 K of this particular lipid (Bar et al., 1997; Koyanova and Caffrey, 1995; Maulik and Shipley, 1996; Ramstedt et al., 1999). For the time step, we used a value of 2.0 fs. In total, the system was simulated for 50.0 ns, of which 8.0 ns was regarded as an equilibration period and was not included in any of the analysis steps described later. A snapshot of the simulated bilayer is shown in Fig. 2.

Below, we discuss the results of our PSM bilayer simulations hand-in-hand with the results of a previous DPPC simulation study carried out in our group. The simulation details, analysis methods, and some of the results of the DPPC simulation study have been described elsewhere (Falck et al., 2004; Patra et al., 2003, 2004). For this work, we have extended the analysis of the DPPC system substantially thereby allowing us to carry out an extensive comparison between DPPC and PSM results in all cases.

FIGURE 2 A snapshot of the simulated bilayer system consisting of 128 PSM molecules and 3655 water molecules.
where it is appropriate. In this respect, we wish to stress that
the comparison made here is first of the kind and aims to
overcome one of the main limitations related to MD
simulations: the validity of atomistic simulation studies is
largely based on the accuracy of force fields developed for
a given system, and subtle differences in different force fields
may render comparison highly difficult. This is a nontrivial
problem in the case of SM bilayers, because the amount of
accurate experimental data (such as order parameters for
hydrocarbon tails and the area per molecule in the plane of
the bilayer) available for comparison with simulation results
is surprisingly limited. Consequently, chances for validating
the force field, or fine-tuning interaction parameters, if that
is needed, are equally limited. Also, the previous simulation
studies of SM bilayers are not fully comparable: all of them
(Hyvönen and Kovanen, 2003; Mombelli et al., 2003; Chiu
et al., 2003) are based on different force fields, the systems
(Aymar et al., 2003) are based on different force fields, the systems
are not identical (18:0-SM, 16:0-SM), and the long-range
electrostatic interactions have been treated differently,
among other matters.

In this work, we aim to resolve this issue as follows. The
description used here for PSM is largely based on the
established description for DPPC (Tieleman and Berendsen,
1996), whose properties are in very good overall agreement
with experimental findings (Patra et al., 2003, 2004).
Because the only difference of our PSM model system
compared to the established DPPC model is due to the
sphingosine moiety in PSM, we can compare the two
systems and directly identify the properties that are specific
to PSM only. Also, because electrostatics is fully described,
our analysis for both structural as well as dynamical features
including hydrogen bonding effects is expected to be on
a solid ground.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Area and volume per molecule

One of the most important quantities used to describe lipid
bilayer structures is the average area per molecule, $\langle A \rangle$.
Fig. 3 shows the time-dependent area per PSM and DPPC
molecules versus time, $A(t)$, determined by the simulation
box dimensions in $xy$-direction. The PSM bilayer structure
reaches equilibrium within a few nanoseconds, during which
the area per molecule drops from its initial value of $A(0) =
0.65 \text{ nm}^2$ down to a value of $\langle A \rangle = (0.52 \pm 0.01) \text{ nm}^2$.
This justifies the choice of 8.0 ns for equilibration.
For comparison, the average area per molecule in a DPPC
simulation was found to be $\langle A \rangle = (0.65 \pm 0.01) \text{ nm}^2$ in
excellent agreement with experiments (Nagle and Tristram-Nagle,
2000).

For sphingomyelin, the experimental reports for the
average area per molecule are few and varying: an x-ray
diffraction experiment of a PSM bilayer reported a value of
$\langle A \rangle = 0.47 \text{ nm}^2$ at 328 K (Maulik and Shipley, 1996),
whereas a different study yielded a considerably higher value
of $\langle A \rangle = 0.52 \text{ nm}^2$ at 303 K based on Langmuir film balance
measurements at a surface pressure of 30 mN/m (Li et al.,
2000). For 18:0-SM, a value of 0.55 nm$^2$ at 328 K has been
reported. Keeping in mind that there are experimental
difficulties of finding accurate estimates for $\langle A \rangle$ (Nagle and
Tristram-Nagle, 2000), and that results for bilayer and Langmuir
monolayers are not fully comparable, the agreement
is reasonably good.

To estimate the area occupied by each individual lipid, we
have projected the center of mass (CM) positions of the
lipids onto the $xy$-plane and applied the two-dimensional
Voronoi tessellation (Shinoda and Okazaki, 1998) on each of
the monolayers separately. This allowed calculation of the
area occupied by each individual lipid throughout the
simulation trajectory and also to extract area distributions
$P(A)$, as well as time-autocorrelation functions $C_A(t)$
for the area per molecule fluctuations:

$$C_A(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\langle A_i(t + \tau) A_i(t') \rangle - \langle A_i \rangle^2}{\langle A_i^2 \rangle - \langle A_i \rangle^2},$$

where $A_i(t)$ is the area of molecule $i$ at time $t$, and $\langle \rangle$
denotes a time average over a large number of configurations.
The results of the Voronoi analysis are shown in Fig. 4. The peak
value of the PSM distribution is $\sim 0.52 \text{ nm}^2$, which is equal
to the average value obtained from the simulation box size.
When compared with the $P(A)$ obtained from the DPPC
simulation, one can find that SM is characterized by a
considerably narrower distribution and a smaller area per
molecule. The inset of Fig. 4 depicts the autocorrelation
functions $C_A(t)$ for the two systems, indicating slower decay
for the PSM system. On the basis of $C_A(t)$ and the $P(A)$
distributions one can conclude that PSM molecules are more
tightly packed than DPPC molecules, and that the area
fluctuations in a PSM bilayer are slower and smaller in amplitude.
Based on these observations, one would expect
the permeability of small molecules across SM bilayers to be
reduced significantly as compared to DPPC systems. This

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Area per molecule versus time in PSM and DPPC bilayers.}
\end{figure}
has, indeed, been seen in experiments (Hill and Zeidel, 2000).

To calculate the volume occupied by lipid molecules, we first estimated water volume and subtracted that from the volume of the whole box. To this end, we first performed short simulations of pure water systems with four different SPC water molecule numbers: 993, 2685, 6540, and 12,426. The box size was allowed to fluctuate in constant pressure in each case and the average box volume was plotted as a function of water molecule number. The slope of the graph, 
\( 0.03156 \pm 0.00005 \) nm³/H₂O, is in reasonable agreement with a previously determined value of 0.0304 nm³ for SPC water (Armen et al., 1998). Now, by measuring the simulation box volume at each instant, calculating the average box volume, and subtracting the estimated water volume, one obtains a value for the average volume per lipid: 
\( \langle A \rangle = (1.18 \pm 0.01) \) nm³ for PSM and 
\( \langle A \rangle = (1.23 \pm 0.01) \) nm³ for DPPC. As the average volume of a CH₂ group in PC bilayers has been estimated to be 
\( 0.028 \) nm³ (Armen et al., 1998), this implies that all of the excess molecular volume of DPPC would be due to its two extra CH₂ groups as compared to PSM.

**Acyl chain ordering**

The orientational ordering of lipid acyl chains is described by the deuterium order parameter,

\[ S_{CD} = \frac{1}{2} (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1), \]  

where \( \theta \) is the angle between a selected C–H vector and the reference direction (bilayer normal). In a united-atom simulation, one can reconstruct the missing apolar hydrogens at their equilibrium positions on the basis of the backbone chain configuration. In this work, we have reconstructed the C–H vectors and calculated the order parameters for each of them.

Fig. 5 shows the order parameter profile along the acyl chains, averaged for each carbon separately. Except for the double-bonded carbons in a sphingosine chain, the acyl chains of PSM are significantly more ordered than those of DPPC. This is consistent with the observation of lower area per molecule for the PSM bilayer.

A significant drop in \( S_{CD} \) at the double-bond location has been observed for cis-bonds of unsaturated PC bilayers and has been explained to be caused by the average bond orientation, which lies almost in parallel to the bilayer plane. This kind of behavior has been proposed to be possible also for trans double bonds (Seelig and Waespe-Sarcevic, 1978). The average orientation of trans double bonds in PSM were found to be 26 ± 18° from the bilayer plane toward the interior. This significant alignment along the bilayer plane explains the drop in order parameter values at the bond location.

As far as other simulation studies are concerned, the \( S_{CD} \) profiles from our simulations are in agreement with previous simulations carried out on similar systems (Chiu et al., 2003; Hyvönen and Kovanen, 2003; Mombelli et al., 2003), although not every one of them has produced a significant reduction of \( S_{CD} \) at the double-bond location of the sphingosine chain.

The chain ordering of DPPC in bilayers has been measured by deuterium NMR with great accuracy and the experimental results (Doulioz et al., 1995; Petrache et al., 2000) are fully consistent with the simulation data. For PSM bilayers in a fluid phase, however, to the best of our knowledge, there is only one reported experimental \(^2\)H NMR study that limits the number of conclusions. Using selective \(^2\)H labeling, one can measure the quadrupole splitting \( \nu_Q \), which is related to the order parameter \( S_{CD} \) (Seelig and Seelig, 1974). Neuringer et al. used this technique for the palmityl carbon C10 of PSM (Neuringer et al., 1979) and found a value of \( S_{CD} = 0.20 \) at \( T = 323 \) K. In the same study, the measurement of the corresponding carbon in DPPC gave
an order parameter value of $S_{CD} = 0.17$. Our study predicts greater relative ordering of chains at this specific location of PSM chains with respect to DPPC.

Despite the lack of NMR experiments for deuterium-labeled SM bilayers, further comparison to other experiments suggests that the acyl chains in SM bilayers are more ordered than those in DPPC (Guo et al., 2002; Steinbauer et al., 2003). Guo et al. considered bilayer mixtures of DPPC and bovine brain SM around 320 K and found that deuterium-labeled DPPC molecules (used as probe molecules) in SM membranes were more ordered than similar deuterium-labeled DPPCs in DPPC membranes (Guo et al., 2002). Steinbauer et al. used the same approach in SM-POPC mixtures using egg yolk and bovine brain SM. They found that the order parameters of deuterated POPC molecules along their hydrocarbon chains increased by 7–17% when pure POPC bilayers were replaced with POPC-SM membranes at $T = 315$ K with SM concentrations between 33 mol% and 66 mol% (Guo et al., 2002; Steinbauer et al., 2003).

Considering the importance of SM, the surprising lack of experimental data for acyl chain ordering is urging complementary experimental studies. Besides providing a more direct coupling between experimental and simulation studies, it would yield important insight into the SM properties in the interface and hydrocarbon regions.

Profiles across bilayer

In Fig. 6, we have plotted the total electron density $\rho_e(z)$ of the PSM and DPPC systems across the bilayer, together with the partial densities of different components. The plots show clearly that water penetrates down to the hydrophobic acyl chain region in both systems. Despite the slight imbalance of the two PSM acyl chain lengths, the low density in the PSM bilayer center indicates no significant interdigitation, which is in accordance with the view of Fig. 2. It is likely that interdigitation (Barenholz and Thompson, 1999) is characteristic to SMs where the mismatch between chain lengths is more pronounced. The peak-to-peak distances from the total electron density profile are used to estimate the bilayer thickness. For the PSM bilayer, this value is $d_{pp} = (4.34 \pm 0.05)$ nm, which is in excellent agreement with the experimentally obtained value of $d_{pp} = 4.44$ nm by x-ray diffraction for PSM at 323 K (Maulik and Shipley, 1996). The PSM bilayer is significantly thicker than the DPPC bilayer, as $d_{pp} = (3.58 \pm 0.05)$ nm for DPPC. A commonly applied method to multiply the average area per molecule ($A$) with the bilayer thickness ($d_{pp}$) to estimate the molecular volume results in $V = 1.13$ nm$^3$ for SM and $V = 1.18$ nm$^3$ for DPPC, which are qualitatively consistent with the estimates discussed in the “Area and volume per molecule” section.

To describe the orientational ordering of water near the lipid interface, the average angle between the outward-pointing normal vector of the bilayer (along $z$ axis) and the water dipole moment vector was calculated for each water molecule in the system. Fig. 6 C shows the average orientation of water versus the distance from the bilayer center, $\langle \cos(\theta(z)) \rangle$, for both PSM and DPPC bilayers. At the membrane-water interface of DPPC the water dipoles tend to point toward the bilayer center, the orientation persisting up to the height where the lipid density levels off to zero. This
orientational behavior has been explained to be dominantly driven by the headgroup phosphoryl region (Hyvönen et al., 1997; Patra et al., 2003), which agrees with the fact that the location of the maximum water orientation, $z = 1.8$ nm, coincides with the peak position of the phosphorous partial electron density. At the PSM-water interface, a similar effect can be observed as water approaches the phosphate group. However, in this case the orientation of water is also affected by the sphingosine moiety that is competing with the P–N headgroup. The average orientation of water thus reverses at about $z = 2.1$ nm and has a peak at $z \approx 1.7$ nm, close to the maxima of the partial densities of the OH and NH groups.

In all, the different composition of PSM and DPPC polar groups at the interfacial region leads to a qualitatively different ordering of water.

The electrostatic potential $V(z)$ along the bilayer normal was calculated by the Poisson equation:

$$V(z) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^z \int_0^r \rho_q(z') dz' dz,$$

where the charge density $\rho_q(z)$ has been calculated in a similar fashion as the electron density $\rho_e(z)$ previously. The potential at the bilayer center was chosen as $V(0) = 0$. No significant difference in the electrostatic potential can be observed across the PSM bilayer as compared to DPPC (see Fig. 6 D). The lipid molecules contribute with a positive potential of $\sim 3$ V, which is slightly overcompensated by the negative potential caused by water ordering discussed above (data not shown). The resulting total potential difference between the bilayer center and bulk water is about $\Delta V = 0.62$ V for a PSM bilayer, which is almost equal to the value of $\Delta V = 0.57$ V for a DPPC bilayer. The experimental values of $\Delta V$ for different phospholipid/water interfaces range from 0.20 V to 0.58 V (Flewelling and Hubbel, 1986; Gawrisch et al., 1992; McIntosh et al., 1992; Simon and McIntosh, 1989), whereas simulated $\Delta V$ values between 0.54 V and 0.63 V have been reported for a PSM/water interface (Chiu et al., 2003; Hyvönen and Kovanen, 2003).

**Headgroup orientation and radial distribution functions**

To study the orientational behavior of the headgroups, the angular distribution of the P–N vector with respect to the outward normal of the bilayer has been plotted in Fig. 7. The main peak of the PSM distribution is $\sim 105^\circ$ and the full width at half-maximum is about $\Delta \theta = 82^\circ$, whereas the DPPC distribution peaks at $90^\circ$ and has a width of $\Delta \theta = 70^\circ$.

The peak value of the DPPC bilayer indicates that the headgroups are on average lying almost exactly along the surface of the bilayer. The situation is distinctly different in the case of PSM. Surprisingly, the distribution of PSM headgroups has two peaks, the main peak $\sim 105^\circ$ indicating P–N orientation that is tilted $15^\circ$ toward the interior of the bilayer and a smaller peak at $55^\circ$, which is pointing toward the water phase. The headgroup angular distribution has been recently reproduced for the 18:0-SM bilayer by simulation (Chiu et al., 2003), but it was clearly monomodal, peaking at $90^\circ$. Signs of bimodal P–N vector distributions have been previously observed for example in simulations of PCs in the gel phase (Essmann et al., 1995a; Sun, 2002; Tu et al., 1996). This might imply that the bimodality of the P–N distribution is simply caused by the lower area per molecule in a gel phase. To check this, we examined the headgroup behavior in the previous simulations of DPPC bilayers under different conditions (Patra et al., 2003). The lowest area per molecule (0.56 nm$^2$) occurred when the long-range electrostatics were described by truncation at 1.8-nm cutoff, but resulted in a clear monomodal distribution (data not shown).

The bimodal headgroup behavior in the PSM simulation is thus caused by the interactions of headgroup atoms with the polar atoms in the interfacial region.

To further investigate the headgroup behavior, we have plotted the radial distribution functions $g(r)$ between the N–P, N–N, and P–P atom pairs, as well as between N and negatively charged interfacial atoms (see Fig. 8). We find greater ordering in the PSM bilayer compared to that in the DPPC bilayer. Although this conclusion is general, the effect is most pronounced in the interface region. First, by comparing the distribution between the PSM headgroup nitrogen N and the peptide bond nitrogen $N_{N\alpha}$ with the structurally corresponding DPPC distribution between N and $O_{\alpha2}$, a clear peak can be observed for PSM in contrast to a broad distribution in DPPC. Also for PSM, the distribution between headgroup nitrogen N and hydroxyl oxygen $O_{OH}$ shows an especially high peak. Hence, based on the above, it is likely that there is no single bond giving rise to the peak at $55^\circ$ in the P–N vector distribution of the PSM bilayer. Rather, there are several bonds where the headgroup nitrogen interacts with atoms in the interface region, and the peak is due to a delicate balance between them.
The two-dimensional radial distribution function $g_{CM}(r)$ of the lipid center of mass positions (data not shown) revealed a small and broad peak for the PSM bilayer around $r \approx 0.7$ nm, which is lacking for DPPC. Given that this indicates slightly more local structure in the two-dimensional organization of lipids in PSM bilayer plane, there is no indication of long-range order that would be characteristic for a gel phase.

Hydrogen bonding

Perhaps the most interesting of sphingomyelin’s characteristic features among other lipids is its capacity to form intramolecular and intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Computationally, to properly simulate hydrogen-bond dynamics, it would be necessary to include quantum effects that lead to proton sharing between the bonded atoms. Although classical MD simulations fail to include these effects entirely, numerous MD simulations with classical two-body potentials have been able to predict the correct qualitative static and dynamic features of water and other hydrogen-bonding liquids (Ladanyi and Skaf, 1993).

As generally utilized in the analysis of classical water simulations, two types of cutoffs are used to track the formation and breaking of hydrogen bonds: either energy-based cutoffs (Sciortino et al., 1990) or geometry-based cutoffs (Luzar and Chandler, 1996). In this work, we have used the following geometrical criteria: the acceptor-hydrogen distance $d_{AH} \leq 0.25$ nm and the donor-hydrogen-acceptor angle $\theta_{DHA} \leq 90^\circ$ (Mombelli et al., 2003). It is now straightforward, after identifying all the possible hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors in the system, to go through the trajectory to find the configurations that meet the criterion of a hydrogen bond. To analyze the dynamics of the hydrogen-bond formation and breaking, we have extracted an “on-off”-type binary existence function $E_j(t)$ for each bond $j$ and calculated its time autocorrelation function:

$$C_j(t) = \langle E_j(0)E_j(t) \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Finally, autocorrelation functions of the most abundant bond types were grouped together, normalized, and averaged.

On average, we found that one PSM molecule forms 1.1 intramolecular bonds within itself, it is involved in 0.8 intermolecular bonds with its neighbors, and it forms intermolecular bonds with 5.6 water molecules. As DPPC molecules can only act as hydrogen-bond acceptors, they are not able to form intra- or intermolecular hydrogen bonds with each other, but on average they form 6.7 bonds with water. A more detailed characterization of the hydrogen-bond types and their relative occurrences are shown in Tables 1 and 2 (and in Supplemental Material).

Fig. 9 shows by few examples the variation of hydrogen-bonding timescales between different groups: PSM intramolecular, PSM–PSM, PSM–H$_2$O, and DPPC–H$_2$O bonds. The decay half times, $t_{1/2}$, of all averaged autocorrelation functions were calculated and they are represented together with the average bond abundances in Tables 1 and 2 (and in Supplemental Material).

It is now possible to draw some conclusions on the basis of the presented analysis. First of all, the OH group of PSM is almost solely responsible for intramolecular hydrogen bonding with a very stable bond to phosphoryl oxygen $O_p$ ($C_{HB}(t) \approx 1$; see Fig. 9). A finite probability seems to exist for an intramolecular bond to form also between the NH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Type</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$ [ns]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>138.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O–H–$O_p$</td>
<td>125.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>≥20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O–H–$O_{NH}$</td>
<td>11.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–H–$O_p$</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>≥20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2  Intermolecular hydrogen bonds: PSM\rightarrow{}PSM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>t_{1/2} [ns]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–H\ldots{}OH</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>6.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–H\ldots{}O_{p_a}</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>1.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–H\ldots{}O_{p_c}</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–H\ldots{}O_{p_b}</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NH group and phosphate oxygen O_{p_b}. This bond is very stable, too, but there is only one molecule out of 128 where it exists. Secondly, the NH group is the only group to act as hydrogen donor in intermolecular hydrogen bonding between PSM molecules. The most stable and abundant one is the bond between the NH group and the hydroxyl oxygen O_{OH}. Also the other oxygens (O_{p_a}, O_{p_c}, and O_{p_b}) were observed to serve as acceptors in intermolecular hydrogen bonds with the NH group.

Thirdly, water makes hydrogen bonds mostly with the two PSM phosphate oxygens O_{p_c} and O_{p_d}, which are left free from other bonds (see Supplemental Material for more detailed data). However, the most stable hydrogen bond between PSM and H_2O is the one where the PSM-NH group serves as hydrogen donor and, in fact, plays a crucial role in orienting water molecules (Fig. 6 C). The most abundant hydrogen bonds between DPPC and water involve phosphate oxygens O_{p_c} and O_{p_d}, too, but in contrast to PSM, the ester oxygens increase the total number of hydrogen bonds formed with water (see Supplemental Material).

In qualitative manner, all our observations for the nature of intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonding in PSM bilayer agree with previously published NMR experiments (Bruzik et al., 1990; Schmidt et al., 1977; Talbott et al., 2000), which have proposed a stable intramolecular hydrogen bond between the OH group and the phosphate oxygen O_{p_b} as well as the intermolecular nature of the hydrogen bonds formed by the NH group. The possibility of water-bridged hydrogen bonds between sphingomyelins was also suggested by experiments (Talbott et al., 2000) and was studied in detail through MD simulations (Mombelli et al., 2003). Our observations for water bonding mostly to O_{p_c} and O_{p_d} atoms of the phosphate group are in accordance with these studies. Other computational works have also been able to predict the intra- and intermolecular nature of hydrogen bonding in sphingomyelin bilayers, either by utilizing radial distribution functions (Chiu et al., 2003; Hyvönen and Kovanen, 2003) or geometry-based cutoffs (Mombelli et al., 2003). Our simulation, however, is the first that is long enough in duration to enable a careful analysis of the dynamics of the hydrogen bonds in terms of autocorrelation functions in addition to structural analysis. The comparison to the DPPC bilayer has not only shown that the excess hydrogen bonding of PSM is caused by the amide and hydroxyl groups, but it also revealed the relative stabilities and life times of different bond types.

**Lateral diffusion**

To quantify the dynamic behavior of the lipids, we have calculated the lateral diffusion coefficient D_T, which describes the motion of lipids in the bilayer plane:

\[
D_T = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2d} \langle |\vec{r}(t)|^2 \rangle ,
\]

where d = 2 is the dimensionality of the system and \(\langle |\vec{r}(t)|^2 \rangle\) is the mean-squared displacement (MSD) of the CM positions, averaged over all the molecules in the bilayer. Although the center of mass position of the whole system is constrained during the simulation, the random relative motions of the two lipid layers can lead to apparent artificial superdiffusive motion of the individual molecules (Anézo et al., 2003). To prevent this, the lateral diffusion coefficients were calculated in such a way that the motion of the CM of each monolayer was first taken into account; see Patra et al. (2004) for details.

For the lateral diffusion coefficient at long times we find D_T = (0.38 ± 0.03) \times 10^{-7} cm^2/s in a PSM bilayer, and D_T = (1.27 ± 0.05) \times 10^{-7} cm^2/s in DPPC. In practice, respectively, these values correspond to 0.87 nm and 1.59 nm root-mean-squared lateral displacements of the lipids during the 50-ns simulation.

The DPPC result is in accord with experimental findings that range typically from 1.0 \times 10^{-7} cm^2/s to 1.5 \times 10^{-7} cm^2/s (König et al., 1992; Vaz et al., 1985; Sackmann, 1995). In the case of PSM, Filippov et al. (2003) studied lateral diffusion in PSM (egg yolk SM)—cholesterol mixtures using pulsed field gradient NMR measurements at 323 K. In the limit of zero cholesterol concentration they found a value of 0.6 \times 10^{-7} cm^2/s (Filippov et al., 2003). In the same study, using a similar approach, the authors found...
2.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} for lateral diffusion of DMPC and DOPC. The trend of the simulation results is consistent with these measurements, and even the quantitative agreement of PSM and DPPC diffusion data is reasonably good.

### Rotational motions

For the sake of a more detailed comparison between the dynamics of the two systems, the rotational motions of different parts of lipids have been examined. For this, the second rank reorientational autocorrelation functions $C_2(t)$ were calculated:

$$C_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \langle [\hat{\mu}(t) \cdot \hat{\mu}(0)]^2 - 1 \rangle,$$

where $\hat{\mu}(t)$ is a unit vector that defines the chosen rotational mode. Three different rotational modes were analyzed: the headgroup, the interfacial region, and the C–H bond vectors along the acyl chains.

For the interfacial region, we defined a vector from sphingosine C3 to C1 for PSM and a vector from sn–1 to sn–3 carbon for DPPC. Fig. 10 shows the reorientational $C_2(t)$ functions for these vectors. For PSM, the decay half-time of the interfacial vector is $t_{1/2} = 6.9$ ns, whereas for DPPC it is $t_{1/2} = 1.0$ ns. This indicates that the decay of PSM at short times is almost an order of magnitude slower than that of DPPC. The same trend can be observed for headgroup rotation, defined by the reorientational autocorrelation function of P–N vectors. In the PSM bilayer, this function decays with $t_{1/2} = 1.5$ ns, whereas in DPPC it is $t_{1/2} = 0.3$ ns.

To characterize the rotational motion along the acyl chains, we have calculated the average $C_2(t)$ functions separately for each of the previously constructed C–H vectors in the system. For the short-time behavior, we consider the half-time $t_{1/2}$ of given $C_2(t)$. For the long-time behavior, we consider the characteristic time $\tau$ in which the different $C_2(t)$ functions reach their plateau values:

$$\tau = \int_0^\infty \frac{C_2(t) - C_2(\infty)}{C_2(0) - C_2(\infty)} \, dt.$$

Here $C_2(\infty)$ is the plateau value of the autocorrelation function at long times and $C_2(0) = 1$ due to normalization. The decay time constant $\tau$ of the C–H bond rotational $C_2(t)$ function can be related to NMR spin-lattice relaxation times, $T_1$, which are experimentally determinable (Brown, 1984a,b; Nevzorov and Brown, 1997).

The fastest rotational modes in lipids, related to the gauche/trans isomerization in acyl chains, are typically of the order of 50–100 ps, whereas the molecular rotations and wobble are in the range of nanoseconds (Pastor and Feller, 1996). The NMR relaxation time constants have been determined from atomistic simulations of different lipid systems and they agree with experimental values to a reasonable extent (Lindahl and Edholm, 2001; Mashl et al., 2001; Pastor et al., 2002). However, as spin-lattice relaxation measurements are lacking for PSM, we only compare the DPPC results ($C_2(t)$) with experimental data.

Fig. 11 shows the time constant $\tau$ values for PSM and DPPC bilayers. For both systems, similar behavior can be observed: the rotational motions are fastest in the bilayer center ($\tau \sim 10–20$ ps) and they get slower in a roughly exponential fashion toward the lipid/water interface, where $\tau$ is on a scale of nanoseconds. Along the entire chains, $\tau$ indicates slower rotation in the PSM bilayer than in DPPC. There is also greater difference between the two chains of PSM than in the two chains of DPPC.

The $T_1$ profile measurement along the DPPC chain segments (Brown et al., 1979) indicated fastest decay of

![Figure 10: Rotational autocorrelation functions of different molecular parts (selected vectors) in DPPC and PSM systems: the interfacial vector points from sphingosine carbon C3 to C1 in PSM and from sn–1 carbon to sn–3 carbon in DPPC, whereas the P–N vector points from headgroup phosphorus to headgroup nitrogen in both systems.](image)

![Figure 11: Reorientational autocorrelation functions of the acyl chain C–H vector: the time constant $\tau$ obtained by integration (top four curves), and the half-time $t_{1/2}$ of the autocorrelation decay (bottom four curves). The black curves refer to the PSM system and the shaded curves to the DPPC system.](image)
τ ~ 19 ps at carbon C15 of the sn–1 chain, a plateau of τ ~ 73 ps between C3 and C9 and the slowest decay of τ ~ 180 ps at the sn–3 carbon of DPPC. The simulated τ values in Fig. 11 are roughly in the same regime with the experimental values, although there is no clear indication of a plateau in the profile near the chain centers. Also the slowest rotational mode of the sn–1 chain, determined by τ ~ 600 ps, is significantly slower than in the experiment.

The decay at short times, characterized by $t_{1/2}$ in Fig. 11, shows similar behavior in both systems toward the chain ends, where $t_{1/2} ~ 10$ ps. The most notable difference is found close to the double bond at small carbon numbers. For PSM, $t_{1/2}$ increases by an order of magnitude at the double-bond region of the sphingosine chain (carbons 4–5) and by many orders of magnitude at the very beginning of the sphingosine chain (carbons 1–3). Here $t_{1/2}$ is on a scale of several nanoseconds, which was observed above to be a characteristic timescale of rotational motion in the interfacial region. The palmitoyl chain of PSM shows very similar behavior with both DPPC acyl chains, except for the second carbon, which is an order of magnitude slower.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

A wide range of experimental studies have shown that sphingomyelin is one of the most relevant lipids in cellular membranes. On one hand, this is due to its capacity to enhance and be involved in domain formation, which in turn is one of the main issues in lipid rafts, i.e., lateral domains characterized by their dynamic and ordered nature that leads certain classes of proteins to associate with rafts. Consequently, rafts have been suggested to play a major role in various cellular processes such as protein trafficking and signal transduction (Anderson and Jacobson, 2002; Helms and Zurrolo, 2004; Simons and Toomre, 2000). On the other hand, besides its structural and dynamic role in membrane domains, sphingomyelin actively participates, e.g., in cellular signaling. Also, a major product of SM metabolism, ceramide, serves as a messenger in cell apoptosis.

Based on the above, it is rather surprising how little experimental attention many of the key structural and dynamic properties of SM bilayers have received. For example, considering the influence of the area per molecule on essentially all structural as well as dynamic properties of SM bilayers, the lack of detailed studies is striking. Despite experimental difficulties associated with these measurements, more precise estimates for this quantity would certainly be acknowledged. Further, the ordering of hydrocarbon chains in SM bilayers has received very little attention by far, and basically the overall understanding of this issue is lacking altogether. As for dynamic quantities, a similar situation holds, in part, and issues such as spin-lattice relaxation times remain to be explored.

These gaps in our understanding of SM bilayers can be contrasted to bilayers of phosphatidylcholines, which are perhaps the most-studied model membranes (Falck et al., 2004; Katsaras and Guterlet, 2001; Tieleman et al., 1997). Consequently, in this work, we have followed a guiding principle to conduct a full comparison between PSM and DPPC bilayers through atomic-scale simulations. The extensive study employed here has further enabled us to carefully discuss the properties of the PSM bilayer hand-in-hand with the available experimental data. In this manner, we are able to clarify properties that are specific to SM.

We have found significant differences between PSM and DPPC systems. Importantly, the hydrogen-bonding network in a PSM bilayer was observed to have an exceptional effect on both its structural and dynamic properties. The PSM–PSM intermolecular bonds were dominated solely by the amide group as hydrogen-bonding donor, forming bonds with several polar oxygens of the interfacial region, the most stable one being characteristically a few nanoseconds in duration. A clear majority of the PSM intramolecular bonds (~91%) were formed between the hydroxyl group and phosphate oxygen $O_P$, the bonds being very stable throughout the simulation. Especially the hydrogen-donating capability of the NH group was observed to result in distinctly different ordering behavior near the PSM-water interface as compared to DPPC. As for dynamics, the effects are even more notable. We found prominent changes in essentially all the dynamic quantities: the fluctuations in the area per molecule were slowed down, the rotational motions of the acyl chains were suppressed, and the lateral diffusion of PSM molecules was significantly slower compared to that in the DPPC bilayer. Although these changes are related to the strong ordering of PSM acyl chains, in contrast to weaker ordering in DPPC, the main reason for finding this behavior lies in the extensive hydrogen-bonding network within PSM molecules that can be related to the overall stiffness and reduced flexibility in the PSM bilayer as compared to DPPC.

Considering the importance of SM and its characteristic hydrogen-bonding properties, it is natural to ask how that might be manifested in many-component systems such as rafts including SM. In this regard, atomic-scale simulations can provide valuable insight into the related phenomena. Very recently, first attempts in this direction have been made. Khelashvili and Scott (2004) studied hydrogen-bonding networks in binary mixtures of SM and cholesterol, and Pandit et al. (2004) explored the complexation in DPPC-cholesterol and DLPC-cholesterol systems. Because the formation of ordered domains is likely to involve cooperative interactions in terms of complexes, which in turn may form networks through hydrogen-bonding pathways, it is likely that the role of SM in this entire picture is significant. The current state is therefore very encouraging, because atomic-level studies such as this one have reached sufficient maturity to describe the key properties of pure SM systems in agreement with experiments, and also to go beyond that by providing deep insight into the nature of atomic-scale phenomena with a level of detail missing in any
experimental technique. As a consequence, there are now various fascinating issues to be resolved. The issue of domains in multicomponent bilayers including SM and ceramide is one of them, and the related effects due to interdigitation another. Work in this direction is underway.
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